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Abstract—In this paper, we explore using carbon nanotube (CNT) composite material for wideband millimeter-wave antenna applications. An accurate electromagnetic model of the composite antenna is developed using Microwave Studio for numerical analysis. Good agreement between computed and measured results is shown for both copper and CNT antennas, and their performance is compared. The CNT antenna shows stable gain and radiation patterns over the 24 to 34 GHz frequency range. The dispersion characteristics of the CNT antenna show its suitability for wideband communication systems. Using a quarter-wave matched T-junction as feed network, a two-element CNT antenna array is realized and the performance is compared with a copper antenna. The housing effect on the performance of the CNT antenna is shown to be much lower than for the copper antenna.

Index Terms—Antenna, carbon nanotube (CNT) composites, millimeter-wave (mm-wave), wideband antenna.

I. INTRODUCTION

M ETALS are commonly used in antenna structures for the radiating elements, feed lines, and ground plane. However, for some applications, cost, fabrication procedure, weight or corrosion resistance can limit the usefulness of metal antennas. Some recent studies have used various composite materials as replacements for metals [1-5]. In [1], a conducting-polymer patch antenna is proposed. Silver nanoparticle ink [2, 3] and metallo-organic conductive ink [4] have been used to prepare a highly-conductive antenna. In [5], metallicized foam is used to make microstrip-patch antenna.

Advanced carbon-fiber composite (CFC) materials are being used in the aerospace industry as a replacement for metal [6, 7] because of their higher strength, lower cost and lighter weight. There are various kinds of CFCs: reinforced continuous carbon fibers (RCCF) [6], short carbon fibers (SCF), carbon black (CB), and carbon nanotube (CNT) [7]. In [8], we investigated the use of carbon fiber materials as radiating elements of an RFID antenna. It was observed that CFC can be efficiently used in such a resonant antenna. Very recently, we explored the use of RCCF for ultra-wideband (UWB) applications [9].

Due to the favorable mechanical and electrical properties, CNTs have been of interest in nanoelectronics and nanoantenna applications [10-17]. The density of CNT composites is around 1.4 g/cm³, half that of aluminum and more than five times lower than copper. Showing high thermal conductivity of about ten times that of copper, CNTs are attractive for high heat-transfer applications. CNT composites can be made using single-wall nanotubes (SWCNT) or multi-wall carbon nanotubes (MWCNT). Details about CNT types and models of materials are given in [12, 13]. However, CNT dipoles show extremely low efficiency in the order of 10⁻⁸ for microwave applications [14, 15], due to their high resistance per unit length, of about 10 kΩ/μm [14]. Therefore, CNT arrays and composites are proposed to improve the efficiency [17-21]. The electrical conductivity of CNT composites depends on the properties and loading of the CNTs, the aspect ratio of the CNTs, and the characteristics of the conductive network throughout the matrix [7, 22].

Millimeter wave (mm-wave) communication systems are increasingly used in many commercial and military applications, such as imaging systems, automotive radars, medicine, high resolution radars and mobile communication systems [23, 24]. In mobile and military applications where the antenna may be used in harsh environments, replacing metals with a more suitable material increases the system reliability.

In this work, we have explored the use of SWCNT composite material for wideband mm-wave antennas. A low-profile wideband microstrip-fed monopole antenna operating over 24 to 34 GHz is designed and investigated by measurement and by numerical simulation. Then, a two-element array with a matched T-junction feed network is realized and the performance of the CNT antenna is compared with a copper one. Since in reality it is likely that the antenna will be close to other devices or be integrated with different components in the circuit, the housing effect on the copper and CNT antennas performance is investigated.

II. SWCNT MATERIAL AND METHOD OF COMPOSITE ANTENNA PREPARATION

The composite samples are produced at the Concordia...
Center for Composites (CONCOM) [25]. To develop a model of the composite material for use in computer simulations of mm-wave antennas, we use standard Ka-band rectangular waveguide with cross-section dimension of 7.11 × 3.55 mm². The thickness of composite is 0.2 mm. Then the waveguide setup is modeled with CST-Microwave Studio (CST MWS) [26]. By minimizing the difference between the simulated and measured scattering parameters over a frequency range, the complex permittivity can be extracted [9, 27]. The sample used to build the antennas described below has effective relative permittivity \(\varepsilon_r = 5.5\) and conductivity \(\sigma = 10000\, \text{S/m}\), over the desired frequency range.

Individual CNTs have outstanding mechanical and electrical properties but the transfer of those properties into the composite is hindered by the difficulties related to their homogenous dispersion and high contact resistances at the nanotube joints [22]. Currently, thin films made of SWCNTs, called buckypapers, display high electrical conductivity [20]. The high conductivity recommends them as an efficient replacement for metals in various electronic applications. For antenna fabrication, we printed CNT on a substrate and then cut out the desired antenna pattern using a high precision milling machine. Buckypaper is a flexible and soft material that needs to be hardened by resin infiltration in order to be processed on a milling machine. Manufacturing buckypaper antennas comprises the following three steps: buckypaper preparation, plating the substrate with buckypaper impregnated with epoxy resin, and cutting out the antenna.

A. Buckypaper preparation

Buckypaper preparation is schematically shown in Fig. 1(a). SWCNTs from Nikkiso Co. (0.5 g) are dispersed in N, N dimethylformamide (DMF) by a horn sonicator (Misonix 3000) at 42W of power for 30 min. Next the SWCNT suspension is filtered on nylon membrane-filter with pore size of 45 micron. After filtration the buckypaper and the membrane are placed between several filter-papers (Whatman no. 1) and lightly pressed between two aluminum plates to absorb the excess solvent. The wet buckypaper is then separated from the filter membrane and dried at 130°C for 12 hours to form a sheet of 140×140 mm² and 50 μm thickness.

B. Substrate plating with buckypaper

We use Rogers 4350 as the substrate of composite antenna. The copper was removed from the Rogers 4350 substrate by hydrogen peroxide/ hydrochloric acid etching from both sides. Patches of 50×50 mm² were cut out from a buckypaper sheet. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the patches were impregnated with a mixture of epoxy resin (Epon 862) and hardener (26.4 wt% Epikure W, Hexion Specialty Chemicals) in a vacuum oven at 80°C for 30 min. Next the impregnated patches were placed on each side of the substrate, vacuum-bagged and cured in an autoclave at 140°C for 4 hours under 40 psi of pressure. Then, the geometry of antennas is cut out on the substrate using a milling machine.

III. SINGLE ELEMENT ANTENNA AND MEASUREMENTS

Figure 2(a) shows the EM model of monopole antenna developed in MWS. The antenna is fed through a 50-ohm microstrip line. The antenna geometrical parameters are \(L_1 = L_2 = 14\, \text{mm}, W_1 = 3.5\, \text{mm}, W_2 = 3.75\, \text{mm}, g = 0.5\, \text{mm}, h_2 = 7.5\, \text{mm},\) and \(w = 1.1\, \text{mm}\). The substrate is Rogers 4350 with a thickness of 0.508 mm, \(\varepsilon_r = 3.48\), and \(\tan\delta = 0.0037\).

A. Composite Conductivity

An antenna made of composite is basically a lossy antenna, because the ohmic loss of composite material is higher than that of metal. The impedance bandwidth of a monopole antenna for copper and composites with various conductivities is shown in Fig. 2(b). In the composite antenna both the radiating element and the ground plane are made of CNT. It is observed that the bandwidth of the composite antenna is
wider than that of the copper antenna operating over the 24 to 34 GHz frequency range. In fact, as the ohmic loss increases, the bandwidth increases and the $Q$-factor decreases, and the composite antenna shows this behavior.

Due to the lower conductivity, the gain and radiation efficiency ($\eta$) of composite antennas are lower than that of the copper antennas. The radiation efficiency is defined as

$$\eta = \frac{P_{\text{rad}}}{P_{\text{rad}} + P_{\text{loss}}} \quad (1)$$

where $P_{\text{rad}}$ and $P_{\text{loss}}$ are the radiated power and the ohmic losses. The overall ohmic loss is the sum of the power loss in the microstrip feed line plus the power loss in the antenna itself. The antenna efficiency in (1) excludes the loss of the feed line, and is calculated at the input of the antenna, reference plane 2. Table I shows the effect of the conductivity on the composite antenna’s radiation efficiency at four different frequencies, 24, 28, 32 and 34 GHz. Note that using the parameters of the sample described in Section II, $\sigma = 10$ kS/m, the values can be compared with the copper antenna’s radiation efficiency.

Figure 3 shows the radiation efficiency of the copper and CNT antennas, at both reference planes 1 and 2, over the whole frequency range of interest. The curves labeled Ref. 2 give the radiation efficiency of the antenna alone. The curves labeled Ref. 1 give the efficiency of the system made up of the lossy feed line and the antenna, much lower than that of the antenna alone. The lossy feed line behaves as an attenuator, giving control over the amount of power reaching the antenna itself.

**B. Experimental Results**

The copper and CNT monopole antennas are fabricated as shown in Fig. 4(a). The composite antenna is fabricated using the method described in Section II. The antennas are fed by solder-free 2.92mm Southwest Microwave connectors [28].

Using an Agilent-E8364B network analyzer, the reflection coefficient of each antenna is measured and shown in Figs. 4(c) and (d). The connector is included in the simulation model in CST-MWS to better simulate the measurement setup.
The radiation pattern of both the copper and the CNT antennas in both the \( E \)-\((yz) \) and \( H \)-\((xz) \) planes were measured in an anechoic chamber. The normalized radiation pattern at 26.5, 29, and 33.5 GHz are reported in Fig. 5. It is observed that the CNT antenna shows a stable radiation pattern over the frequency range of interest. The radiation pattern is found to be almost omnidirectional in the \( H \)-plane, which is of most interest for wireless communication systems.

It should be noted that the loss associated with the length of the microstrip line can play an important role on the antenna gain. The scattering parameters of a 2 cm CNT microstrip line were measured and are shown in Fig. 6. After excluding the loss within two connectors (\(-1.3 \text{ dB in total}\)), we found that the CNT microstrip line shows about 2.6 \text{ dB/cm} loss over the 24 to 34 GHz frequency range. The loss of copper microstrip line is about 0.35\text{ dB/cm}.

The realized gain at boresight angle (\( \theta = 0^\circ, \varphi = 90^\circ \)) in reference plane 1, at the connector in Fig. 4(a), is shown in Fig. 7(a). By excluding the loss of the connector and the microstrip line, the measured antenna gain at reference plane 2, at the input to the radiating element, is shown in Fig 7(b). As expected, it is observed that the average gain of the CNT antenna is lower than the copper one, which is due to the lower conductivity of the composite material.

The transfer function of the antenna is measured by making Tx/Rx setups of two identical antennas at a separation distance of \( R = 30 \text{ mm} \). Figure 8 shows the transfer function of CNT and copper antennas for both face-to-face and side-by-side configurations. If the group delay of antennas shows a highly frequency-dependent behavior, the time domain pulse is considerably distorted due to the nonlinearity of phase. But the fairly-constant group delay of Fig. 8 shows that the CNT antenna has low dispersion, indicating that it is useful for UWB radios.

### IV. TWO-ELEMENT ANTENNA ARRAY AND MEASUREMENTS

As shown in Fig. 9(a), the two-element array fed by matched T-junction is designed to operate from 24 to 34 GHz. The separation distance between elements is \( d = 5.7 \text{ mm} \) which is about \( 0.5\lambda \) at 26.5 GHz. The geometrical parameters are \( R_1 = 22, R_2 = 14, a_1 = 8, a_2 = 1.2, a_3 = 2.2, a_4 = 4.6, \) and \( s = 1.9 \text{ mm} \). The ground plane height is 15.5 mm. The radiation efficiency...
of the frequency range for CNT, at the 4-4 wavelength, we observed at two points, (a) measured and simulated gain at 26.5 GHz, weaker radiated fields than 31. Due to the lower conductivity, the mutual coupling is lower than 3.5 dB, not 3.5. We have also investigated the mutual coupling between two antennas is obtained at reference plane 2. It is observed that the mutual coupling is lower than -15 dB over almost the entire frequency range of interest.

V. HOUSING EFFECT

Usually the antenna is integrated in a circuit which includes various components and modules. In mobile and vehicular applications, the antenna could be used in proximity to a variety of materials, such as metal objects or the human body. When the antenna radiates in the vicinity of an object, the backscattered fields produced by the object induce electric current on the antenna, affecting the antenna performance [29, 30]. The induced current on the antenna, $I_s$, caused by backscattered magnetic field from nearby scatterer, $H_{scat}$, can be expressed as,

$$I_s \propto \left( \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_0 + Z_s} \right) H_{scat}$$

(2)

where $Z_s = (1 + j) \sqrt{\pi f \mu_0 / \sigma}$. Due to the lower conductivity, the composite antenna produces weaker radiated fields than the copper antenna, leading to lower $H_{scat}$. Moreover, $Z_s$ is larger for the composite, making the ratio in (2) lower. As a result, the CNT antenna is less affected by nearby conductive objects than is the copper antenna.

The housing effect setup is shown in Fig. 14(a). A 5 cm x 5 cm steel sheet is placed very closely in the front of the antenna with a separation distance of $d$. The reflection coefficient of CNT and copper antennas is measured for $d = 2$ mm, as displayed in Figs. 14(b) and (c). It is observed that the performance of copper antenna deteriorates significantly, whereas the CNT antenna still operates over the entire frequency range with $S_{11} < -10$ dB. We have also investigated the housing effect for different values of $d$, not shown here. It was observed that CNT antenna performance shows much
lower sensitivity to the steel sheet than does the copper antenna.

The far-field radiation pattern of the antennas in the vicinity of steel sheet is evaluated as shown in Fig. 15. Since the metal sheet behaves like a good reflector, the main beam of the E-plane radiation pattern moves toward $\theta = 180^\circ$ and the H-plane radiation pattern is not omnidirectional anymore. It is observed that as frequency goes up, the number of notches in radiation pattern increases which is due to reflections and diffractions from the metal sheet. However, because of the lower EM coupling with nearby metal sheet, the CNT antenna shows much weaker notches at $E$-plane than copper antenna as shown in Fig. 15(b). We found that the CNT antenna shows weaker notches than copper antenna at $E$-plane at frequencies above 30 GHz as well, making the radiation pattern more stable. At lower frequencies, both antennas show almost the same radiation pattern.

The radiation efficiency of the composite antenna at reference plane 2 in the vicinity of metal sheet is calculated as shown in Table II. It is observed that the radiation efficiency of the antenna changes slightly in the presence of the metal sheet. Therefore, since the matching of composite antenna is still good, the total efficiency does not change significantly.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a single-wall carbon nanotube composite material is used to fabricate an antenna for millimeter-wave applications. The operation and design guidelines are presented. Measured and simulated results show that the CNT composite antenna has good performance over a bandwidth of 24 to 34 GHz. A two-element array antenna shows similar results. Moreover, the CNT antenna shows low dispersion characteristics over the frequency range of interest and so may be used for ultra-wideband radios. The housing effect on the antenna performance is investigated and it is shown that the CNT antenna is much less affected than the copper antenna. The antenna performance such as gain can be adjusted by changing the conductivity of composite, while it is not possible for materials with fixed conductivity such as copper.
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