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ABSTRACT

The mathematical modeling and computer simulation of a four-way spool valve controlled symmetrical linear actuator subjected to the cutting force fluctuations developed for a single cutting edge tool are presented.

The forcing function is developed by using a dynamic variation to the metal cutting relationships derived by Merchant for oblique cutting using a thin-shear-plane model. The effects on system performance of the stationary, deterministic cutting process and the sensitivity of the system to changes in fluid supply pressure, bulk modulus, actuator mass, leakage, spool valve area gradient, overlap, and mechanical servo linkage are examined.

Roughing and finishing operations in which the tracer template consists of straight line elements are simulated.

It is shown that the load applied to a single stage servo system is an important design consideration since the performance depends to a large extent on the load dynamics.
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NOMENCLATURE

A  effective piston area of linear actuator, in$^2$.
Ah  area of sharp edged hole in piston, in$^2$.
B  viscous damping coefficient, lb-sec/in.
Bs  time scale factor, dimensionless.
b  width of machine cut, in.
CTN performance criterion: the time integral of the absolute value of the workpiece radial error, in.-sec.
Cd  turbulent orifice discharge coefficient, dimensionless.
Cq  tool side cutting edge angle, deg.
E  workpiece radial error, $= (X_c - Y_c) \sin \theta$, in.
e  spool valve error, or spool displacement from neutral, in.
eo  spool valve overlap, in.
F1  cutting force component, lb/rev.
F2  cutting force constant associated with the cylinder feed rate in the direction of $F_{Qx}$, lb-sec/in.-rev.
Fdyn  dynamic cutting force
Fp, Fq, Fr  steady state cutting force components, parallel to the velocity approach vector, perpendicular to the finished work surface, and perpendicular to the first two respectively.
f  carriage feed rate, in./rev. of workpiece.
fq  feed rate in the direction of force $F_{Qx}$, in./rev.
Ga  spool valve area gradient, in$^2$/in. of spool displacement.
Gm  mechanical linkage gain, dimensionless.
i  angle of inclination, or obliquity, deg.
K  material constant, dimensionless.
Kq  spool valve flow gain, in$^3$/sec/in. of spool displacement.
Le, L1  actuator external, or internal laminar leakage coefficient, in$^3$/sec/psi.
$L_2 = \frac{L_1 + Le}{2}$ actuator total leakage coefficient, in$^3$/sec/psi.
L1, L2  spool valve input linkage lengths, in.
m  mass of hydraulic cylinder, lb-sec$^2$/in.
\( n \)  
workpiece rotational speed, rev./sec.

\( P_1, P_2 \)  
pressure in cylinder forward and return chamber, psi.

\( P_L = P_1 - P_2 \)  
load pressure difference across ram, psi.

\( P_r \)  
return, or exhaust pressure, psi.

\( P_s \)  
supply pressure, psi.

\( Q_1, Q_2 \)  
volume flow rate into cylinder forward chamber, out of cylinder return chamber, in.\(^3\)/sec.

\( Q_L \)  
load flow, = average of flows in the two actuator lines, in.\(^3\)/sec.

\( Q_{Le}, Q_{Li} \)  
leakage flow rate at ends of piston rod, and across piston, in.\(^3\)/sec.

\( Q_h \)  
flow rate through hole in piston, in.\(^3\)/sec.

\( r_1 \)  
\( r_1/r_2 = \) chip ratio, dimensionless, (value less than unity).

\( S \)  
Laplace operator, = \( \frac{d}{dt} \), sec\(^{-1}\).

\( T \)  
computer time, sec.

\( t \)  
system time, sec.

\( t_1, t_2 \)  
uncut, and final chip thickness, in.

\( V_1, V_2 \)  
volume of forward and return chamber, in.\(^3\).

\( V_0 \)  
one half the total trapped oil volume, in.\(^3\).

\( V_c, V_s, V_w \)  
chip velocity component in the plane of the tool face, shear velocity component in the shear plane, and approach velocity component respectively, for the thin-shear-plane model.

\( V_{cq} \)  
component of cylinder velocity in the direction of force component \( F_Q \), in/sec.

\( X_c \)  
cylinder linkage command input, parallel to the cylinder axis, in.

\( Y_c \)  
cylinder output displacement, along cylinder axis, in.

\( \alpha_n \)  
normal rake angle, deg.

\( \beta \)  
fluid bulk modulus of elasticity, psi.

\( \beta_e \)  
effective bulk modulus, psi.

\( \beta_n \)  
normal friction angle in oblique cutting, deg.

\( \gamma \)  
angle between cylinder and workpiece axis, deg.

\( \delta_h \)  
hydraulic damping ratio, dimensionless.
ε: magnitude ratio of fluctuating force.
η: chip, and shear flow angle, deg.
θ: template profile slope(s), deg.
μ: fluid absolute viscosity, lb·sec/in².
ρ: fluid mass density, lb·sec²/in⁴.
τ₀: shear strength with zero normal stress, psi.
τₛ: shear strength on shear plane, psi.
Φₙ: normal shear angle, deg.
ω: frequency of force variation, rad/sec.
ωₙ: hydraulic natural frequency, rad/sec.
Superscript ꞌ denotes differentiation with respect to time.
CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Literature Survey

Hydraulic servomechanisms have a natural application in power systems due to their high power capacity, power to inertia ratio and power to weight ratio. They are widely applied—References [1][2][3], in designs of aircraft control surface systems, missile attitude control systems, rocket throttling controls, gimbaled antennas, and numerous other fields. Machine tool control systems are a natural application since it is not uncommon that the loading of the member whose position is controlled is principally inertia.

Early development of hydraulic control was primarily on a trial and error procedure combined with experience from previous applications and experimental testing. Attempts at evolving design procedures by a purely analytical approach were hampered by the serious nonlinearities inherent in the differential and algebraic equations which described the system components. The most significant nonlinearity in the valve controlled system is the relationship between flow rate and pressure drop across the servo valve. By linearizing the valve flow equations, such dynamic characteristics as stability, transient and frequency response is obtained by deriving the incremental transfer function for the valve actuator and load combination, and applying automatic control system analysis such as described by D'Azzo and Houpis [5], Kuo[6] and others.

Analytical techniques now exist, such as described by Morse [10], Merritt [11], by which a preliminary dynamic analysis can be obtained of hydraulic control systems, particularly those using a flow control valve in the forward loop. The basic configuration of a hydraulic cylinder controlled by a four-way spool valve is the type of servo controlled positioning system discussed in an early paper by Harpur [12], in which a solution was given of the nonlinear equation of an error-actuated system using the classical method of small
perturbations. Shearer's [13] linear analysis of a balanced linear actuator connected to a mass plus friction load controlled by an open centre fourway spool valve included actuator laminar leakage and oil compressibility. Reeves [14] derived the linear equivalent of the valve flow by computing the fundamental component of the flow rate when spool displacement and output pressure are assumed to be sinusoidal.

The mathematical treatment of a mechanically actuated closed center spool valve, balanced linear actuator system under simple loading, excluding leakage and compressibility, but including the valve flow nonlinearity was further extended by Royle [19], Turnbull [20], and Butler [21].

If several system parameters are permitted to vary the manipulation of Nyquist criteria, Bode diagrams, Nichol's chart, root-locus plots and other graphical techniques become rather cumbersome [4]. With the introduction of nonlinearities such as backlash, pressure and flow saturation, stiction, coulomb friction, deadband, the analytical version of the problem becomes extremely time consuming. In studies of these systems, the analog computer offers a far greater flexibility than the graphical methods, makes possible a more accurate determination of frequency and transient response for large amplitudes where linearization techniques do not apply, and thus offers an excellent opportunity to study the effect of different parameters. References [7] [8] [9] give a detailed account of simulation techniques. Reethoff [15] demonstrated the power of combined analog simulation and limited testing of a hydromechanical position servomechanism with an unbalanced linear actuator connected to a mass plus spring load. Rausch [16] compared solutions of the nonlinear equations obtained by analog simulation with solutions of the incremental linear transfer function for an inertially loaded hydromechanical servomechanism, consisting of an open center valve and balanced actuator. Davies and Lambert [17], and Glaze [18] simulated a hydromechanical servomechanism flexibility connected to an inertial load.
The literature survey revealed that an external axial load is often omitted in publications, although Khaimovitch [34] included a constant load in his analysis of a machine tool hydraulic control system. This dissertation, therefore, extends the analysis by including the influence of a dynamic loading, [35] [36], which is a function of the output signal of the servomotor.

1.2 System Description

The valve controlled hydraulic system for the position control under investigation consists of three main elements: a variable delivery pump, a mechanically operated sliding type servovalve, and a linear actuator. The sliding valve is of spool type construction, having three lands operating within a fixed sleeve which contains the matching sets of sharp edged rectangular ports symmetrically placed along its periphery, as shown in Fig. 1-1. By using rectangular ports a linear area gradient is obtained and sufficient inner circumferential sleeve bearing surface remains to eliminate the need for additional centering lands. The valve area gradient, which is the rate of change of orifice area with spool stroke, is the principal parameter in establishing the flow gain of the valve and hence has a direct influence on the stability of the system. Establishing the flow gain is especially important in hydromechanical servos since it is often the only method of gain control in the control loop.

The width of each land is made equal to, or greater than, that of the matching port. With such a closed center configuration there is zero flow through the spool when it is at its neutral or null position; assuming zero leaking at the spool. The equal land port condition is a zero lapped valve often termed critical closed center. As seen from Fig. 1-2, positive displacement of the spool results in flow through the set of orifices 1 and 4. In European terminology, the valve would be described as having two control edges, meaning that two land edges are in the flow path. For matched orifices, an equal flow area would be exposed through orifices 1 and 4; or 2 and 3 for an opposite spool displacement. For symmetrical orifices, the area exposed through orifice 1 is equal to that exposed through orifice 2 for an equal but opposite spool displacement; similarly
FIGURE 1-2  Positive Spool Displacement Flow
for orifices 3 and 4. In the overlapped case, the amount of overlap refers to the difference in length between the edge of the port and that of the land, when the land is centrally located along the port. Flow through either set of orifices will, therefore, not occur until the spool is displaced an amount greater than the overlap. The effect of this deadband on system accuracy is included in the study. In practice, manufacturing a critical center valve demands stringent machining tolerances, and a slight overlap is often desired to offset the leakage effect of radial clearances.

An open center spool configuration, in which the port width exceeds that of the matching land, could also be used, although it was not included in this investigation. The spool is at its neutral position only when there is zero load on the actuator. It has the disadvantage of reducing overall efficiency since flow is ever present, but is, however, insensitive to temperature, and hence viscosity changes since a turbulent flow condition is assured at all times.

Due to the rate of change of fluid momentum through the valve, forces are developed which oppose the displacement of spool type valves. These forces are of importance in electrohydraulic servos when a valve is operated by a torque motor or solenoid which have distinct force limitations. This causes a limitation to the maximum size of the servo valve, or requires design of two stage configurations or some technique to reduce or compensate the steady state flow force. Investigations into these forces were led by Lee and Blackburn [22], followed by Noton and Turnbull [23]. Clark [24] suggested three methods of force compensation in addition to those suggested by Lee [25]. Since the force available for stroking the spool is provided by the carriage drive on the copying machine and the template is assumed to be rigidly mounted to the bed, these forces can be ignored in this study.

The linear actuator is a double acting, balanced piston configuration that divides the cylinder into two equal compartments. The fluid passages and the spool sleeve are an integral part of the actuator housing. By connecting the return lines at the sleeve, a "four way" valve results which allows actuator
directional reversal whether the piston is balanced or unbalanced. By contrast, a three way valve could be employed [33] which could require only two spool lands, but in order to obtain cylinder direction reversal the piston must be unbalanced; supply pressure is ported to one land and directly to the smaller area of the unequal area piston.

In valve controlled systems, the power is supplied by a constant pressure delivery pump. Two configurations are common; one consists of a constant delivery pump with a pressure relief valve, another consists of a variable delivery pump with a stroke control to regulate the pressure. The latter is more efficient, as no flow is delivered unless demanded by the servovalve. In practice, the pressure does drop slightly upon sudden, large flow demands. This variation is ignored in the analysis of the copying system. The effect on the system of different constant supply pressures is, however, included.

1.3 System Operation

Operation of the copying system as applied to a center lathe can be explained with the aid of sectional diagram Fig. 1-2 and of Fig. 1-3 in which direct mechanical feedback is employed. The cutting tool is fixed to the hydraulic actuator which forms the copying slide. Transverse movement of the copying slide is relative to the piston, which is rigidly connected to the carriage. During a turning operation, the carriage travels at a uniform longitudinal speed fn along the lathe bed. The template is fixed to the bed and thus provides the input signal to the spool. For a constant workpiece diameter the template profile is parallel to the workpiece axis and the spool is at neutral. For a spool displacement x from neutral as shown, supply pressure $P_s$ causes fluid to flow through control orifice 1 to the load chamber 1. Return flow is from chamber 2 through orifice 4 to the reservoir, and the actuator moves a distance $y$ with respect to the workpiece. The difference $e$ between the required (template) input and the actual (copying slide) output position provides the control error which activates the spool. If the spool displacement is halted, the actuator
FIGURE 1-3 Copying System With Direct Feedback
will continue to travel until the spool-actuator combination returns to neutral. It is readily seen that the behavior of the system is dependent upon many factors; the nature of the cutting loads, the tool, the velocity of the input, leakages, friction, inertia, supply pressure, flow rates, and elastic deformation of the components.

Fig. 1-4 is a schematic presentation showing the copying slide axis re-arranged from the right angle position of Fig. 1-3, to some angle $\gamma$ with the workpiece axis. This is commonly employed to more easily control the machining of shoulders and surfaces which lie at 90° to the turning axis. Two angles, 45° and 60°, are used in the study. A feedback lever, or error bar, connected to the spool and pivoting at the actuator is included since it is a common feature resulting from design considerations of accessibility, simplicity and general mechanical arrangement of the copying system. Various lever ratios are simulated in the study for the sake of completeness, but it is assumed that this arrangement is free of backlash, has negligible inertia, and undergoes zero structural deflection.

4.4 Cutting Operations and Limitations

During system operation, the carriage is driven by the lead screw in a direction parallel to the workpiece axis, at a uniform rate $f_n$. For a given template slope the input velocity of the mechanical linkage in a direction parallel to the copying actuator (cylinder) axis is a function of the carriage velocity. The result of a graphical solution of the vector addition $f_n + \dot{X}_c$ is given in Fig. 1-5 for two values of $\gamma$.

The system is physically restricted to an operating range of template slopes which is dependent upon the $\gamma$ employed, due to the requirement that the carriage velocity be positive during a copying cycle; the range being greater than $\gamma^0$ and less than $180^0 + \gamma$. This can be illustrated using the template slope definition of Fig. 1-4 by considering a hypothetical case in which the lead screw is disengaged, ($f_n = 0$) and linkage inputs are supplied directly by the machine tool operator. An actuator extension command would produce the
maximum machined slope of $180^\circ + \gamma$. To increase the resultant slope, the leadscrew would have to drive the carriage in a reverse (negative) direction. The minimum slope limit can be established in a similar way by considering an actuator retraction stroke.

The actual machined slope is further restricted by the existing tool geometry which is discussed in the next chapter. A workpiece requiring machined surfaces which are beyond the template slope limitations can be obtained on a machine tool while maintaining a constant $\gamma$; by employing a second copying lathe and template, operating from the opposite side of the workpiece. A restriction which exists in both cases, however, is that the tool tip radius and the template follower radius must be smaller than a given template corner or fillet radius.

The carriage feed rate and depth of cut are selected in combination with the workpiece rotational speed, dependent upon workpiece and tool materials, and the degree of surface finish required. The ratio of depth of cut to feed is given in machinery handbooks as having a value of ten for roughing operations, and three for finish operations. Surface cutting speeds for finish cuts are in general five times that of rough cuts, the limitations being the sacrifice to tool life, and the capabilities of the lathe. It is possible that a combination of speeds, feeds, and depth of cut can be selected that require a greater horsepower than is available at the drive spindle. Values of $b$, $f$, $n$, $\gamma$ and the geometry of the tool determine the magnitude of a force component and a force constant which are a part of the dynamic cutting force derived in Chapter 3. Investigations of rough and finish cuts for some tool geometries and template slopes are included in the simulation.

1.5 System Analysis

Accuracy of the actuator displacement to follow the template is the prime consideration in the copying system. The difference between the input to the spool linkage ($X_c$) which is applied by the template and the actual
actuator displacement \((Y_C)\) results in a workpiece diametral error having a magnitude of \(2 \left( X_C - Y_C \right) \sin \gamma \). Ideally, small parameter changes should result in small changes in dynamic performance of the fluid power system. An analog simulation provides a very effective engineering tool for the study of parameter sensitivity. Due to its rapid, real time solution rate, it is possible to examine a large number of operating conditions and quickly reject or verify postulated causes or solutions for undesired performance. It also allows artificially holding constant certain variables of the system so as to gain insight into its effect on the system.

Important dynamic characteristics such as stability can be studied, stability implying that pressure and motion transients decay, leaving the desired steady state behavior.

In order to explore the effect of the system loading, in as simple a way as possible, a basic system performance criterion was adopted by integrating the absolute value of the workpiece radial error and noting the resulting effect of changes due to different cutting operations, for a given ‘input displacement’.
CHAPTER 2

OBLIQUE CUTTING AND THE COPYING SYSTEM

2.1 Geometry of Oblique Cutting

The major geometrical difference between two dimensional (orthogonal) and oblique cutting is the angle of inclination, or obliquity \( \phi \), which exists between the cutting edge and a normal to the cutting velocity vector, as illustrated in Fig. 2-1. The geometry involved in oblique cutting based on a single thin shear plane model for a single cutting edge as suggested by Merchant [27] can be represented as shown in Fig. 2-2 [26]. The primary, or normal rake angle is measured from the true side view of the rake face to a line perpendicular to the cutting velocity vector \( V_w \) and is designated \( \alpha_n \). The angle measured in a plane that is normal to the cutting edge, to the plane containing the formed work surface is the normal shear angle, \( \phi_n \). The ratio of the uncut chip thickness \( t_1 \), to the final chip thickness \( t_2 \), is called the chip ratio \( r_t \). From the geometry, an expression can be derived from which the normal shear angle can be calculated, knowing the chip ratio (a value less than unity) and the normal rake angle:

\[
\tan \phi_n = \frac{r_t \cos \alpha_n}{1 - r_t \sin \alpha_n}
\]  

(2.1)

**FIGURE 2-1** Oblique Cutting
The three velocity components lie in one plane and are identified as the approach velocity \( V_w \), the shear velocity \( V_s \) in the shear plane, the chip velocity \( V_c \) in the plane of the tool face.

As can be seen in the figure, the chip cross section is a parallelogram. The angle between the shear velocity in the shear plane and the normal to the cutting edge is the shear flow angle \( \gamma_s \). In the rake face plane, the angle between the chip flow velocity and the normal to the cutting edge is called the chip flow angle \( \gamma_c \). Stabler [28] [29] reported that experimentally the chip flow angle is equal to the angle of obliquity for all test conditions he investigated including tool and work materials, rake angles and speeds, and he proposed the Chip Flow Law:

\[
\gamma_c = \theta
\]

(2.2)

As noted by Choi [30] further researches [31] revealed that not all experimental results are in agreement with Merchant's model or Stabler's Chip Flow Law. This approximation, however, is utilized in this investigation.

2.2 Force and Stress Relationships

Armarego and Brown [26] have derived steady state, or average force relationships for single edge oblique cutting using a thin shear plane model. The results of these derivations are presented below, modified slightly to adapt to the turning operation. Three basic assumptions were made:

1. The tool tip is sharp and no rubbing or ploughing forces act on the tool tip.
2. The stress distributions in the shear plane are uniform.
3. The resultant force acting on the chip at the shear plane is equal, opposite and collinear to the force acting on the chip at the rake face.

Three force components were chosen: the power contributing force component \( F_p \) which is parallel with the velocity approach vector \( V_w \), one perpendicular to the finished work surface \( F_Q \), and a third \( F_R \) which is perpendicular to the other two. Component \( F_p \) is at \( 90^\circ \) to the hydraulic cylinder longitudinal axis during the
copying operation, and results in frictional forces on the moving cylinder. This type of loading is not simulated, so that an expression for \( F_p \) is not included here.

Two components of the resultant force were considered to act on the shear plane and two on the rake face. A normal friction angle \( \beta_n \) was defined in terms of the two components on the rake face and the angle between the friction force and the normal to the cutting edge, \( \gamma_c' \). Assuming that the chip velocity and friction force are collinear and that the shear velocity (hence shear strain) and shear force (hence shear stress) in the shear plane are also coincident, a geometrical relationship between \( \alpha_n, \Phi_n, \beta_n, \gamma_c \) and \( i \) was derived, and expressed as:

\[
\tan (\Phi_n + \beta_n) = \frac{\tan i \cos \alpha_n}{\tan \gamma_c' \sin \alpha_n \tan i}
\]

By substituting the previous assumption that \( \gamma_c' = i \neq \alpha \), this expression is simplified to:

\[
\tan (\Phi_n + \beta_n) = \frac{\cos \alpha_n}{1 - \sin \alpha_n}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

The force components \( F_Q \) and \( F_R \) (pounds force for each revolution of the workpiece) assuming \( \gamma_c = i = \gamma_c' \) are given by:

\[
F_Q = \frac{\tau_s b \ f_q \sin (\beta_n - \alpha_n)}{\sin \Phi_n \cos i \sqrt{\cos^2 (\Phi_n + \beta_n - \alpha_n) + \tan^2 i \sin^2 \beta_n}}
\]

\[
F_R = \frac{\tau_s b \ f_q (\cos (\beta_n - \alpha_n) \tan i - \tan i \sin \beta_n)}{\sin \Phi_n \sqrt{\cos^2 (\Phi_n + \beta_n - \alpha_n) + \tan^2 i \sin^2 \beta_n}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

Figure 2-3 shows the geometrical relationship of these force components when applied to the copying system. The angle \( C_s \) is the side cutting edge angle of the tool and is defined with respect to the cylinder. The carriage feed rate is designated as \( f \) (inches per revolution of the workpiece) and the rotational speed of the work piece is \( n \) (rev/sec). As described in Chapter 1, for a given cutting
FIGURE 2-3. Cylinder Effective Feed Rate.
operation the carriage travels at a uniform velocity \( f_n \) (inches/sec) along the lathe bed and remains positive as shown. The velocity of the cylinder with respect to the carriage, \( v_c \), is positive (as shown), or negative, depending upon the template shape. For directions shown in the figure, the resulting component of cylinder velocity in the direction of \( F_Q \) is therefore:

\[
v_{cq} = v_c \cos \left( \gamma - \bar{C}_s \right) + f_n \cos C_s
\]

The feed rate in this direction is \( v_{cq}/n \), so that:

\[
f_q = \frac{v_c \cos \left( \gamma - \bar{C}_s \right)}{n} + f \cos C_s
\]  

(2.5)

Thus the cutting force components are dependent upon the response of the piston - cylinder control system. This will be discussed in Chapter 3. The force component acting along the cylinder axis, opposing cylinder extension can also be obtained from the figure, and is expressed:

\[
F = F_Q \cos \left( \gamma - \bar{C}_s \right) - F_R \sin \left( \gamma - \bar{C}_s \right)
\]  

(2.6)

Force components \( F_Q \) and \( F_R \) can be expressed (equations 2.4) as:

\[
F_Q = K_Q b f_q \quad \text{and} \quad F_R = K_R b f_q
\]

where

\[
K_Q = \frac{\tau_s \sin (\beta_n - \alpha_n)}{\sin \phi_n \cos i \left( \frac{\cos^2 (\phi_n + \beta_n - \alpha_n)}{\sin^2 \beta_n} + \tan^2 i \sin^2 \beta_n \right)}
\]

and

\[
K_R = \frac{\tau_s (\cos (\beta_n - \alpha_n) \tan i - \tan i \sin \beta_n)}{\sin \phi_n \left( \cos^2 (\phi_n + \beta_n - \alpha_n) + \tan^2 i \sin^2 \beta_n \right)}
\]  

(2.7)
A relationship for the shear strength on the shear plane \( \tau_s \) has been given by Merchant [27] as:

\[
\tau_s = \frac{\tau_o}{1 - K \tan (\varphi_n + \beta_n - \alpha_n)}
\]

(2.8)

Values of \( \tau_o \) and \( K \) were stated to be 69000 psi and 0.175 respectively for steel SAE 4340.

Numerical values of \( K_Q \) and \( K_R \) can therefore be obtained from a given set of values of \( \gamma, r, \varphi_n, \alpha_n, \tau_o \) and \( K \), by assuming \( \gamma = \gamma \), calculating \( \Phi_n \) from equation 2.1, \( \beta_n \) from equation 2.3, and substituting into expressions 2.7.
CHAPTER 3

MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF THE SYSTEM

3.1 Spool Flow Equation

For steady state flow, the volume flow rate through each orifice of the valve sleeve can be obtained by applying Bernoulli's equation for incompressible flow, resulting in the turbulent flow equation:

\[ Q = C_d A_o \left[ \frac{2}{\rho} \left( \frac{p_{Up}}{p_{Down}} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(3.1)

Because the spool valve chambers are small, compressibility flows are ignored and the relationship is thereby assumed to be valid for the dynamic state. Compressibility flows are included when considering the combination of valve, actuator and lines (ref. Appendix A).

The discharge coefficient, mass density and supply pressure are considered constant. With the notation of Fig. 1-2 for non cavitating condition with zero leakage and lpp at the spool, application of (3.1) gives:

\[ Q = C_d A_1 \left[ \frac{2}{\rho} (p_s - p_1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = C_d A_4 \left[ \frac{2}{\rho} (p_2 - p_r) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(3.2)

Similarly, for \( e < 0 \):

\[ Q = -C_d A_2 \left[ \frac{2}{\rho} (p_s - p_2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = -C_d A_3 \left[ \frac{2}{\rho} (p_1 - p_r) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  

(3.3)

For orifices that are matched and symmetrical we see from equations (3.2) and (3.3) that:

\[ p_s = p_1 + p_2 \]  

(3.4)

if the return pressure \( p_r \) is zero.
By defining the load pressure, or pressure drop across the load as
\[ P_L = P_1 - P_2 \] and using equation 3.4,
\[ P_1 = \frac{1}{2} (P_s + P_L) \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{2} (P_s - P_L) \] (3.5)

Using rectangular ports, the flow area is
\[ A = G_a e \] (3.6)

The valve area gradient \( G_a \) is the total width of all the rectangular slots at a particular orifice (see Fig. 1-1). Using (3.5) and (3.6) equations (3.2) and (3.3) become:

\[ Q = C_d G_a e \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} (P_s - P_L)^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \ e > 0 \] (3.7)

\[ Q = -C_d G_a e \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} (P_s + P_L)^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \ e < 0 \] (3.8)

The load flow equations can therefore be given as a single relation:

\[ Q_L = C_d G_a e \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left( P_s - \frac{e}{|e|} P_L \right)^{1/2} \] (3.9)

where \( \frac{e}{|e|} \) is the sgn function.

It must be noted that when cavitation does exist, the inflow and outflow are not equal and the flow through each orifice must be treated separately. The non-linear pressure flow characteristics defined by (3.9) are presented in a normalized form in Appendix A, from which linear flow-spool displacement characteristics due to the rectangular ports can be obtained.

For a symmetrical and balance spool-sleeve combination having a spool overlap of \( e \) inches, equation (3.9) becomes:
\[ Q_L = \frac{C_d G_a (e - e_o)}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left( p_s - \frac{e}{|e|} p_L \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } e > e_o \]

\[ Q_L = \frac{C_d G_a (e - e_o)}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left( p_s - \frac{e}{|e|} p_L \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } e < -e_o \]

\[ Q_L = 0 \quad -e_o < e < e_o \quad (3.10) \]

The orifice discharge coefficient and fluid density are assumed constant, with values of 0.61 [11] and 78 x 10^{-4} lb-sec^2/in^4.

Rounding of edges strengthens the tendency of the flow to form a boundary layer, so that the transition from laminar to turbulent flow occurs at a higher Reynolds number.

Investigations [32] for annular ports showed that the laminar flow coefficient, which is a function of the edge geometry, decreased from a value of 0.1 to less than 0.03, which shifted the transition \( R_e \) from 40 to 400. This had the effect of tripling the velocity time constant, which had an initial value of four milliseconds. The effect on rectangular ports was not described.

3.2 Actuator Flow Equation

The load flow is consumed by flow to displace the actuator, flow stored due to compressibility, and leakage flow. The equation can be written (ref. Appendix A) as:

\[ Q_L = A Y_c + \frac{V_o}{2 \beta_e} \dot{p}_L + L \dot{p}_L \quad (3.11) \]

The assumptions made are: constant fluid mass density, supply pressure and effective bulk modulus of elasticity, laminar (annular) leakage flows at the piston and piston rod ends, and that the piston is centered along the cylinder.
To study the effect of a small hole drilled longitudinally through the piston, the equation can be expanded to:

$$Q_L = A_c \dot{Y}_c + \frac{V_0}{2 \beta_e} \dot{P}_L + L_P \dot{P}_L + Q_h$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)$$

Assuming turbulent flow, an expression for this flow can be written:

$$Q_h = C_d A_h \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho}} \left| \frac{P_1 - P_2}{P_1} \right| \operatorname{sgn}(P_1 - P_2)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho}} C_d A_h \sqrt{|P_1|} \operatorname{sgn} P_L$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.13)$$

3.3 Mechanical Feedback Equation

The displacement of a critical closed centre spool from its neutral position can be expressed by the kinematic equation of the error bar for small angular movements. From Figure 3-1, defining the mechanical gain $G_m$ as the ratio of level lengths $\frac{\ell_1}{\ell_2}$, a small lever input displacement of $X_c$ produces a spool travel of $X_c \sqrt{G_m}$ for zero motion of the cylinder. By considering the input end fixed at this displacement, the cylinder would have to travel distance $Y_c$ before the spool would again be at neutral. For this cylinder travel, the spool displacement equals $\left[ \frac{\ell_1}{\ell_1 + \ell_2} \right] Y_c$, or $\left[ 1 - \frac{1}{G_m} \right] Y_c$.

The spool displacement from neutral, $e$, can therefore be related to the input displacement and the resulting output (cylinder) displacement, since error $e = \text{total spool travel} - Y_c$.

$$e = \frac{X_c}{G_m} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{G_m} \right) Y_c$$

so that,

$$e = \frac{1}{G_m} (X_c - Y_c)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.14)$$
3.4 Equilibrium Equation

For a positive cylinder displacement $Y_c$, the total axial load is balanced by the pressures $P_1$ and $P_2$ prevailing in the two cylinder chambers (ref. Fig. 1-2). By applying Newton's second-law to the forces on the cylinder, the force equation can be written:

$$ P_{LA} = F + m \ddot{Y}_a - B \dot{Y}_c $$  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)

3.5 Cutting Force Equation

Substituting force components expressed by equation 2.7 into the expression for the resultant force acting along the cylinder axis, equation 2.6 yields:

$$ F = b f \left( \frac{K_a}{q} \cos (\gamma - C) - K_a \sin (\gamma + C) \right) $$

Upon substitution of equation 2.5 this becomes:

$$ F = F_1 + F_2 \dot{Y}_c $$  \hspace{1cm} (3.16)
where

\[ F_1 = b f \cos C_s \left[ K_Q \cos (\gamma - C_s) - K_R \sin (\gamma - C_s) \right] \]

and

\[ F_2 = \frac{b}{n} \cos (\gamma - C_s) \left[ K_Q \cos (\gamma - C_s) + K_R \sin (\gamma - C_s) \right] \]

For a constant cylinder velocity, \( F \) is the average static cutting force. By the addition of a fluctuating component having a magnitude ratio \( \epsilon \) with the static force, and assuming a sinusoidal waveform, the dynamic cutting force \( F_{\text{dyn}} \) can be written as: [35] [36]

\[ F_{\text{dyn}} = F + \epsilon F \sin \omega t \quad (3.17) \]

where \( \omega \), the frequency of force variation, is dependent upon cutting conditions.

Upon substitution for \( F \), equation (3.17) becomes: [35] [36]

\[ F_{\text{dyn}} = (F_1 + F_2 \dot{Y}_c) (1 + \epsilon \sin \omega t) \quad (3.18) \]

3.6 Linear Analysis Transfer Function

Substituting for \( P_L \), \( \dot{P}_L \) from equation (3.15) into (3.11) the expression for the load flow becomes:

\[ Q_L = A \dot{Y}_c + \frac{L_t}{A} \left( m \ddot{Y}_c + B \dot{Y}_c + F \right) + \frac{V_o}{2 \beta_e A} \left( m \ddot{Y}_c + B \dot{Y}_c + F \right) \]

Letting \( S = \frac{d}{dt} \), the equation of the actuator and load is:

\[ Y_c = \frac{2 \beta_e A}{m V_0} Q_L - \left( \frac{1}{m} S + \frac{2 \beta_e L_t}{m V_0} \right) F \]

\[ S \left( S^2 + \left( \frac{2 \beta_e A}{V_0} + \frac{B}{m} \right) S + \frac{2 \beta_e L_t B}{m V_0} + \frac{2 \beta_e A^2}{m V} \right) \]
For constant \( P_L, C_d, G_d, P_s, \) and \( e \), equation (3.9) can be written as:

\[
Q_L = K_q e
\]

With this substitution, the equation of the actuator, load and valve is:

\[
Y_c = \frac{g_1 e - g_2 (1 - TS) \cdot F}{S \left( \frac{S^2}{\omega_h^2} + \frac{2 \delta_h}{\omega_h} S + 1 \right)}
\]

where:

\[
\omega_h = \left[ \frac{2 \beta_e A^a}{m V_o} \right] \left( 1 + \frac{L_t B}{A^a} \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
T = \frac{V_o}{2 \beta_e L_t}, \quad g_1 = \left( \frac{2 \beta_e A}{m V_o \omega_h^a} \right) K_q, \quad g_2 = \frac{1}{m T \omega_h^a}
\]

and

\[
\delta_h = \frac{1}{2T \omega_h^a} + \frac{B}{2m \omega_h^a}
\]

If \( \frac{L_t}{A^a} \), the inverse of the damping coefficient due to the actuator leakage is \( \ll B \), then

\[
\omega_h = \left[ \frac{2 \beta_e A^a}{m V_o} \right]^{1/2}, \quad T \text{ is unchanged},
\]

\[
g_1 = \frac{K_q}{A}, \quad g_2 = \frac{L_t}{A^a}, \quad \delta_h = \frac{L_t}{A} \left[ \frac{m \beta_e}{2V_o} \right]^{1/2} + \frac{B}{2A} \left[ \frac{V_o}{2m \beta_e} \right]^{1/2}
\]
The resulting transfer function is:

\[
Y_c = \frac{\frac{K_a}{A} e - \frac{L_f}{A} (1 - TS) F}{S \left( \frac{S^2}{\omega_h^2} + \frac{2 \delta_h}{\omega_h} S + 1 \right)}
\]  \quad (3.19)

From this dynamic response equation, we can identify the first term of the numerator at the no load velocity, and the second term as the drop in velocity due to the applied loading. Servovalves have fast responses so that the actuator-load natural frequency \( \omega_h \) is usually the lowest break-frequency in the loop and therefore dominates dynamic performance, i.e., it establishes the overall speed of response of the valve-actuator combination. By analogy to a mechanical system of a mass suspended between two springs (each having a spring rate \( k \)), whose natural frequency is \( \sqrt{\frac{2k}{m}} \), we can define the total hydraulic spring rate, \( K_h = \frac{2 \beta_v A^3}{V_0} \). The hydraulic natural frequency can thus be described as due to the interaction of the two trapped "springs" within the cylinder and lines, with the mass of the system.
CHAPTER 4

SYSTEM SIMULATION

4.1 Introduction

The magnitude and time scaled equations of the mathematical model, and the analog circuit are given in Appendix C. The general template profiles simulated are shown in Figure 4-1, and consist of straight line elements, or slopes. As defined, slopes \( \Theta \) are measured from a datum line parallel to the workpiece rotational axis, in a counter-clockwise direction. A template slope greater than \( 180^\circ \) therefore generates a positive actuator linkage input, with a resulting actuator extension in which the tool travels toward the workpiece axis. The simulation assumes that for any given cutting operation, the workpiece has previously been machined to the general desired shape, so that a constant width of cut, \( b \), exists.

Values for the cutting force component \( F_1 \) and the force constant \( F_2 \) are pre-selected to define a particular cutting operation, since they are a function of the chip ratio \( \tau \), tool geometry \( i, C_s, \alpha_n \), the width of cut \( b \), the cylinder-to-workpiece angle \( \gamma \), the carriage feed rate \( f \) in the case of \( F_1 \), and the workpiece rotational speed \( n \), in the case of \( F_2 \).

Selection of \( F_1, F_2 \) values for a given set of conditions is illustrated by the following example of a typical rough machining operation. Assuming a four inch diameter workpiece, and a desired cutting velocity of 480 ft/min, establishes the required workpiece rotational speed (n) of 7.64 rev./sec.

Selecting a width of cut (b) of .09 inch, a carriage feed rate (f) of .009 inch/rev., \( \gamma = 45^\circ \), \( i = 10^\circ \), \( \alpha_n = 17.5^\circ \), \( C_s = 0 \), \( \tau = .5 \), a value of 5.6 for \( F_1 \), 47. for \( F_2 \) is obtained from Figures A2-3 (b) and A2-4 (b) respectively of Appendix B. Selecting a template slope of \( \Theta = 223^\circ \), Figure 1-5 can be used (since \( f_n \) is now defined), to obtain the required linkage input velocity \( X_c \) of 1.5 in/sec. A negative input velocity of the same magnitude is seen to represent a template slope of 47°.
FIGURE 4-1  Simulated Template Profiles
Five loading conditions were investigated ranging from a heavy roughing \((b = .15)\) to a finish operation \((b = .009)\), based on the parameters listed in Table 4.1.

Constants of the system are:

\[
V_0 = 7 \text{ in.}^3 \\
C_d = .61 \\
A = 1 \text{ in.}^2 \\
B = .20 \text{ lb-sec/in.} \\
\rho = .78 \times 10^{-4} \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{in.}^4
\]

The following parameters were varied; values that are listed represent the "normal" system. Deviations from these values are noted on the Figures given in Section 4.2.

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_e &= 1 \times 10^5 \text{ psi} \\
\epsilon &= .05 \\
L_t &= 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.}^3/\text{sec/psi} \\
\omega &= .0 \text{ rad/sec.} \\
A_h &= 3.25 \times 10^{-5} \text{ in.}^2 \\
P_s &= 1500 \text{ psi} \\
m &= .13 \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{in.} \\
\gamma &= 45^\circ \\
G_m &= 4 \\
X_c &= 1.5 \text{ in/sec.} \\
G_a &= .14 \text{ in}^2/\text{in.} \\
X_c &= 0 \text{ to }.15 \text{ in.} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\epsilon_0 = 0 \text{ in.}
\]
### TABLE 4.1

Cutting Conditions For Simulated Template Profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>( f )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
<th>( i )</th>
<th>( r_t )</th>
<th>( \alpha_n )</th>
<th>( C_s )</th>
<th>( F_1 )</th>
<th>( F_2 )</th>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( X_c )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>.45°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>205°</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>.45°</td>
<td>10°</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>17.5°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>223°</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>.45°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>206°</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>.049</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>.45°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>10°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>220°</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>.45°</td>
<td>5°</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>204°</td>
<td>.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Results

In addition to the simulation of the five load conditions described in Table 4.1 which range from the heavy rough machining operation, condition A, to a finish machining operation, condition E; the results include the response of the system to a .06 inch step input under no load, a .03 inch step input under \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) values of condition A, and a sample of the performance under zero load.

For the zero load condition, the absence of a set of values of \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) does not permit a template slope to be quantitatively identified.

In keeping with the template profiles illustrated in Figure 4-1, the simulation of an actuator extension or retraction stroke terminates with a constant template slope of 180°. During this latter part of the travel, the \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) values listed in Table 4.1 continue to be applied to the actuator but the spool linkage input velocity at the template in a direction parallel to the actuator axis, \( \dot{X}_c \), is zero (ref. Figure 1-5).

Load conditions A and E are based on identical values of tool geometry, chip ratio, cylinder workpiece angle and nearly identical template slopes. Differences in tool geometry \( \alpha_n \), \( C_s \) and machine settings \( f \), \( n \), between load conditions B and D result in the large (6 inch/sec) servo linkage input rate of condition D although the template profiles are similar. The carriage velocity of 1.05 inch/sec of condition C along with the values of \( b \), \( \gamma \), \( i \), \( r_i \), \( C_s \), \( \Theta \) make this condition similar to condition A, but the larger \( f \) and smaller \( n \) of condition C have resulted in larger values of \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \), suppressing the benefits of a larger \( \alpha_n \).

The workpiece radial error \( E \) as defined in the nomenclature can be related to the spool valve displacement error (equation 3.14) by the expression \( e = G_m \sin \gamma \). The spool linkage input displacement \( X_c \) and actuator output displacement \( Y_c \) such as displayed in Figure 4-11 (b) and 4-11 (d) assist in understanding the physical significance of the associated spool displacement but are not a pictorial presentation of the actual template or workpiece profiles.
FIGURE 4-2. Effect of Increasing Frequency of the Force Under Load Condition B

Variation on the Spool Displacement and Criterion: Actuator Extension

ω = 90 rad/sec.
ω = 60 rad/sec.
ω = 40 rad/sec.
ω = 20 rad/sec.
ω = 0 rad/sec.

θ = 223°
e_in. 0 .005

X_e = 0 to .50 in.
FIGURE 4-3. Effect of Increasing Frequency of the Force
Variation on the Spool Displacement and Criterion: Actuator Extension Under
Load-Condition B With Fluctuating Force Magnitude Ratio of .10
FIGURE 4-4. Effect of Increasing Frequency of the Force Variation on the Spool Displacement and Criterion: Actuator Retraction Under Load Condition B.
FIGURE 4-5. Effect of Spool Valve Overlap on the Spool
Displacement and Criterion: Actuator Retraction vs. Extension Under Load Condition B
FIGURE 4-6. Effect of Spool Valve Overlap on the Spool Displacement and Criterion: Actuator Retraction Under Load Condition B.
FIGURE 4-7. Effect of Increasing Frequency of the Force Variation on the Spool Displacement and Criterion: Actuator Extension With Spool Valve Overlap Under Load Condition B.
$G_a = .140 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.}$

$G_a = .184 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.}$

$G_a = .220 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.}$

$e = .05 \quad \omega = 300 \text{ rad/sec} \quad \theta = 223^\circ \quad X_c = 0 \text{ to } .50 \text{ in.}$

**FIGURE 4-8** Effect of Increasing Spool Valve Area Gradient on the Spool Displacement and Criterion; Actuator Extension Under-Load Condition B.
FIGURE 4-9. Effect of Increasing Frequency of the Force
Variation on the Spool Displacement and Criterion; Actuator Retraction Under Load
Condition C.
FIGURE 4-10. Effect of Increasing Frequency of the Force

Variation on the Spool Displacement and Criterion; Actuator Extension With Spool Valve Overlap Under Load Condition C With Fluctuating Force Magnitude Ratio of .05
FIGURE 4-11. Effect of Decreasing Supply Pressure on the Spool Displacement, Criterion, and Actuator Displacement; Actuator Extension Under Load Condition A."
FIGURE 4-12. Effect of Leakage, Supply Pressure, and Axial Piston Hole Dia. on the Actuator Displacement; 0.03 Step Input Under Cutting Force Component and Force Constant of Load Condition A.
FIGURE 4-14. Effect of Increasing Actuator Mass on the Spool Displacement, Criterion, and Actuator Displacement; Actuator Extension Under Load Condition A.
\[ \beta_e = 0.5 \times 10^5 \, \text{psi} \]
\[ A_h = 0 \, \text{in.}^2 \quad \omega = 0 \, \text{rad/sec.} \]

\[ \beta_e = 2 \times 10^5 \, \text{psi} \]
\[ A_h = 0 \, \text{in.}^2 \quad \omega = 0 \, \text{rad/sec.} \]

**FIGURE 4-15.** Effect of Increasing Effective Bulk Modulus on the Workpiece Radial Error and the Load Pressure; Actuator Extension Under Load Condition A.
\[ L_t = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.}^3/\text{sec/psi} \quad G_a = 0.140 \text{ in.}^3/\text{in.} \]

\[ \Theta = 220^\circ \rightarrow 51^\circ \rightarrow 220^\circ \rightarrow 51^\circ \quad X_c = 6 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 6 \text{ in./sec} \quad X_c = 0 \rightarrow 0.35 \rightarrow 0.35 \rightarrow 0.35 \text{ in.} \]

**FIGURE 4-16. Load Pressure Response to Sawtooth Input Under Load Condition D**
$L_t = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.}^3/\text{sec}\cdot\text{psi}$

$G_a = 0.140 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.}$

$\Theta = 220^\circ - 51^\circ - 220^\circ - 51^\circ$

$X_c = 6 - 6 + 6 - 6 \text{ in./sec}$

$X_c = 0 \rightarrow 35 \rightarrow 35 \rightarrow 35 \rightarrow 35 \text{ in.}$

**FIGURE 4-17.** Load Pressure Response to Sawtooth Input Under Load Condition D; Actuator Total Leakage Coefficient One Half of Normal Value.
$L_t = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.}^3/\text{sec/psi}$  
$G_a = 0.184 \text{ in.}^3/\text{in.}$

$\Theta = 220^\circ - 51^\circ - 220^\circ - 51^\circ$  
$X_c = 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 \text{ in./sec}$  
$X_c = 0 - 0.35 - 0.35 - 0.35 \text{ in.}$

**FIGURE 4-18** Load Pressure Response to Sawtooth Input Under Load Condition D; Actuator Total Leakage Coefficient One Half of Normal Value, Spool Valve Area Gradient Increased.
FIGURE 4-19. Effect of Increasing the Fluctuating Force Magnitude Ratio on the Spool Displacement and Criterion; Actuator Extension With Spool Valve Overlap Under Load Condition E.
FIGURE 4-20. Effect of Increasing the Spool Valve Area Gradient on the Actuator Output Displacement and Velocity Response to a 0.06 Step Input Under No Load

\[ G_a = 0.10 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \]

\[ G_a = 0.213 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \]

\[ A_h = 0 \text{ in.}^2 \]

\[ m = 0.065 \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{in.} \]

\[ L_t = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.}^3/\text{sec/psi} \]
FIGURE 4-21. Effect of Increasing the Actuator Mass on the Actuator Output
Displacement and Velocity Response to a .06 Step Input Under No Load
Figure 4-22. Effect of Increasing Actuator Leakage, Axial Piston Hole Dia. and Spool Valve Area Gradient on the Spool Displacement Response to a .06 Step Input Under No Load.
CHAPTER 5

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A significant indication of the performance of the system is obtained by examining the spool valve displacement error, $e$. To compliment this output criterion has been employed, which is the time integral of the absolute value of the workpiece radial error, itself directly related to $e$ through the angle $\gamma$.

During extension or retraction strokes with the actuator under load but with zero frequency of force variation, the spool displacement reaches a maximum steady state value which is maintained until the template slope of 180° is reached, after which time the spool displacement begins to decrease. With the addition of a fluctuating force component having a sinusoidal waveform, the spool oscillates about the steady state value with the same frequency as that of the force variation. As the magnitude ratio of the fluctuating force is increased, so also is the magnitude of the fluctuation of the spool displacement. This is shown in Figures 4-2 and 4-3 for the system under study having the parameters listed in Section 4.1 (except that $X_c$ has a value of .50 in.) for cutting condition B as defined in Table 4-1. With this combination, the maximum spool displacement of .006 inch is reached after .05 seconds. The same length of time is seen to exist during the decreasing spool displacement which reduces to a value of zero. It is seen that the criterion remains the same in slope(s) and magnitude as the frequency and the magnitude ratio of force variation increases. Figure 4-4 shows that the maximum spool displacement during a retraction stroke is less than during an extension stroke and this is reflected in the lower maximum value and the lower initial slope, .0139 in. of Figure 4-4 compared with .0166 in. of Figure 4-2 or 4-3.

The existence of a .001 inch spool overlap results in an increase in the maximum spool displacement error during both extension and retraction strokes, being greater in the retraction case, and produces a spool error having a magnitude equal to the overlap upon termination of the stroke, as seen in Figure 4-5(a), (b), and Figure 4-6. The additional spool displacement error existing during the
actuator retraction-stroke as seen in Figure 4-6(a) and (b) is an increase of 20% in the spool error. The 20% increase in error is reflected in the slope of the first segment of the criterion, having a value of .017 in. shown in Figure 4-6(b), and value of .014 shown in Figure 4-6(a); and in comparing the magnitude of the criterion at the instant the spool returns to or passes through the zero position, these values being .006 in.-sec. in Figure 4-6(b) and .005 in.-sec. in Figure 4-6(a).

In comparing Figure 4-2(a) with Figure 4-7(a) it is found that the .001 inch spool overlap causes an increase of 7% to the maximum spool displacement error during the extension stroke. The effect of the eightfold increase in the magnitude ratio of the fluctuating force on the spool displacement fluctuations is also readily apparent when comparing Figure 4-7(d) with Figure 4-2(d), having a peak to peak amplitude of .0014 inch.

The beneficial effect of increasing the spool valve area gradient is shown in Figure 4-8. Comparing Figure 4-8(b) with 4-8(a), it is seen that a 31.4% increase in Gc reduces the average spool displacement during the extension stroke by 29%. Similarly, comparison of Figures 4-8(c) with (a) shows that a 57% increase in Ga reduces the spool displacement error by 40%. In both cases, the stability of the system which is continually under load, remains unchanged.

With the system operating under much larger values of the cutting force constant the spool does not return to zero and the frequency of force variation is seen to exist at the spool both during and at the end of the stroke. This is seen in Figure 4-9 for load condition C in which the F1 value (ref. Table 4.1) is thirty-four times larger than for condition B. Failure to re-zero is due to the combination of loading, actuator leakage and of the small hole through the piston.

With the addition of spool overlap, the spool error remaining at the end of the stroke is further increased, as shown in Figure 4-10. Comparing Figure 4-10 with Figure 4-9, it is seen that the maximum spool displacement required during an extension stroke under load condition C has twice the magnitude reached during a retraction stroke when the cutting forces act in a direction aiding the actuator displacement. The effect of the criterion cannot be directly compared between
Figures 4-9 and 4-10 except for the slope of the first segment since the value of $X_c$ for conditions of Figure 4-10 and 4-9 are .15 inch and .50 inch respectively.

The effect of a 33% reduction in the supply pressure on the spool displacement is shown in Figure 4-11 for the rough machining condition A. The maximum spool displacement has been increased from .009 inch for the system operating under a supply pressure of 1500 psi, to .0115 inch when operating under a supply pressure of 1000 psi. The mean spool displacement remaining after completion of the stroke is also greater for the case of the lower pressure operation; .0025 inch compared to .002 inch.

Insight into the inter-relationship of supply pressure, leakage, spool area gradient and the effect of an axial hole through the actuator piston can be obtained from examining Figure 4-12. Figure 4-12(a) shows the actuator displacement with parameters $G_a$, $A_h$, $L$, and $P_s$, at the normal values defined in Section 4.1. In comparing Figure (a) with (d) it is seen that eliminating the small axial hole has produced no noticeable change to the actuator displacement response. A reduction in supply pressure from 1500 psi to 500 psi causes an increase in rise time of approximately .05 seconds and an increase in the final average displacement error from a value of .008 inch to .015 inch as can be seen when comparing (a) with (d). Increasing $G_a$ decreases the rise time and the resulting maximum actuator displacement error, as can be seen by comparing (b) with (d), as does the reduction of the total leakage coefficient as can be seen by comparing (d) with (e).

Operation of the system under no external load and with an $X_c$ of .86 in/sec compared to 1.5 in/sec of load conditions A, B and C, results in a maximum spool displacement error of .0025 inch as can be seen in Figure 4-13. With the value of the leakage coefficient reduced to $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ in.$^3$/sec/psi (the same value as shown in Figure 4-12(e) for the step input under load) and the absence of the piston hole, the system becomes unstable during operation along the template slope of 180°, as can be seen in Figure 4-13(c).
Increasing the mass of the actuator system has produced no noticeable changes to the spool displacement response when operating under load condition A, as demonstrated in the results of Figure 4-14. Increasing the effective bulk modulus of elasticity from a value of $5 \times 10^5$ psi to $2 \times 10^5$ psi decreases the workpiece radial error during the early part of the extension stroke but does not reduce the maximum error reached at the end of the stroke, as can be seen in Figure 4-15. This increase in the speed of response is in agreement with the expression developed in Section 3.6 for the linear transfer function in which it was shown that the hydraulic natural frequency can be increased by employing a larger piston area, smaller oil volume and system mass, and increasing the effective bulk modulus. The simulation did not include changes in piston area or oil volumes but it is apparent that one disadvantage in selecting too large a piston area is that the resulting higher flow rates would increase the size of the supply pump, lines, etc. The relationship between oil pressure and the fluid bulk modulus which is lowered by entrained air were not included but Koenigsberger [33] has shown that the use of high oil pressure reduces the influence of entrained air significantly.

Figures 4-16 through 4-18 show the load pressure response to a sawtooth input under load condition D in which the template slope(s) and carriage feed rate combine to produce spool linkage input displacement rates during each actuator extension and retraction of 6 in./sec. Comparison of Figure 4-16 with 4-17 shows the increase in pressure fluctuations caused by the sudden reversal in actuator direction as the total leakage coefficient is halved. Figure 4-18 shows the effect of the smaller leakage coefficient combined with a 31% increase in $G_a$. In both cases the rise time has been decreased at the expense of the effective damping.

For the finish machining operation (condition E) the spool displacement error caused by the presence of a .001 inch spool overlap is shown in Figure 4-19 to represent 80% of the total error during the extension stroke and 100% of the error at the end of the stroke. The larger magnitude ratio of the fluctuating force
component assumed to exist during finishing operations does not contribute to a significant additional error since the initial values of the cutting force component \( F_1 \) and the force constant \( F_2 \) are small (ref. Table 4.1).

The step response of the servo actuator under zero external load, Figures 4-20 through 4-22 illustrate the low damping nature characteristic of hydraulic systems and the destabilizing effect of inertia loading as the spool area gradient is increased. Comparing Figure 4-20(a) with (b), it is seen that an increase in the area gradient from a value of .10 to .213 decreases the actuator output displacement rise time from a value of .03 sec to .01 sec but the settling time for the latter case has been greatly increased. A decrease in the mass of the system is seen to produce a similar faster response as can be seen by comparing Figure 4-21(a) with (b). In this case, the increase in mass from a value of .065 lb-sec\(^2\)/in. to one of .130 lb-sec\(^2\)/in. has increased the rise time from .012 sec to .015 sec. Figures 4-22(a), (b) and (c) show the increased rise time of the spool error displacement as the area gradient is increased.

Backlash and friction were not included in the simulation, and the limited investigation into the effect of the linkage mechanical gain on the spool displacement response indicated that the value of the gain had negligible effect on the criterion.

Conclusions

The simulation of a single stage hydraulic servo actuator operating under a dynamic load has been carried out, with particular emphasis on the effect of various cutting conditions on the accuracy of the system. Values of the cutting force component and the cutting force constant for the dynamic loads developed are applicable to SAE 4340 steel, and take into consideration the tool geometry, the cylinder to workpiece angle, and the machine tool settings of feed, speed and width of cut.

The model does not permit evaluation of the degree of surface roughness developed during the cutting operations but the comparatively low dynamic
loading resulting from finish machining operations permits high servo input rates to be applied without serious degradation of system performance, unless a spool valve overlap condition exists; also, the model does not include coulomb friction or structural dynamics which could conceivably alter the overall response during all cutting conditions.

The mathematical model of the spool flow equation was based upon the steady state turbulent orifice equation and a constant value of the discharge coefficient was employed. The simulation could be extended to include a range of values of the discharge coefficient which it is anticipated would be reflected in a decrease of system accuracy, most notably at very small values of spool displacements, where the assumption of turbulent flow is critical to the analysis.

One of the factors affecting the spool displacement error is the rate of input to the spool. A small reduction in the servo linkage displacement rate resulting from a given template slope and carriage velocity can be realized by employing the largest practical cylinder to workpiece angle in the case of an actuator retraction stroke, and the smallest practical angle in the case of an actuator extension stroke. The limits on the cylinder to workpiece angle are defined by the template slope (ref. Figure 1-5) and the compatibility of the tool geometry itself with respect to the uncut workpiece profile.

The pressure drop across the load can be reduced by operating the system under conditions which decrease the cutting forces themselves. As illustrated in Appendix B (for a fixed chip ratio of .5) the cutting force component $F_1$ and force constant $F_2$ are decreased for a given tool geometry as the cylinder to workpiece angle is increased. Thus the cylinder positioning requirements for decreasing $F_1$, $F_2$ and the linkage input rate are in accord for an actuator retraction stroke, and conflict for an actuator extension stroke. Both $F_1$ and $F_2$ can be decreased by decreasing the width of cut and side cutting edge angle, and increasing the angle of obliquity and the normal rake angle. As shown in Figures A2-3(a) and A2-4(a) attention must be given to the combined selections of the normal rake and side cutting edge angles as the angle of obliquity is increased. Further decreases in $F_1$ and $F_2$ are obtained by decreasing the carriage feed parallel to the workpiece axis (for $F_1$) and increasing the workpiece rotational speed (for $F_2$).
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APPENDIX A

Actuator Flow Equations

The Law of Conservation of Mass is expressed by flow equations. Since the cubical expansion coefficients \( \Delta V / \Delta T \) are small for liquids, the direct effect of temperature on the fluid mass density \( \rho \) and consequently on fluid flow, is neglected. Pressure can be regarded as being uniform throughout the volume, so that \( \rho \) is also uniform, i.e., a function of time only, not a function of position in the volume. For a control volume - ref. Fig. A1-1, the net rate of mass flow rate into the system equals the rate of mass accumulation in the control volume.

Therefore, the continuity equation is:

\[
\sum m_i - \sum m_o = \frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} (\rho V)
\]

\[
= \frac{\rho dV}{dt} + \frac{V d\rho}{dt}
\]

In terms of volume flow rate, this becomes:

\[
\sum Q_i - \sum Q_o = \frac{dV}{dt} + \frac{V}{\rho} \cdot \frac{d\rho}{dt}
\] (A.1.1.)

From the equation of state for a fluid under constant temperature, \( \frac{d\rho}{dt} = \frac{\rho}{\beta} \frac{dP}{dt} \), the bulk modulus of elasticity, \( \beta \) (which is the reciprocal of compressibility) can be expressed as:

\[
\beta = \frac{dP}{\left(\frac{d\rho}{\rho}\right)}
\]

With this substitution, equation A.1.1. becomes:

\[
\sum Q_i - \sum Q_o = \frac{dV}{dt} + \frac{V}{\beta} \frac{dP}{dt}
\] (A.1.2.)
The first term on the right side is the flow consumed by expansion of
the control volume; the second is the compressibility flow, i.e. flow resulting
from pressure changes.

Applying equation A. 1. 2. to the forward and return chambers
respectively,
\[ Q_1 - (Q_{Li} + Q_{Le}) = \dot{V}_1 + \frac{V_1}{\beta} \dot{P}_1 \]
\[ Q_{Li} - (Q_{Le2} + Q_2) = \dot{V}_2 + \frac{V_2}{\beta} \dot{P}_2 \]

The leakage flows are proportional to the first power of pressure since the leakage
for a small annular clearance is laminar, so that:

\[ Q_{Li} = L_i (P_1 - P_2); \quad Q_{Le1} = L_{e1} (P_1); \quad Q_{Le2} = L_{e2} (P_2) \]

Substituting these expressions for the leakage flows, assuming that the two
external leakage coefficients are equal, the flow rate into and out of the
actuator can be written:

\[ Q_1 = \dot{V}_1 + \frac{V_1}{\beta} \dot{P}_1 + L_i (P_1 - P_2) + L_{e1} \dot{P}_1 \]
\[ Q_2 = -\dot{V}_2 - \frac{V_2}{\beta} \dot{P}_2 + L_i (P_1 - P_2) - L_{e2} \dot{P}_2 \]
After a small displacement $Y_c$ of the cylinder,

$$V_1 = V_{o1} + AY_c$$
$$V_2 = V_{o2} - AY_c$$

where $V_{o1}$, $V_{o2}$ are the initial volumes in the forward and return chambers respectively.

The equations are significantly simplified by assuming that the piston is centered along the cylinder. With this assumption,

$$V_{o1} = V_{o2} = V_o$$

where $V_o$ is a constant, equal to half the total trapped volume.
With this substitution, the equations become:

\[ Q_1 = A \dot{Y}_c + \left( \frac{V_o + A \dot{Y}_c}{\beta} \right) \dot{p}_1 + L_1 (p_1 - p_2) + \frac{L_e}{2} p_1 \]

\[ Q_2 = A \dot{Y}_c - \left( \frac{V_o - A \dot{Y}_c}{\beta} \right) \dot{p}_2 + L_1 (p_1 - p_2) - \frac{L_e}{2} p_2 \]

By defining load flow, \( Q_L \) as the average flow \( \frac{Q_1 + Q_2}{2} \), the equations can be reduced to a single equation which relates load flow to actuator pressure difference and velocity:

\[ Q_L = A \dot{Y}_c + \frac{V_o}{2\beta} (\dot{p}_1 - \dot{p}_2) + \frac{A \dot{Y}_c}{2\beta} (\dot{p}_1 + \dot{p}_2) + L_1 (p_1 - p_2) + \frac{L_e}{2} (p_1 - p_2) \]

With the assumption of constant supply press \( P_s \), the third term on the right is eliminated. Defining load pressure \( P_L = P_1 - P_2 \), total leakage coefficient \( L_t = L_1 + \frac{L_e}{2} \) and substituting, the final expression is:

\[ Q_L = A \dot{Y}_c + \frac{V_o}{2\beta} P_L + L_t P_L \]

Thus the load flow is consumed by flow to displace the actuator, flow stored due to compressibility, and leakage flow.

The bulk modulus is lowered by entrained air, mechanical compliance and increased temperature, so that an effective bulk modulus \( \beta_e \) is used in place of \( \beta \). The bulk modulus of a petroleum base fluid such as MIL-H-5606 is approximately \( 4 \times 10^5 \) psi at \( 100^\circ \text{F} \) and \( 1 \times 10^5 \) psi at \( 350^\circ \text{F} \). No attempt is made in this investigation to establish fluid temperature changes during operation, but the effect of \( \beta_e \) values of \( 1 \times 10^5 \), \( 5 \times 10^5 \) and \( 2 \times 10^5 \) psi are included.

The volumetric flow rate for laminar leakage through an annular clearance is expressed as:

\[ Q = \frac{\pi \delta^3 d}{12 \mu L} \Delta P \quad \text{in}^3/\text{sec} \]
where $\delta$ is the radial clearance, in., $l$ the passage length, in., and $d$ the diameter of the larger circular section, in. Values of the internal and external leakage coefficients can therefore be calculated for a known piston/rod and cylinder geometry, and fluid operating temperature. The leakage can be up to three times larger [11] if the piston is not centered.

Spool Valve Pressure – Flow Characteristics

A non-dimensionalized presentation of the steady-state performance (independent of load dynamics) of the non linear flow equation 3.9 for a critical centre 4 way spool valve with zero leakage and having matched and symmetrical orifices is given in Figure A1-2. The maximum load flow, $Q_{L_{\text{max}}}$ occurs at max spool displacement under no load, i.e. $P_L = 0$.

It is seen that the curves are parabolas which pass through the point $P_L = P_s$ in either direction. Above the $Q = 0$ line, the flow is through one set of orifices; below this line, the flow is through the other set. It is possible to be in the second and fourth quadrants of the $P - Q$ curve only during transient operation or when negative, or assisting loads are present. On a force-velocity basis, the first and third quadrants represent useful work done by the piston; the second and fourth quadrants represent the piston being driven by the load. The greatest nonlinearity occurs at higher loads and larger signals. To avoid operating in this region, the system can be sized so that the $P_L/P_s$ ratio is less than unity. Moreover, it can be shown from equation 3.9 (with $C_d$, $\rho$, $G_a$ constant) that for maximum power output $P_L = \frac{2}{3} P_s$. 
Graphical Presentation of the Force Component and Force Constant

As outlined in Chapter 2, with known values of \( \tau_0 \) and \( K \) for a given material, \( K_Q \) and \( K_R \) can be calculated from expression 2.7 for a given tool geometry \( i \), \( \alpha_n \), and chip ratio \( r_t \). Force component \( F_1 \) and force constant \( F_2 \) of the dynamic force equation 3.18 can then be calculated for a tool side cutting edge angle \( C_s \), copying cylinder axis angle \( \gamma \) and the lathe settings \( f, n, b \). By not assigning values to \( b, f, \) and \( n \), \( \frac{F_1}{bf} \) and \( \frac{F_2}{b/n} \) can be calculated from which \( F_1, F_2 \) are readily obtainable for a particular desired machine cutting setting.

A digital computer solution is necessary if a range of values of each variable is to be obtained. Figures A2-1 through A2-4 present a solution for two values of \( \gamma \) and \( i \) through a range of positive \( \alpha_n \) and \( C_s \), with the chip ratio maintained at \( .5 \). The solution is applicable only to SAE 4340 steel due to the values of \( \tau_0 \) and \( K \) selected. Values of \( \frac{F_1}{bf} \) and \( \frac{F_2}{b/n} \) are seen to increase for a selected \( \alpha_n \) and \( C_s \) as \( \gamma \) decreases; increasing the angle of obliquity \( i \) lowers the values of both. Increasing \( C_s \) increases \( \frac{F_1}{bf} \) and \( \frac{F_2}{b/n} \); increasing \( \alpha_n \) decreases both.
FIGURE A 2-1
\[ F_2 \frac{b}{n} \]

**Normal Rake Angle \( \alpha_n \)**

\[ C_s = 15^\circ \]

\[ \gamma = 60^\circ \]
\[ i = 5^\circ \]

**FIGURE A2-2**
\( \chi = 60^\circ \)
\( \iota = 10^\circ \)

Normal Rake Angle \( \alpha_n \)

\( F_1 / \text{bf} \)

\( F_1 / \text{bf} \)

\( C_s = 15^\circ \)

\( 10^\circ \)

\( 5^\circ \)

\( 0^\circ \)

\( \chi = 45^\circ \)

\( \iota = 10^\circ \)

Normal Rake Angle \( \alpha_n \)

FIGURE A2.3
\[ F_2 \left( \frac{b}{n} \right) \]

Normal Rake Angle \( \alpha_n \)

\[ \gamma = 60^\circ \]
\[ \theta = 10^\circ \]

\[ C_s = 15^\circ \]

\[ F_2 \left( \frac{b}{n} \right) \]

Normal Rake Angle \( \alpha_n \)

\[ \gamma = 45^\circ \]
\[ \theta = 10^\circ \]

\[ C_s = 15^\circ \]

FIGURE A2/4
APPENDIX C

Analog Simulation of the Mathematical Model

Computer time, $T$ (secs), the independent variable in the computer, is made proportional to the copying system independent variable $t$ (secs), by the expression $T = B_s t$ where $B_s$ is the time scale factor. A $B_s$ value of 100 was used throughout the simulation, with the result that the computer solution was a hundred times slower than the problem, or copying system time. The time scale factor appears at all inputs to integrators, since computer integration is with respect to machine time. A problem derivative of $dx/dt$, say, therefore becomes $dx/dT$ at the input after multiplication by $1/B_s$, since $\frac{dx}{dT} = \frac{dt}{dT} \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{B_s} \frac{dx}{dt}$

The magnitude and time scaled diagram of the copying system mathematical model, Fig. A3–1, lists the potentiometers, amplifiers, and comparators used during the simulation on an EAI 680 computer. The spool mechanical linkage input circuit shown illustrates the simulation of a positive linkage input. The output of integrator 035 simulates the rate of input to the spool linkage (a function of carriage speed and the slope of the template), and is varied by changing the setting of potentiometer 035. The total input displacement, $X_c$, is represented by potentiometer 036. A given template slope, carriage feed rate, tool geometry, depth of cut, chip ratio, workpiece rotational speed, and workpiece material is simulated by corresponding settings to potentiometers 035, 036, 003 (for $F_1$) and 005 (for $F_2$). The cutting component magnitude ratio $\epsilon$, and the frequency of force variation $\omega$, are adjusted via potentiometers 002, 045, and 015. The effect of system parameters for this set of copying input conditions on the overall system response can then be obtained by adjusting the potentiometer(s), containing the parameter under investigation. Conversely, the effect of changes to $F_1$, $F_2$, due to changes in tool geometry, or to template slopes, and to $\epsilon$ and $\omega$ on a given system can be obtained.

Simulation of an actuator retraction stroke is obtained by the addition of an inverter between integrator 035 and amplifier 066. An uninterrupted cylinder
extension, retraction simulation is accomplished by patching a negative unit computer voltage, to the circuit shown, at the negative input to the D/A switch 34B. Simulation of step inputs is achieved by replacing the comparator 34 circuitry with a potentiometer; the input of which is a negative computer unit voltage (for simulating cylinder extensions), the output being patched to amplifier 066.

The computer variables shown in Fig. A3–1 were employed for a number of computer runs, but were re-scaled for other simulations. Simulation of step inputs for example, required re-scaling to prevent overloading of amplifiers. Also, combinations of parameters that would result in potentiometer settings that were too small (causing computation errors), were avoided by re-scaling amplifier variables where possible.

### Scaling the System Equations

The following values of parameters are used to illustrate the steps in forming the circuit diagram, and to permit preparation of a potentiometer assignment sheet, useful during static test of programming, scaling, and patching.

- **Effective area of piston**
  - \( A = 1 \text{ in}^2 \)

- **Area of sharp edged hole in piston**
  - \( A_h = 0.25 \times 10^{-5} \text{ in}^2 \)

- **Viscous damping coefficient**
  - \( B = 0.20 \text{ lb·sec/in.} \)

- **Discharge coefficient (turbulent)**
  - \( C_d = 0.61 \)

- **Force component**
  - \( F_1 = 17 \text{ lb/rev} \)

- **Force constant**
  - \( F_2 = 155.5 \text{ lb·sec/in.-rev} \)

- **Spool valve area gradient**
  - \( G_a = 0.05 \text{ in.}^2/\text{in.} \)

- **Mechanical linkage gain**
  - \( G_m = 4 \)

- **Total leakage coefficient \((L_1 + L_2) / 2\)**
  - \( L_1 = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ in.}^3/\text{sec/psi} \)

- **Mass of cylinder**
  - \( m = 0.065 \text{ lb·sec}^2/\text{in.} \)

- **Supply pressure**
  - \( P_s = 1500 \text{ psi} \)

- **One half total trapped oil volume**
  - \( V_o = 7 \text{ in.}^3 \)

- **Effective bulk modulus**
  - \( \beta_e = 1 \times 10^5 \text{ psi} \)
Magnitude ratio of fluctuating force \( \epsilon = 0.05 \)

Angle between cylinder and workpiece axis \( \gamma = 45^\circ \)

Fluid mass density \( \rho = 0.78 \times 10^{-4} \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{in.}^4 \)

Frequency of force variation \( \omega = 10 \text{ rad/sec.} \)

Since a potentiometer setting must always be less than unity, groupings of parameters which would result in a greater magnitude are divided by a constant (usually a value of ten), and an identical value of gain is employed at the associated amplifier.

The system equations are re-arranged as necessary to employ the "general method" technique of programming, and in the unscaled form are as follows:

\[
e = \frac{1}{G_m} (X_c - Y_c) \quad (3.14)
\]

\[
F_{dy} = (F_1 + F_2, Y_c) (1 + \epsilon \sin \omega t) \quad (3.18)
\]

\[
Y_c = \frac{1}{m} (P_L A_c - B \dot{Y}_c - F_{dy}) \quad (3.15)
\]

\[
P_L = \frac{2 \beta e}{V_o} (Q_L - A Y_c - L_t P_L - Q_h) \quad (3.12)
\]

\[
Q_L = \frac{C_d G_a e}{\rho} \sqrt{P_s - P_L} \sgn e \quad (3.9)
\]

\[
Q_h = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho} C_d A_h \sqrt{|P_L|} \sgn P_L} \quad (3.13)
\]

The voltage and time scaled equations are written in terms of the computer variables. These variables are themselves based upon best estimates of expected maximum values of the problem variables. The scaled equations are as follows:
Using (3.14), applied to a pot feedback amplifier:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{e}{0.20}
\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{-X_c}{6} + \frac{Y_c}{6} \\
(0.020 \cdot \frac{1}{m})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Using (3.18) applied to a multiplier:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{F_{\text{dyn}}}{405}
\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\Theta_1}{300} \times \frac{\Theta_2}{1.35}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\Theta_1}{300}
\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
\left(\frac{F_1}{300}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \end{bmatrix} + 10 \left(\frac{10 \cdot F_2}{300 \cdot 10}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -Y_c \end{bmatrix} \frac{10}{10}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\Theta_2}{1.35}
\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
\left(\frac{1}{1.35}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \end{bmatrix} + \left(\frac{1}{1.35}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -\sin \omega t \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Computer variable \(\frac{-\sin \omega t}{1}\) is generated from the mechanization on the computer of the second order differential equation of the form \(\dot{y} + \omega^2 y = \phi\) with initial conditions \(y(0) = \phi, \dot{y}(0) = 1\).

Using (3.15) applied to a multiple input integrator whose output is \(\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\dot{Y}_c}{10} \end{bmatrix}\):

\[
\frac{d}{dT} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\dot{Y}_c}{10} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
10 \left(\frac{600 A}{10m B_s 10}\right) \begin{bmatrix} P_L \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -Y_c \end{bmatrix} \frac{10}{10} + 10 \left(\frac{405}{10m B_s 10}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -F_{\text{dyn}} \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Using (3.12):

\[
\frac{d}{dT} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-P_L}{600} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}
10 \left(\frac{4 \cdot 2 \beta_e}{600 B_s 10 V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} Q_L \end{bmatrix} + 10 \left(\frac{10 \cdot 2 \beta_e A}{600 B_s 10 V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\dot{Y}_c}{10} \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{dT} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-P_L}{600} \end{bmatrix} + 10 \left(\frac{2 \beta_e L_e}{B_s 10 V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} Q_L \end{bmatrix} + \left(\frac{2 \beta_e \cdot 5}{600 B_s V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -Q_h \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{dT} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-P_L}{600} \end{bmatrix} + 10 \left(\frac{2 \beta_e L_e}{B_s 10 V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} Q_L \end{bmatrix} + \left(\frac{2 \beta_e \cdot 5}{600 B_s V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -Q_h \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{dT} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-P_L}{600} \end{bmatrix} + 10 \left(\frac{2 \beta_e L_e}{B_s 10 V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} Q_L \end{bmatrix} + \left(\frac{2 \beta_e \cdot 5}{600 B_s V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -Q_h \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{dT} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-P_L}{600} \end{bmatrix} + 10 \left(\frac{2 \beta_e L_e}{B_s 10 V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} Q_L \end{bmatrix} + \left(\frac{2 \beta_e \cdot 5}{600 B_s V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -Q_h \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{d}{dT} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-P_L}{600} \end{bmatrix} + 10 \left(\frac{2 \beta_e L_e}{B_s 10 V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} Q_L \end{bmatrix} + \left(\frac{2 \beta_e \cdot 5}{600 B_s V_o}\right) \begin{bmatrix} -Q_h \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Using (3.9); for a multiplier with pot feedback:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{Q_L}{16} \\
4 \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{800} \\
\frac{e}{0.020} \\
1/4
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\chi 1}{800} \\
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\left(\frac{C_d G_a}{800 \sqrt{\rho}}\right) \left[-\sqrt{\frac{\gamma 2}{2500}}\right]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\gamma 2}{2500}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\left(\frac{P_s}{2500}\right) [1] + \left(\frac{600}{2500}\right) \left[\frac{P_L}{600}\right] \text{sgn } e
\end{bmatrix}
\]

A multiplier with pot feedback was used to facilitate rapid magnitude scaling whenever rescaling in the computer was found necessary.

Using (3.13);

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-Q_h \\
-\frac{5}{2}
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} \frac{C_d A_h}{\sqrt{\rho}} \frac{\sqrt{600}}{\sqrt{600}}\right) \left[\frac{P_L}{600}\right] \text{sgn } P_L
\end{bmatrix}
\]

By forming a computer variable which is the absolute value of the system displacement error and integrating, the criterion for accuracy (referenced in Chapter 1) is generated at amplifier 010.

The spool valve deadband circuit consists of two potentiometers (031 and 038); a positive and negative limit summer (016 and 006), and summer amplifier 036. Pot settings given in Figure A3-1 are for a spool overlap (each side of the neutral zero) of .001 inches.