**Echinocotyle capensis** n.sp. (Cestoda: Hymenolepididae) from South African waterfowl
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*Echinocotyle capensis* n.sp. is described from Cape shoveler (*Anas smithii* (Hartert)) and Cape teal (*Anas capensis* Gmelin) collected at Barberspan, Transvaal Province, Republic of South Africa. *Echinocotyle capensis* is a small cestode up to 1.62 mm long with 10 rostellar hooks 50–55 μm long. It most closely resembles *Echinocotyle singhi* Srivastava and Pandey, 1980 but has slightly longer rostellar hooks and a shorter cirrus sac, and lacks the vaginal sphincter present in *E. singhi*.


[Traduit par la revue]

**Introduction**

During a study of helminths from Cape Teal (*Anas capensis* Gmelin) and Cape Shoveler (*Anas smithii* (Hartert)) from Barberspan, Transvaal, Republic of South Africa, several species of cestodes belonging to the genus *Echinocotyle* Blanchard, 1891, were found. One of these represents an undescribed species and is described herein.

**Materials and methods**

The specimens were part of a larger collection of helminths from anatids obtained in November and December 1978 at the Barberspan Ornithological Research Station in the western Transvaal, Republic of South Africa. Ducks were collected locally by shooting and examination was begun within 15 min of death. Cestodes found were rinsed in tap water, fixed in 10% formalin (24 h), and then stored in 70% ethanol. Specimens were stained in aceticarmine and mounted in Canada Balsam. Measurements and drawings were made on a Wild M-20 microscope with an attached drawing tube. All measurements are in micrometres unless indicated otherwise. Values in parentheses represent the mean. The ovary, vitelline gland, seminal receptacle, and external seminal vesicle were normally seen in only two adjacent proglottids, in immature form in the anterior and in mature form in the other. Accordingly, means were not calculated for measurements of these characters.

**Echinocotyle capensis** n.sp. (Figs. 1–6)

With characteristics of the genus. The description is based on 12 complete specimens exhibiting uterine development.

Small cestodes 0.68–1.62 (0.94) mm long by 0.1–0.21 (0.16) mm in maximum width. Strobilae with 10–22 (13) proglottids consisting of 5–12 (7) immature proglottids, 1–7 mature proglottids (3), and 2–6 (4) proglottids exhibiting uterine development. No fully gravid specimens were found.

Scolices (Fig. 1), measured from the base of the rostellar sac to the tip of the rostellar sheath, 168–240 (201) long by 89–117 (101) wide. Rostellar sheath 60–96 (77) long. Base of rostellar sac even with or extending up to 40 posterior to the suckers. Retracted rostellum 120–187 (157) long armed with 10 hooks 50–55 (53) long (Fig. 2). Blade and handle of hook of approximately equal length. Suckers oval, weakly muscled, 79–91 (85) long by 29–43 (36) wide and armed with hooks 7–10 (8.5) long (Fig. 3). Hooks arranged in transverse rows on sucker periphery: 2 or 3 per row near the anterior, 3 or 4 per row along the lateral margin, and 1–3 per row near posterior margin. The rows of hooks apparently not confluent at the posterior edge of the sucker. Hooks in sucker cavity apparently easily lost; some specimens lacked hooks completely; 3–11 hooks were found in others. These occurred in 1 staggered (or perhaps 2 separate) vertical row near the middle of the sucker cavity.

Testes spherical to subspherical 19–30 (22) × 20–31 (23) in mature proglottids (Fig. 4). Poral and middle testes side by side in posterior half of proglottid; antiporal testis deflected slightly anterior. Testes appear 1 or 2 proglottids before cirrus sac. Cirrus sac 72–120 (102) long by 12–24 (18) wide, cylindrical, with muscular walls, extending to or beyond antiporal excretory vessels in mature proglottids. In uterine proglottids, cirrus sac may also extend to or beyond antiporal vessels but more frequently extends only into the middle third of the proglottid. External seminal vesicle oval to pyriform, dorsal to cirrus sac, 30–52 × 21–35. External seminal vesicle fills rapidly (Fig. 4). Internal seminal vesicle also fills rapidly, being virtually empty in one proglottid and full in the next. When full, it occupies most of the cirrus sac. Cirrus (Fig. 5) tubular, 32–39 (36) long by 3–4 in diameter along its length, tapering abruptly near the tip. Cirrus sparsely covered with a uniform layer of minute spines. Accessory sac oval, 22–33 (25) × 11–26 (18) dorsal to cirrus sac with spineose eversible portion (Fig. 5) up to 33 × 7 when everted (Fig. 6). Eversible portion densely covered with small spines and with a row of longer spines encircling the base (Fig. 6).


**TYPE HOST**: *Anas smithii* (Hartert).
**Discussion**

There are eight species in the genus *Echinocotyle* that have 10 rostellar hooks that either overlap the length of those on *E. capensis* or are sufficiently close (53 ± 10 µm) to warrant consideration. These include *E. brachycephala* (Creplin, 1829), *E. hypoleuci* Singh, 1952, *E. ibanezi* Rego, 1973, *E. oweni* Moghe, 1933, *E. quasioweni* (Dubinina, 1954), *E. singhi* Srivastava and Pandey, 1980, *E. uralensis* Clerc, 1902, and *E. verschureni* (Baer, 1959). Of these, only *E. brachycephala, E. oweni,* and *E. singhi* have been reported from anseriformes. *Echinocotyle brachycephala* and *E. oweni* also occur in charadriiformes (Schmidt 1986). With the exception of *E. singhi* (0.645—0.87 mm long, Srivastava and Pandey 1980) all of the other species are much larger than *E. capensis* (Krabbe 1869; Spasskaya 1966; Singh 1952; Rego 1973; Moghe 1933; Dubinina 1954; Clerc 1902; Baer 1959).

*Echinocotyle singhi* resembles *E. capensis* in general size but can be distinguished from it on the basis of its smaller rostellar hooks (38—49) and its larger cirrus sac (143—157), and by the presence of a large sphincter (21—24 × 17—24) surrounding the copulatory portion of the vagina. The sphincter is lacking in the new species.

An additional species, *Echinocotyle fimbriata* Spasskii and Yurpalova, 1971, described from charadriiformes and lacking a scolex, is similar in size (4—6 mm) to *E. capensis*. It possesses a row of finger-like extensions around the genital opening (Spasskii and Yurpalova 1971) and is easily distinguished from *E. capensis* on this basis.

Kornyushin (1983) recently subdivided the genus *Echinocotyle* into five subgenera. If this division is accepted, *E. capensis* would belong to the subgenus *Echinocotyle,* which is reserved for small species with few proglottids, an armed cirrus, and an accessory sac (Fuhrmann body) with a row of spines around its aperture. Species included within this subgenus are all parasites of anseriformes (Kornyushin 1983).
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