

## Accepted Manuscript

Magnetic force microscopic study of  $\text{Ce}_2(\text{Fe}, \text{Co})_{14}\text{B}$ , and its modifications by Ni and Cu

Tian Wang, Mamoun Medraj

PII: S0304-8853(18)30431-1

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2018.03.058>

Reference: MAGMA 63829

To appear in: *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*


Received Date: 15 February 2018

Revised Date: 9 March 2018

Accepted Date: 25 March 2018

Please cite this article as: T. Wang, M. Medraj, Magnetic force microscopic study of  $\text{Ce}_2(\text{Fe}, \text{Co})_{14}\text{B}$ , and its modifications by Ni and Cu, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2018.03.058>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## Magnetic force microscopic study of $Ce_2(Fe, Co)_{14}B$ , and its modifications by Ni and Cu

Tian Wang and Mamoun Medraj \*

Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Concordia University, 1455 de Maisonneuve Boul. West, Montreal, QC H3G 1M8, Canada;

\* Corresponding author.

Email address: [mmedraj@encs.concordia.ca](mailto:mmedraj@encs.concordia.ca) (M. Medraj).

### Abstract

The domain width and domain wall energy of the  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  solid solution are studied for the first time in this work. The influence of Co content on these properties has been analyzed with the aid of magnetic force microscopy using diffusion couple and key alloys. The domain widths of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  decreased with increasing Co content at about  $0.02 \mu m$  per 1 at.% Co. In  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$ , phase shift, domain width and saturation magnetization are related in a way that lower average domain width is associated with higher phase shift and higher saturation magnetization. The highest domain wall energy of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  is measured as  $31.7 \text{ erg/cm}^2$  after dissolving 14 at.% Co ( $x=2.38$ ). The effects of Ni and Cu on the domain width and domain wall energy of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  ( $x=1.02$ ) are also studied and reported using response surfaces. The domain width and domain wall energy of this solid solution increased after doping with 1 at.% Ni at constant Co content of 6 at.%, measuring  $1.39 \mu m$  for domain width and  $33.4 \text{ erg/cm}^2$  for domain wall energy. Both properties were determined as  $0.71 \mu m$  and  $18.6 \text{ erg/cm}^2$ , respectively, after doping with 0.8 at.% Cu, while keeping Co content constant at 6 at.%. When  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  ( $x=1.02$ ) is doped with both Ni (1 at.%) and Cu (0.8 at.%), the domain width and domain wall energy measured  $0.99 \mu m$  and  $33.8 \text{ erg/cm}^2$ , respectively.

*Key words: Magnetic force microscopy; magnetic  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$ ; domain width; domain wall energy*

### 1. Introduction

Microstructural aspects have a strong influence on the magnetic coercivity and remanence. An important attribute in explaining the improvements in the magnetic properties is the magnetic domains morphology and interaction which depend on the domain size and domain wall energy [1]. Besides the main magnetic matrix phase, some minor phases are also required at the grain boundaries to pin the domain wall movement which could also affect the extrinsic magnetic properties [2]. For the bulk

materials, only the magnetic structure near the surface of the samples can be investigated. Unlike thin films, where it is generally assumed that the observed domain boundary walls continue through the depth of the sample, it is more complicated to interpret the domain behavior in the interior of the bulk samples [3, 5]. However, the MFM's tip stray field does not modify the magnetic state of bulk sample which could present a good approximation of the near surface magnetic structure [5]. Also, the domain structure evolved in thin films does not accurately represent the domain structure in bulk materials which are suitable for permanent magnets [3]. Additionally, the magnetic properties of thin films were found changing with the annealing temperature, which makes it difficult to evaluate the relations between magnetic domain structure and magnetic property [6, 7]. Hence, it is preferable to study domain structures of permanent magnet samples in bulk forms.

The intrinsic magnetic properties of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  have been reported in [4]. So far, there is a lack of magnetic domain structure investigations of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ . Therefore, it is essential to interpret the domain structure of the  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  and to determine the domain wall energy. In this study, the domain size has been measured combining magnetic force microscopy (MFM) with diffusion couple and key alloys. By applying MFM and diffusion couple, the influence of Co on the domain size variation of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  can be readily understood. Key alloys are designed to confirm the results obtained from the diffusion couple and to understand the magnetic domain morphology of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ . Ni and Cu were reported to be beneficial to the magnetic properties of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$  magnets, especially in improving the thermal stability [8-10]. However, limited literature data can be found regarding the effects of these additives on  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ . This paper also attempts to understand the effects of Ni and Cu on the domain size and domain wall energy of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ .

## 2. Materials and Methods

Pure elements with 99 wt.% purity or higher are used as the starting materials. All the elements are supplied by Alfa Aesar® (Haverhill, MA, USA). Samples of known composition are prepared from pure metals using an arc melting furnace under argon atmosphere. The arc melting furnace is equipped with a water-cooled copper crucible and a non-consumable tungsten electrode. Every alloy had to be melted several times to ensure homogeneity. The prepared samples were used as key alloys or as end-members for the diffusion-couple experiments. Diffusion couples were prepared by

grinding down the contacting interfaces of end-members using 1200 grit SiC paper and then polished down to 1  $\mu\text{m}$  using alcohol-based diamond suspension. 99% pure ethanol was used as lubricant. The selected end-members were carefully pressed and clamped together using a stainless steel ring. For the annealing process, samples were encapsulated inside quartz tubes under vacuum. After sufficient annealing time (at least 25 days), samples were quenched in a cold water bath to obtain the high-temperature structure. Quenched samples or diffusion couples were grinded and polished down to 1  $\mu\text{m}$  in order to be analyzed using SEM coupled with Energy/Wavelength Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopes (EDS/WDS) and MFM. The microstructure and phase composition of the samples were analyzed by SEM/WDS (HITACHI S-3400N, HITACHI, Tokyo, Japan). XRD was performed for the key alloys using PANAnalytical Xpert Pro X-ray diffractometer (PANAnalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands) with a CuK radiation at 45 kV and 35 mA. XRD patterns were analyzed using X'Pert Highscore plus software [11] and the Rietveld method. The crystal structure prototypes of the detected phases were obtained from Pearson's Database [12] and used in XRD analysis.

Saturation magnetization was measured using Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS-9T, San Diego, CA, USA) at 298 K. The quenched samples were cut into cubic shape having around 30 mg weight. The saturation magnetization was obtained in external fields up to 50 kOe. Since Ce-Fe-Co-B is a complex multi-component system, it is extremely difficult to obtain single phase samples and because certain magnetic phases such as  $\text{Ce}_2(\text{Fe}, \text{Co})_{14}\text{B}$  form through a peritectic reaction [4], this makes it more difficult to obtain 100% single phase. To measure the saturation magnetization, over 80 wt.% of the magnetic phase with very limited impurities were obtained after annealing in all the samples. In order to precisely describe the saturation magnetization of the  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$  and its modifications, all the  $M_s^{\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}}$  results reported in this paper have been corrected based on the following equation:

$$M_s^{\text{sample}} = (w_i^{\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}} \times M_s^{\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}} + w_i^{\text{imp}} \times M_s^{\text{imp}}) / 100 \quad (1)$$

where  $M_s^{\text{sample}}$  is the saturation magnetization of the sample obtained using PPMS;  $w_i^{\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}}$  and  $w_i^{\text{imp}}$  are the weight percentage of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  and impurity obtained using XRD through Rietveld analysis;  $M_s^{\text{imp}}$  is the saturation magnetization of the impurity taken from literature.

The magnetic domain structures of samples are investigated by Magnetic Force Microscopy (MFM) using Digital Instruments Multimode Atomic Force Microscope (Digital Instruments, Billerica, MA, USA) in LiftMode. In this work, a 225  $\mu\text{m}$  long silicon cantilever having a magnetic pyramidal tip is used to acquire the magnetic force gradient distribution on the sample surface by oscillating the cantilever normal to the surface at its resonant frequency. The MFM tip, supplied by Appnano Ltd. (Mountain View, CA, USA), is coated with an approximately 50 nm CoCr layer. The magnetized MFM tip is perpendicular to the sample surface and points downward during the measurement.

When imaging with MFM, one concern is that stray fields from the magnetic tip modifies the micromagnetic structure of the sample [13]. A test for this is usually performed by observing the dependence of the micromagnetic structure on the MFM tip scan height [14]. For the samples studied in this work, there was no variation of the sample micromagnetic structure when the tip scan height was varied between 30 and 200 nm. Thus, we assume that modification of the micromagnetic structure of the samples by the tip is negligible.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Domain morphology and domain size

In our recent paper [4], the solubility limits of Co in  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  was measured as 28 at.% ( $x=4.76$ ) at  $900^\circ\text{C}$ . In order to study the influence of Co content on the domain width ( $D_w$ ) of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ , MFM is applied to scan the diffusion layers of the diffusion couple. The chemical compositions across the diffusion layers and the corresponding phases that formed in the diffusion layers are listed in Table 1 and the microstructure is shown in Figure 1.

Table 1. Chemical compositions across the  $\text{Ce}_{13}\text{Fe}_{80}\text{B}_7/\text{Co}_{90}\text{Ce}_{10}$  diffusion couple and the corresponding phases.

Layer	Composition (at. %)				Corresponding Phase
	Ce	Fe	Co	B	
1	12	82-60	0-22	6	$\text{Ce}_2(\text{Fe}, \text{Co})_{14}\text{B}$
	0	100-82	0-18	0	$\alpha\text{-(Fe, Co)}$
2	15	27-17	42-52	16	$\text{Ce}(\text{Co}, \text{Fe})_4\text{B}$
	0	82-37	18-63	0	$\alpha\text{-(Fe, Co)}$
3	0	35-33	65-67	0	$\alpha\text{-(Fe, Co)}$
	16	6-1	63-68	15	$\text{Ce}(\text{Co}, \text{Fe})_4\text{B}$
	11	14	75	0	$\text{Ce}_2(\text{Co}, \text{Fe})_{17}$

4	0	11-0	89-100	0	$\gamma$ -(Fe, Co)
	11	14-0	75-89	0	$Ce_2(Co, Fe)_{17}$

The backscattered electron (BSE) image of the diffusion layers is presented in Figure 1 (a). Four layers formed after annealing at 900°C for 25 days. The compositions of the formed phases were determined using WDS analysis. The grey phase in layer 1 is identified as  $Ce_2(Fe, Co)_{14}B$  with variable Co content. A WDS compositional profile of  $Ce_2(Fe, Co)_{14}B$  in the diffusion couple is shown in Figure 1 (b). The quaternary solid solubility of  $Ce_2Fe_{14}B$  is 22 at.% Co. And the  $Ce_2(Fe, Co)_{14}B$  is in equilibrium with  $\alpha$ -(Fe, Co).  $Ce(Co, Fe)_4B$  (light regions) and  $\alpha$ -(Fe, Co) (dark regions) were found in layer 2. Layer 3 contains  $\alpha$ -(Fe, Co),  $Ce(Co, Fe)_4B$  and  $Ce_2(Co, Fe)_{17}$  phases.  $\gamma$ -(Fe, Co) and  $Ce_2(Co, Fe)_{17}$  are found in layer 4. Details of phase equilibria in the Ce-Fe-Co-B system can be found in [4].




Figure 1. (a) BSE image of  $Ce_{13}Fe_{80}B_7/Co_{90}Ce_{10}$  diffusion couple; (b) WDS compositional profile of  $Ce_2(Fe, Co)_{14}B$  in layer 1.

Four MFM images for  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  with different Co content are shown in Figure 2. The strength of the near-surface stray fields were observed. MFM tests were first applied on the interface between layers 1 and 2. Then the tip was moved around 40 µm towards layer 1 to capture the second image where the Co content is around 14 at.% in  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  ( $x=2.38$ ). Moving another 40 µm toward the edge of layer 1, the third MFM image was selected when Co content is about 6 at.%. The last MFM test was performed at the edge of layer 1 where negligible Co could be found in  $Ce_2Fe_{14}B$ .




Figure 2. Microstructure (top) and four MFM images (a-c) obtained from layer 1 to the interface with layer 2 of diffusion couple.

The observed MFM contrast mechanism depends on the effective magnetic interaction gradient between the tip and the sample [2]. The dark image contrast indicates both the attractive interaction and the negative phase shift occur. Whereas, the positive phase shift and the bright image contrast result when repulsive interaction takes place [2]. During the MFM analysis, the phase shift  $(\Delta\Phi)_{rms}$  of the tip oscillation is directly proportional to the second derivative of the vertical component of the stray fields emerging from the surface of the sample [15]. Therefore, phase shift can give an indication of the effective magnetic moment of the sample such as the  $z$ -component of the stray field which is generally related to the saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ) [16]. Applying surface morphology analysis on these MFM images, the root mean square (RMS) values of phase shift are measured, which can be used to represent the contrast of the magnetic force images. In general, for the MFM images with the same data scale, sharper color contrast corresponds to larger RMS values of phase shift  $(\Delta\Phi)_{rms}$  [16]. Since the four MFM images from the diffusion couple were captured through the consecutive tests and testing conditions were maintained, the influence of Co content on the phase shift of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  could be interpreted which is presented in Figure 3 (a). The saturation magnetizations of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  at 6, 14 and 22 at.% Co ( $x=1.02, 2.38, 3.74$ ) are determined using key alloys and presented in this figure. It has been found that the phase shift and saturation magnetization all increase with the Co content in  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$ . Also, by comparing the relations between phase shift and saturation magnetization of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  as

shown in Figure 3 (b), they almost follow a linear trend where higher  $(\Delta\Phi)_{rms}$  value corresponds to larger saturation magnetization of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$ . Therefore, determining  $(\Delta\Phi)_{rms}$  values in the MFM analysis could be used as an assessment for the saturation magnetization of magnetic  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  materials.




Figure 3. (a) Phase shift and saturation magnetization of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  as a function of Co concentration; (b) saturation magnetization of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  as a function of phase shift.

Domain width measurement was carried out using the stereological method proposed in [2]. The effective domain width can be defined as the ratio between a test area and the total domain wall length in this area. The intersections of the domain walls with arbitrary test lines are counted and evaluated to calculate the effective domain width [2]. According to [2], the average domain width  $D_w$  is determined using the following equation:

$$D_w = \frac{2l}{\pi n} \quad (2)$$

where  $l$  is the total length of the test lines and  $n$  is the number of intersections of the test lines with domain walls. The length of test line and the number of intersections can be obtained from section analysis, an example is shown in Figure 4. The MFM images were enhanced via Nanoscope Analysis software [17] to remove the artifacts during the scans. Then, the clean image option was used to remove some streaks and noise which could affect the image interpretation. Sharpening process was done to enhance the contrast and provides a clearer image. Each image was subjected to 20 random direction test lines to cover all the test area. The measurements were repeated two times for each MFM image. The differences between the two tests were less than  $0.03 \mu m$ .




Figure 4. (a) A random direction test line superimposed to apply section analysis; (b) section analysis results of the test line.

The influence of Co content on the domain width of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ , obtained using the diffusion couple, is summarized in Table 2. It can be seen that domain width of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  decreased with Co content at  $0.02 \mu\text{m}$  per 1 at.%. Domain width could be related to the size of magnetic crystal [18]. By substituting different amount of Co, the volume of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  crystal decreased with Co content [4] as shown in Figure 5. The domain width decreased correspondingly with Co content as can be seen in Table 2.




Figure 5. Lattice volume  $V$  of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  with  $x$ .

Table 2. Influence of Co content on average surface magnetic domain width of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ .

$x$ in $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$	$D_w$ ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
0	1.19
1.02	1.03
2.38	0.98

3.74

0.79

Four key alloys (KAs 1 to 4) were prepared to confirm the domain width results obtained from the diffusion couple and to study the domain structure as well as to measure the domain wall energy.  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  at 6 at.% Co ( $x=1.02$ ) in KA 2 was reported to have the highest anisotropy field ( $H_a=29.3$  kOe) in the  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  ( $0 \leq x \leq 4.76$ ) system [19]. Therefore to understand the effects of Ni and Cu on the domain width of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ , KAs 5 to 7 were prepared and the Co content is kept the same (6 at.%) in order to be able to compare with KA 2. In KAs 5 and 6, about 1 at.% of Ni or Cu is added to replace Fe in the  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  solid solution. Both Ni and Cu are added in KA 7 to compare the domain width variations with KAs 2, 5 and 6. After annealing at 900°C for 25 days, dominating magnetic phases (over 80 wt.%) were obtained in all the samples with limited amount of impurities such as  $\alpha$ -(Fe, Co). Based on the WDS analysis,  $\alpha$ -(Fe, Co) was found to dissolve up to 20 at.% Co in KAs 1 to 4. The  $M_s$  of ferromagnetic  $\alpha$ -(Fe, Co) at around 0, 10, 20, 30 at.% Co were reported in [20, 21] as around 205 to 225 emu/g. The  $M_s$  of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  were then calculated following by the equation 1, as will be discussed further below. The chemical compositions and domain widths of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Chemical compositions and average domain widths of the dominating magnetic phase in the key alloys.

Key alloy	Dominating magnetic phase	at%	$x$	$y$	$z$	$D_w$ ( $\mu\text{m}$ )
KA 1	$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$	Co=3	0.51	-	-	1.14
KA 2	$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$	Co=6	1.02	-	-	1.01
KA 3	$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$	Co=14	2.38	-	-	0.97
KA 4	$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$	Co=22	3.74	-	-	0.77
KA 5	$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x-y}\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_y\text{B}$	Co=6; Ni=1	1.02	0.17	-	1.39
KA 6	$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x-z}\text{Co}_x\text{Cu}_z\text{B}$	Co=6; Cu=0.8	1.02	-	0.136	0.71
KA 7	$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x-y-z}\text{Co}_x\text{Ni}_y\text{Cu}_z\text{B}$	Co=6; Ni=1.2; Cu=0.7	1.02	0.20	0.119	0.99

In this work, the dimensionless reduced anisotropy constant or magnet quality factor ( $Q$ ) is calculated for  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$  as 7.8 based on equation 3 [23]:

$$Q = K_1 / 2\pi M_s^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $K_1$  is the first uniaxial magnetocrystalline anisotropy constant, which is reported to be  $1.51 \times 10^7$  erg/cm<sup>3</sup> [22];  $M_s$  is measured as 130 emu/g at 25°C in this study. The domain structure of ferromagnets or ferrimagnets is a result of minimizing the total energy. In a ferromagnet, the total energy is the sum of different energy contributions such as exchange energy, magnetostatic energy, magnetocrystalline

anisotropy energy, domain wall energy and magnetoelastic energy [24]. Certain flux closure domains at the sample's surface has to form to decrease the magnetostatic energy. As for strong uniaxial ferromagnets (with  $Q \gg 1$ ), such magnets normally have large magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy which inhibits the formation of flux closure domains at the sample's surface. As such, the stray field leaks out of the sample and the associated energy cannot be eliminated entirely. However, in order to minimize energy, an appropriate geometrical arrangements of the magnetic domains take place [23]. The magnetic domain structure is also related to the ratio between magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy and magnetostatic energy or stray field energy [23]. If the decrease in magnetostatic energy is greater than the energy needed to form magnetic domain walls, numerous surface magnetic domains will arise [23]. For the current uniaxial bulk polycrystalline samples which are in demagnetization state and the magnetic moments are randomly oriented, the magnetic domain structures may vary in different directions.

For example, two MFM tests have been first carried out on the top surface of KA 1 as shown in Figure 6 (a). The bulk wavy stripe domains indicate that the magnetic moments at the surface are either parallel or antiparallel to the easy axis and are separated by  $180^\circ$  domain walls. The magnetostatic interaction energy between the stripe domains and the tip is not perturbed resulting in nearly straight domain walls. The second test was done on the same sample but on the side cross section as shown in the Figure 6 (b). The 3D view of the domain structure of side cross section is shown in Figure 6 (c). The stripe like domain of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  in Figure 6 (a) turns into the matrix maze like domain in Figure 6 (b), indicating that the magnetization of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  crystal at the cross section is perpendicular or near-perpendicular to the alignment axis. There are some domains whose magnetization in the surface is perpendicular to the alignments appearing as spikes and reverse spikes domains visible as small white or dark spots in Figure 6 (c). This typical domain formed due to a compromise between magnetostatic energy and domain wall energy. They follow the domain walls which could reduce the domain wall energy and generate a strong surface anisotropy, also may reduce the density of magnetostatic energy near the surface [25, 26]. The spike and reverse spike domains are associated with strong attractive and repulsive stray fields, respectively, which indicate high and low stray fields in the domain regions [26]. The MFM analysis on the top surface and side

section of KA 1 is summarized by a schematic shown in Figure 6 (d). The  $c$ -axis is the magnetic easy axis and the branching bulk stripe domain of alternating interaction (attraction and repulsion) is revealed near the sample surface.




Figure 6. (a) MFM image of (a) top surface of KA 1; (b) side cross section of KA 1; (c) 3D view of magnetic domain structure of side section of KA 1 (d) schematic of magnetic domain along the magnetization direction.

The enhanced MFM image of KA 1 with superimposed 20 random selected test lines is presented in Figure 7. The domain widths of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  at  $\text{Co}=3$  at.% ( $x=0.51$ ) on the top surface and side cross section are similar and close to  $1.14 \mu\text{m}$ . KAs 2 to 4 were used to determine the influence of Co content on the domain size of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ . The average domain widths of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  in KAs 2 to 4 were measured as  $1.01$ ,  $0.97$  and  $0.77 \mu\text{m}$ , respectively, which supports the results obtained from the

diffusion couple, confirming that the domain width of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  reduces with increasing Co content.




Figure 7. Enhanced MFM image of KA 1.

According to the experimental results of [27], the domain width is proportional to the saturation magnetization. The average surface domain width of Nd-Fe-B increased by partial substitution of Dy for Nd, which leads to increased magnetic anisotropy field and domain wall energy  $\gamma$  [27]. However, the saturation magnetization  $M_s$  decreased with the Dy content [27]. Such relation between domain width and saturation magnetization also obtained through analyzing  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  with different Co contents in this study. The average surface domain widths of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  as a function of  $x$  is shown in Figure 8 (a). It can be seen that the  $D_w$  reduces with Co content. By comparing the domain width and saturation magnetization of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  in Figure 8 (b), these two properties nearly follow a linear relation indicating that Co is effective in reducing the average domain width and improving the saturation magnetization of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ . For  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$ , the sample with smaller domain width has larger saturation magnetization, and higher phase shift in the MFM investigation.




Figure 8. (a) Average surface domain widths of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>B as a function of Co content; and (b) domain width versus saturation magnetization of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14-x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>B.

The enhanced MFM images of KAs 5 to 7 are shown in Figure 9. The average domain width of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-y</sub>Co<sub>1.02</sub>Ni<sub>y</sub>B ( $y=0.17$ ) is determined as 1.39 μm. In KA 6, the dominating Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-z</sub>Co<sub>1.02</sub>Cu<sub>z</sub>B phase contained around 0.8 at.% Cu ( $z=0.136$ ) and 6 at.% Co. After applying the same measurement on the enhanced MFM image in Figure 9 (b), the average domain width of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-z</sub>Co<sub>1.02</sub>Cu<sub>z</sub>B ( $z=0.136$ ) is measured as 0.71 μm. The influence of adding both Ni and Cu on the domain width of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-x</sub>TM<sub>x</sub>B (TM=Ni or Cu) is illustrated in Figure 9 (d). It can be concluded that a small amount of Ni, as low as 1 at.%, in Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-y</sub>Co<sub>1.02</sub>Ni<sub>y</sub>B ( $y=0.17$ ) increases the domain width. Whereas, Cu reduces the domain width of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-z</sub>Co<sub>1.02</sub>Cu<sub>z</sub>B ( $z=0.136$ ) at 6 at.% Co significantly. The domain width of sintered Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B is reported in [26] as 0.71 μm in the perpendicular direction to alignment direction and 1 μm in the parallel direction. Due to the influence of Ni, the domain width of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-y</sub>Co<sub>1.02</sub>Ni<sub>y</sub>B ( $y=0.17$ ) is greater than that of Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B. Ni and Ce atoms have large difference in electronegativity [28]. And substitutions of Ni for Fe will result in considerable electron transfer in the crystal, which could influence the exchange energy as well as the magnetic domain structure [29]. As can be seen in Figure 9 (a), the finer stripe domains indicate that the domain structure on grain surface are parallel to the easy direction. When both Ni and Cu are added, the domain width of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-y-z</sub>Co<sub>1.02</sub>Ni<sub>y</sub>Cu<sub>z</sub>B was determined as 0.99 μm when containing 1.2 at.% Ni ( $y=0.20$ ) and 0.7 at.% Cu ( $z=0.119$ ) at 6 at.% Co in KA 7, as shown in Figure 9 (c).




Figure 9. Enhanced MFM images of (a) KA 5; (b) KA 6; (c) KA 7; (d) domain width of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>12.98-x</sub>TM<sub>x</sub>B (TM=Co, Ni or Cu) as a function of  $x$ .

### 3.2. Domain wall energy

The domain wall energy is a fundamental magnetic parameter related to the coercivity, as it can be used as an approximation of the sum of the contributions from the exchange and magnetic anisotropy energies [27]. The knowledge of this parameter is also significant for understanding either nucleation or pinning is the dominant mechanism responsible for magnetic hardening [27]. The domain wall energy ( $\gamma_w$ ) of Ce<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B as well as the magnetic phase in KAs 1 to 7 were calculated based on the following equation proposed by Bodenberger *et al.* [30]:

$$\gamma_w = \frac{D_w(M_s)^2}{4\pi\beta} \quad (4)$$

where  $D_w$  is the average domain width and  $M_s$  is the saturation magnetization of the magnetic phase.  $\beta$  is a surface structure coefficient, and its value has been previously

determined as 0.31 for  $\text{SmCo}_5$  and similar magnetic materials with high magnetocrystalline anisotropy (for which the relative magnetic anisotropy  $Q \gg 1$ ) [30]. Therefore, this value has been used in this work. The mass saturation magnetizations of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$ ,  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  in KAs 1 to 4, and  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{12.98-y-z}\text{Co}_{1.02}\text{Ni}_y\text{Cu}_z\text{B}$  in KAs 5 to 7 were measured and converted into volume saturation magnetization using the density of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$  as  $7.56 \text{ g/cm}^3$  reported in [31]. The calculation results are listed in Table 4.

Table 4. Domain wall energy.

Sample	$M_s$ (emu/cm <sup>3</sup> ) at 25°C	$D_w$ (μm)	$\gamma_w$ (erg/cm <sup>2</sup> )
$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$	982.8	1.17	29.0
KA 1	1012.3	1.14	30.0
KA 2	1059.3	1.01	29.1
KA 3	1128.7	0.97	31.7
KA 4	1170.3	0.77	27.1
KA 5	967.7	1.39	33.4
KA 6	1010.1	0.71	18.6
KA 7	1153.7	0.99	33.8

The domain wall energy of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$  increased slightly from 29.0 to 30.0 erg/cm<sup>2</sup> after doping with 3 at.% Co and decreased back to 29.1 erg/cm<sup>2</sup> at 6 at.% Co. When containing 14 at.% Co, the domain wall energy of the solid solution increased reaching 31.7 erg/cm<sup>2</sup>. Although both saturation magnetization of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{11.62}\text{Co}_{2.38}\text{B}$  (14 at.% Co) and  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{10.26}\text{Co}_{3.74}\text{B}$  (22 at.% Co) are similar,  $\gamma_w$  of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{10.26}\text{Co}_{3.74}\text{B}$  is still lower than that of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{11.62}\text{Co}_{2.38}\text{B}$ , because the average domain width in  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{10.26}\text{Co}_{3.74}\text{B}$  is 20% smaller than in  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{11.62}\text{Co}_{2.38}\text{B}$ . Although the addition of Ni exhibited an improvement in domain wall energy, Cu reduced the domain wall energy significantly. The domain wall energy of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{12.98-y}\text{Co}_{1.02}\text{Ni}_y\text{B}$  with 1 at.% Ni ( $y=0.17$ ) at 6 at.% Co was determined as 33.4 erg/cm<sup>2</sup>. And the domain wall energy of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{12.98-z}\text{Co}_{1.02}\text{Cu}_z\text{B}$  with 0.8 at.% Cu ( $z=0.136$ ) at 6 at.% Co was measured as 18.6 erg/cm<sup>2</sup>. The highest domain wall energy of  $\text{Ce}_2(\text{Fe}, \text{Co})_{14}\text{B}$  was achieved as 33.8 erg/cm<sup>2</sup> when doping with 1.2 at.% Ni and 0.7 at.% Cu. Domain wall energy related to the domain wall thickness which is controlled by the short-range interactions between exchange energy and anisotropy energy [2]. Larger domain wall energy indicates that more domain walls are needed to split magnetic domains in the magnetic materials to minimize the magnetostatic energy of magnets [2]. Thus, the number of domain walls in KA 7 should be higher than KAs 2, 5 and 6. Based on the results obtained from KAs 2, 5, 6 and 7, the response surface plots of  $D_w$  and  $\gamma_w$  versus Ni and Cu content in

$\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{12.98-y-z}\text{Co}_{1.02}\text{Ni}_y\text{Cu}_z\text{B}$  ( $y \leq 0.20$ ,  $z \leq 0.136$ ) at Co=6 at.% are presented in Figure 10. An appropriate response surface model could help understanding the relationship between several factors and the various magnetic properties. In summary, Ni substitution increases the domain width and the domain wall energy; whereas, Cu reduces the average domain width as well as domain wall energy in  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{12.98-z}\text{Co}_{1.02}\text{Cu}_z\text{B}$ , which can be seen from Figure 10. Also, it can be seen from this figure that Cu substitution does not affect the domain wall energy significantly at the higher level of Ni content.




Figure 10. Response surfaces of (a)  $D_w$ ; (b)  $\gamma_w$  versus Cu and Ni contents in  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{12.98-y-z}\text{Co}_{1.02}\text{Ni}_y\text{Cu}_z\text{B}$  at Co=6 at.%.

Wyslocki *et al.* [1] used equation 4 to calculate the domain wall energy in  $\text{Y}_2\text{Fe}_{13}\text{MnB}$  and  $\text{Y}_2\text{Fe}_{12}\text{Mn}_3\text{B}$  as 22 erg/cm<sup>2</sup> and 3 erg/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively [1], which are lower than domain wall energy of Ce-Fe-Co-B type magnets. Yazid *et al.* [26] reported the domain wall energy of sintered Nd-Fe-B magnet as 38 erg/cm<sup>2</sup> and 26 erg/cm<sup>2</sup> in two different alignment directions. Bulk Ce-Fe-Co-B type magnets have similar domain width and domain wall energy as sintered Nd-Fe-B magnet. This indicates that Ce-Fe-Co-B magnets could be considered as a promising candidate for permanent magnets application. In addition, the extrinsic magnetic properties (such as coercivity and remanence) can be measured to comprehend the influence of various additives on extrinsic magnetic properties of the Ce-Fe-Co-B magnets.

#### 4. Conclusions

Combining magnetic force microscopy, diffusion couple and key alloys has been found to be effective in studying the magnetic domain structure, determining the domain width and calculating domain wall energy. The phase shift of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  increases with Co content which follows similar trend as its saturation magnetization.

This indicates that higher phase shift in  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  is associated with larger saturation magnetization. The domain width of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  dropped with increasing Co concentration at  $0.02 \mu\text{m}$  per 1 at.% Co. Domain wall energy is related to the domain size and saturation magnetization. The highest domain wall energy of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{14-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}$  is found to be  $31.7 \text{ erg/cm}^2$  after substituting 14 at.% Co ( $x=2.38$ ) for Fe. The influence of Ni and Cu on  $D_w$  and  $\gamma_w$  of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{12.98-y-z}\text{Co}_{1.02}\text{Ni}_y\text{Cu}_z\text{B}$  ( $y \leq 0.20$ ,  $z \leq 0.136$ ) at Co=6 at.% are presented by the response surfaces. Ni is found to increase the domain width and domain wall energy of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{Fe}_{12.98-y}\text{Co}_{1.02}\text{Ni}_y\text{B}$ . While the domain width did not change significantly when both Ni and Cu are added, the domain wall energy was at maximum.

### Acknowledgements

Financial support from General Motors of Canada Ltd., and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada through the CRD grant program is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] J.J. Wysocki, F. Bolzoni, Magnetic domain structure and domain-wall energy in  $\text{Y}_2\text{Fe}_{13}\text{MnB}$  and  $\text{Y}_2\text{Fe}_{12}\text{Mn}_3\text{B}$  compounds, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 83(1) (1990) 239-240.
- [2] A. Hubert and R. Schafer, *Magnetic domains: The analysis of magnetic microstructures*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany, 1998, pp. 201-315.
- [3] L. Folks, R.C. Woodward, The use of MFM for investigating domain structures in modern permanent magnet materials, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 190(1-2) (1998) 28-41.
- [4] T. Wang, D. Kevorkov and M. Medraj, Phase equilibria and magnetic phases in the Ce-Fe-Co-B system, *Materials* 10(1) (2017) 16.
- [5] L. Batista, U. Rabe, S. Hirsekorn, Determination of the easy axes of small ferromagnetic precipitates in a bulk material by combined magnetic force microscopy and electron backscatter diffraction techniques, *Ultramicroscopy* 146 (2014) 17-46.
- [6] B. Sun, G.Q. Li, W.X. Zhao, Z. Shen, Y.H. Liu, P. Chen, Perpendicular coercive force of thick CoFeB thin films grown on silicon substrate, *Mater. Lett.* 123 (2014) 221-223.

- [7] C. Zhang, M. Yamanouchi, H. Sato, S. Fukami, S. Ikeda, F. Matsukura, and H. Ohno, Magnetization reversal induced by in-plane current in Ta/CoFeB/MgO structures with perpendicular magnetic easy axis, *J. Appl. Phys.* 115 (2014) 17C714.
- [8] C.D. Fuerst and E.G. Brewer, Diffusion alloyed additives in die upset Nd-Fe-B magnets, *J. Appl. Phys.* 69(8) (1991) 5826-5828.
- [9] F. Bolzoni, F. Leccabue, O. Moze, L. Pareti, M. Solzi, Magnetocrystalline anisotropy of Ni and Mn substituted Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B compounds, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 67(3) (1987) 373-377.
- [10] A.S. Kim and F.E. Camp, High performance NdFeB magnets (Invited), *J. Appl. Phys.* 79(8) (1996) 5035-5039.
- [11] X'Pert HighScore Plus, PANalytical B.V. Almelo, the Netherlands, Version: 2.2b (2.2.2), 2006.
- [12] H. Putz and K. Brandenburg, Pearson's crystal data, crystal structure database for inorganic compounds, CD-ROM Software Version 1.3.
- [13] U. Hartmann, Magnetic force microscopy: Some remarks from the micromagnetic point of view, *J. Appl. Phys.* 64 (1988) 1561-1564.
- [14] D. Rugar, H.J. Mamin, P. Guethner, S.E. Lambert, J.E. Stern, I. McFadyen and T. Yogi, Magnetic force microscopy: General principles and application to longitudinal recording media, *J. Appl. Phys.* 68(3) (1990) 1169-1183.
- [15] R.D. Gomez, E.R. Bruke, I.D. Mayergoyz, Magnetic imaging in the presence of external fields: Technique and applications (invited), *J. Appl. Phys.* 79(8) (1996) 6441-6446.
- [16] X.A. Lin, C.J. Wei, T.L. Niu, W.F. Lin, Y. Zheng and H.B. Shan, Magnetic force microscopy study of alternate sputtered (001) oriented L<sub>10</sub> phase FePt films, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 24(1) (2007) 222-225.
- [17] Nanoscope Analysis, Bruker. Billerica, USA, Version: 1.4, 2011.
- [18] I. Manke, N. Kardjilov, R. Schäfer, A. Hilger, M. Strobl, M. Dawson, C. Grünzweig, G. Behr, M. Hentschel, C. David, A. Kupsch, A. Lange and J. Banhart, Three-dimensional imaging of magnetic domains, *Nat. Commun.* 1 (2010) 125.

- [19] T. Wang, and M. Medraj, Intrinsic magnetic properties of  $\text{Ce}_2(\text{Fe}, \text{Co})_{14}\text{B}$  and modifications by Ni and Cu, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* to be submitted.
- [20] I. Ohnuma, H. Enoki, O. Ikeda, R. Kainuma, H. Ohtani, B. Sundman and K. Ishida, Phase equilibria in the Fe-Co binary system, *Acta Mater.* 50 (2002) 379-393.
- [21] F. Sánchez-De Jesús, A.M. Bolarín-Miró, C.A. Cortés Escobedo, G. Torres-Villaseñor, and P. Vera-Serna, Structural analysis and magnetic properties of FeCo alloys obtained by mechanical alloying, *J. Metall.* 2016 (2016) 8347063.
- [22] S. Hirosawa, Y. Matsuura, H. Yamamoto, S. Fujimura, M. Sagawa and H. Yamauchi, Single crystal measurements of anisotropy constants of  $\text{R}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$  (R=Y, Ce, Pr, Nd, Gd, Tb, Dy and Ho), *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 24(10) (1985) L803-L805.
- [23] N. León-Brito, E.D. Bauer, F. Ronning, J.D. Thompson, and R. Movshovich, Magnetic microstructure and magnetic properties of uniaxial itinerant ferromagnet  $\text{Fe}_3\text{GeTe}_2$ , *J. Appl. Phys.* 120 (2016) 080903-080908.
- [24] W. Szmaja, Developments in the imaging of magnetic domains, *Adv. Imag. Elect. Phys.* 141 (2006) 175-256.
- [25] M.A. Al-Khafaji, W.M. Rainforth, M.R.J. Gibbs, J.E.L. Bishop, and H.A. Davies, The effect of tip type and scan height on magnetic domain images obtained by MFM, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 32(5) (1996) 4138-4140.
- [26] M.M. Yazid, S.H. Olsen and G.J. Atkinson, MFM study of a sintered Nd-Fe-B magnet: Analyzing domain structure and measuring defect size in 3D view, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 52(6) (2017) 1-10.
- [27] W. Szmaja, Investigations of the domain structure of anisotropic sintered Nd-Fe-B-based permanent magnets, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 301 (2006) 546-561.
- [28] A.R. Miedema, F.R. de Boer and R. Boom, Model predictions for the enthalpy of formation of transition metal alloys, *Calphad* 1 (1977) 341-359.
- [29] M. Shimizu, J. Inoue and S. Nakagawa, Electronic structure and magnetic properties of Y-Ni intermetallic compounds, *J. Phys. F: Met. Phys.* 14 (1984) 2673-2687.

[30] R. Bodenberger and A. Hubert, Zur bestimmung der blochwandenergie von einachsigen ferromagneten, Phys. Status Solidi A. 44(1) (1977) K7–K11.

[31] J.F. Herbst,  $R_2Fe_{14}B$  materials: Intrinsic properties and technological aspects, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63 (1991) 819-898.

#### Highlights

- Domain morphology and saturation magnetization of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  are analyzed
- Phase shift and saturation magnetization of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  increase with Co
- Domain width of  $Ce_2Fe_{14-x}Co_xB$  drops with Co substitution for Fe
- Unlike Cu, Ni increases the domain width and domain wall energy of  $Ce_2Fe_{12.98-y}Co_{1.02}Ni_yB$
- The combined effect of Ni and Cu resulted in the highest domain wall energy