MICROSTRUCTURAL AND HARDNESS MODELING: EFFECT OF MULTIPLE BEAD DEPOSITION IN TEMPER BEAD WELDING TECHNIQUE
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ABSTRACT

Flux Cored Arc Welding (FCAW) process is often employed in industry where multiple welding sequences are used to produce stronger fastening between mating parts. Post Weld Heat Treatment (PWHT) is almost always recommended for relieving residual stresses and tempering the microstructural properties in order to prolong the fatigue and fracture life; however, it is often done away with due to the high costs involved, time constraints, infeasibility or sometime deleterious effects of the tempering process. This paper investigates whether the sequence of the initial deposition of the weld material itself can temper the microstructural properties and reduce the size of the Heat Affected Zone (HAZ) in the weld and parent metal. Four weld beads are deposited on a mild steel plate, with each being tempered by an overlapping bead using the Temper Bead Welding (TBW) technique. Numerical simulation is performed using FEA software VrWeld to evaluate the microstructure, hardness and size of the HAZ. It is concluded that there are significant improvements in the microstructure, hardness and size of the HAZ as a result of the deposition of the overlapping, tempering beads.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A weldment is heated intensely during the welding process with a non-uniform temperature distribution that keeps altering continually as the weld torch moves. The intense exposure to heat during welding in a very short time-frame makes inadvertent changes in the microstructure by imposing residual stresses at the grain boundaries that are often left locked-in place [1, 2]. Welding residual stresses are thus formed in structures as a result of differential contractions that occur as the weld metal solidifies and cools to the ambient temperature. It is also worth mentioning that together with the residual stresses, the intense heat input during welding may give rise to welding deformations – shrinking, distortion or warpage - though the probability of significant distortions occurring in large structures is very low as elucidated in [3-5].

The presence of these residual stresses influences the behavior of welded joints in service according to whether they are tensile or compressive. Compressive residual stresses, in many cases, can be even desirable as they tend to improve resistance to cracking, e.g., in stress corrosion cracking (SCC). Despite these presumed benefits of compressive residual stresses, they nevertheless cause an overall decrease in the buckling load. Tensile residual stresses, on the other hand, are undesirable in most instances because they exacerbate the propensity of the metal to crack and can therefore shorten the fatigue life of industrial structures. Allowing for residual stresses in the assessment of service performance varies according to the failure mechanism the structure is suspected to undergo in its operating life [4-7]. It is generally considered that the overall tensile stress in a member should not exceed 60% of the yield strength ($S_y$) under operating conditions, and 80% of $S_y$ under extreme loading conditions. Design codes usually do not require taking account of residual stresses in calculations of the static strength for ductile materials. It thus appears to be the case that design procedures for fatigue or buckling of welded structures usually make appropriate allowances for weld-induced residual stresses, and hence it is not necessary to include them explicitly. However, in the context of this research the most important consideration is that tensile residual stresses act to increase the likelihood of fracture and reduce the fatigue life.

Comprehensive, 3D heat transfer and fluid flow models have been developed by several researchers [8-11] for validation of experimental data. Many others have utilized the commercial FE code ABAQUS enhanced with user subroutines to model weld simulation with great success [12-16]. The FE code ANSYS was used by several researchers [17-20] while others [3, 21-26] have utilized SYSWELD+ to perform these welding simulations.
For assessing the performance of flaws in metallic structures, the British Standard BS 7910 [27] is often used, which recommends to assume the residual stress at the yield stress of the material in the absence of any post weld heat treatment (PWHT). The Australian Standard AS 4100 [28] also uses the same assumption. Since these residual stress fields are in the vicinity of the weldment, total bending stresses due to welding effects are not covered under this assumption. In case the structure undergoes PWHT, a lower residual stress of 30% of $S_y$ may be assumed [22, 23], which is quite a significant reduction. Thus the recommended practice of mitigating the effect of residual stresses is to temper the microstructure by employing PWHT. The Australian Standard AS 4458 [29] recommends PWHT within a temperature range of 580 – 620°C for carbon steels, and less than 760°C for low-alloy steels. As an example, a 2.25% Cr, 1% Mo steel should ideally be heat treated in the range of 680 – 730°C for a minimum of two hours. However, PWHT is not always justified in terms of imparting beneficial properties to welded metals, especially for the toughness of weldments [30]. In some cases, PWHT could even have a negative influence of the fatigue life of a welded structure by removing the beneficial compressive residual stresses and hence decreasing the fatigue resistance. Besides, segregation of phosphorus to grain boundaries in the weld metal and precipitation hardening by some other carbides can increase the brittleness of the metal. Moreover, PWHT is a very expensive and time-consuming process, especially if the structure is large in size (e.g., mining dragline clusters, heavy earth movers, etc.) and already in operation [3, 5]. This is because applying PWHT when the structure is already subjected to huge loads can permanently cause significant distortions.

2. TEMPER BEAD WELDING TECHNIQUE

Given the sometimes deleterious effects of PWHT, the temper bead welding (TBW) technique can be employed as an alternative method to treat the underlying beads/ weld layers with the weld heat input of the next layer of deposition. The Heat Affected Zone (HAZ) for the first layer is tempered by controlling the ratio of the heat input for the second layer to that of the first layer. This technique of heat treating by successively overlapping weld layers leaves the very last layer untempered, which can be removed by mechanical grinding. Controlling the size of electrodes, sizes of beads and varying the heat inputs in specific ratios can in effect give the same metallurgical structure that is desired by the PWHT process. Many different TBW techniques have been developed, such as (i) the half-bead technique, wherein the beads of the first layer are ground back before the next weld layer is deposited, (ii) the back-step technique, wherein the weld beads are repeatedly laid opposite to the direction of welding, and (iii) the two-layer technique, wherein the previously laid beads are partially heat treated by successive ones. While the Welding Research Council [31] has discussed the practical applications of the TBW technique, the changes in microstructure by varying welding sequences and inputs have not been hitherto established satisfactorily.

The goal of this research paper is to investigate into the characterization of microstructure and hardness changes using TBW specimens created with standard Flux Cored Arc Welding (FCAW) process. Finite element analysis is employed to simulate fractions of different phases and hardness, and experimental measurements of hardness in sample specimens are reported. Appropriate conclusions are drawn attesting to the importance of weld overlapping in the TBW technique over the costly and often prohibitive PWHT process, in order to realize desirable microstructure properties and hardness.

3. EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

Four weld bead layers were deposited on a 200 x 100 x 12 mm mild steel plate (AS 3678-250) using FCAW parameters identified by previous work conducted at Monash University, Melbourne [32, 33]. SUPER-COR 5 welding electrodes as specified in AWS A5.20 [34] were employed using Argoshield 52 (77% Ar and 23% CO₂) as a shielding gas. Table 1 shows the exact chemical composition of the parent metal and Table 2 shows that of the weld metal. Table 3 shows the mechanical properties of the parent and weld metal. The fixed welding parameters used in experimental work are shown in Table 4.

Table 1. Exact chemical composition of parent metal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Percentage Composition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Exact chemical composition of weld metal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Percentage Composition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The experimental set-up of the welding apparatus is shown in Fig. 1(a) and micrographs of cross-section are shown in Fig. 1(b). In the welding sequence, the first bead was deposited along the centreline of the substrate plate in the length direction, and the second was deposited by the side of the first bead with a 50% overlap. The third bead was deposited on the opposite side of the second bead along the first bead with a 50% overlap again. This set of three partially overlapping beads formed the first weld layer. The fourth bead was deposited on top of the very first bead, forming the second weld layer with a significant spread over the underlying layer so as to produce an overall tempering effect. Heat inputs were altered by changing the traverse speed of the weld gun, viz. all the three beads in the first layer were deposited with a faster traverse speed of 560 mm/min, while the second layer was deposited with a slower speed of 290 mm/min as indicated in Table 4. Fig. 2 illustrates a schematic of this deposition sequence.

4. COMPUTATIONAL MODEL

3D transient temperature is computed by solving the transient heat equation:

\[ \dot{h} + \nabla \cdot (-\kappa \nabla T) + Q = 0 \]  (1)

where, \( \dot{h} \) = rate of change of specific enthalpy with time, \( \kappa \) = thermal conductivity, \( T \) = absolute temperature, and \( Q \) = power per unit volume (power density distribution).

The transient heat equation is solved with Lagrangian FEM [16]. The initial temperature was 300 K. The power density distribution function \( Q \) (W/m³) in the double ellipsoidal heat source model [10] was used. A convection boundary condition with convection coefficient \( h_c = 20 \) W/m²-K and ambient temperature of \( T_{\infty} = 300 \) K generated the boundary flux \( q = h_c(T_c - T_{\infty}) \). The length of the time step during welding was chosen such that each weld required three time steps to cross the weld pool length. Filler metal was added as the welding arc moved along the weld path, i.e., the FEA domain changed in each time step during welding. After welding was completed, the time step length was increased exponentially by a factor 1.2 per time step for a delay time of 7200 s. By this time the plate had cooled completely to 300 K. The second bead started by a 12 mm offset with the same welding parameters on the side by 50% overlap in order to temper the martensite from the first bead.

Having computed the transient temperature, microstructure evolution was determined using algorithms described in [35, 36]. The essential idea was that a pseudo Fe-C phase diagram in which all lines and points are functions of the alloy composition is used to estimate the equilibrium microstructure of the alloy at any time and temperature. Then the kinetics of the transformation of the austenite or gamma phase to ferrite, pearlite and/or bainite phases that tries to drive the microstructure toward an
The equilibrium state is governed by differential equations such as Eq. 2. The transformation of austenite or gamma phase to martensite is governed by the algebraic Koistinen-Marburger equation [37]. Eq. 2 is an example of the differential equation that describes the kinetics of the austenite-ferrite transformation on cooling. If temperature is cooling and is below $A_3$ in the Fe-C pseudo-binary phase diagram, the rate at which the fraction of ferrite $X$ increases as a function of time while the temperature is above the pearlite start temperature and while austenite fraction is greater than 0.01 is given by the following equation:

$$
\dot{X} = \frac{2^{(G-1)/2} \Delta_F e^{-\frac{3}{2}RT} X \left(1 - X\right)^{1/3}}{COM}
$$

This equation is integrated as a function of time to determine the fraction of equilibrium ferrite at any time. It is important to note that $X$ is the fraction of equilibrium ferrite, not the fraction of volume that is ferrite. It should also be noted that $G$ is the ASTM grain size index [38]. If $N$ is the number of grains in 0.01 in$^2$, $G$ is computed from the equation $N = 2^{G-1}$. Typical starting procedures are required to start the integration of this differential equation from $X = 0$. $R$ is the universal gas constant. FEA software VrWeld was used to simulate this weld bead sequence numerically, wherein two bead layers were deposited on a plate of dimension 200 x 100 x 12 mm in the FE model. The double ellipsoidal heat source model [10] was used with front length = 3 mm, rear length = 6 mm, width = 10 mm, and depth = 8 mm. Fig. 3 shows the transient temperatures in the FE simulation and Fig. 4 shows these transient temperatures in the longitudinal sections of the beads.

**Fig. 3.** Transient temperatures (K) in FEA simulation

**Fig. 4.** Transient temperatures (K) in longitudinal section of the beads

$$\Delta_F = A_3 - T, \quad T < A_3$$

$$COM = 59.6\% Mn + 1.45\% Ni + 67.7\% Cr + 244\% Mo$$
Fig. 5 shows the pass temperature. Fig. 6 shows the microstructure percentages of bainite (a, b) and austenite or the gamma-phase (c, d). Fig. 7 shows the hardness after each pass in the longitudinal orientation.

Fig. 5. Pass temperature (K)

Fig. 6. Microstructure percentages: (a, b) bainite, and (c, d) austenite (gamma-phase)
5. MICROSTRUCTURE IN HEAT AFFECTED ZONE

In order to study the microstructure, transverse sections of the weldments were ground and polished to a 3 µm finish, and etched in 2% nital solution. Fig. 8 shows the weld beads and polished and etched cross-section for the weldment. Traverse lines were drawn on all samples with the same location and with the same dimensions along which hardness was measured. This method was chosen to study the impact of the subsequent bead on the weld metal, the HAZ, and the parent material of the previous bead. Various microstructures across the weldments, viz. the weld metal, parent metal and HAZ were examined at different magnifications and have been reported in detail in [32, 33].

Figs. 9, 10, and 11 show FEA results of microstructure fractions at 4 different time steps (ts) along lines 1, 2 and 3 indicated in Fig. 8 respectively after each weld pass. The distance on the x-axis is from the centreline of the plate.
Fig. 9. Microstructure fractions along line 1: (a) 800-500s cooling time, (b) austenite grain size, (c) bainite phase fraction, (d) ferrite phase fraction.

Fig. 10. Microstructure fractions along line 2: (a) 800-500s cooling time, (b) austenite grain size, (c) bainite phase fraction, (d) ferrite phase fraction.
6. HARDNESS

Vickers hardness test was used to measure the hardness on three vertical lines moving from the weld metal to the HAZ to the parent material as shown in Fig. 8. Every time a weld bead was added, one sample was prepared for a hardness test using Vickers diamond indenture. Some of these experimental results for hardness measurements have also been reported in detail in [32, 33]. Fig. 12 shows the experimental measurements of the hardness traverses after each successive bead deposition. Fig. 13 shows the hardness plots after successive bead deposition graphically along the three lines indicated in Fig. 8 respectively.

---

Fig. 11. Microstructure fractions along line 3: (a) 800-500s cooling time, (b) austenite grain size, (c) bainite phase fraction, (d) ferrite phase fraction
Fig. 12. Experimental measurements of hardness after each successive bead deposition
(a) sample 1, (b) sample 2, (c) sample 3, and (d) sample 4

Fig. 13. Hardness plots after successive bead deposition along: (a) line 1, (b) line 2, and (c) line 3

7. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Increment in hardness was observed in areas not tempered by a subsequent bead or layer. In case of the sample containing just a single bead, high hardness values were recorded in the weld bead and the coarse-grained HAZ. However, these values decreased significantly after depositing subsequent beads. Further observation of the HAZ area also revealed that the coarse-grained HAZ associated with the first bead was fully refined after depositing the left and right beads because it is a bi-directional welding (welding going in two directions, right and left to the first bead). It is clear from the hardness graphs (Figs. 12 and 13) that the hardness values decreased after depositing the subsequent beads, which validates the technique of TBW. The hardness reduction was achieved through relieving of internal strains of the martensitic crystals and segregation of carbon atoms to dislocation sites.

In this paper, a typical weld bead deposition sequence on a mild steel plate was investigated using experimental measurements and finite element analysis simulations to assess the effectiveness of flux cored arc welding in association with bead tempering. The results indicated that there was a significant improvement in the microstructure and the size of the refined heat affected zone and a reduction in the hardness was achieved after depositing the second welding bead irrespective of the depositing direction.
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