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#### Abstract

Elementary School Teachers' Perspectives on Report Card Grading Jessica Greiss

Report card grading in the Quebec education system is an inevitable process that all teachers must endure. This study explored ten elementary teachers' perspectives on their grading and report card systems through semi-structured interviews and a questionnaire. The two main research questions were: 1) How do elementary school teachers formulate their report card grades? and 2) What do teachers believe these grades are intended to communicate? Through analyzing the teachers' responses, the inaccuracy of report card grades came to light, as it has for decades prior. It is evident that teachers are trying to use report card grades to represent the whole child's experience in the classroom, while including a multitude of factors in their grades, also known as 'hodgepodge grading' (Brookhart, 1991). This type of grading makes it difficult for parents and students to understand what the grade symbolizes in relation to the children's learning. Furthermore, teachers do not agree on what report card grades should be representing thus creating more confusion for parents and a greater gap amongst teachers' perspectives. This study complements the research that explores the reliability and validity of report card grades based on the 100 percent scale.
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## Teachers' Perspectives: What Report Cards Say About Student Learning

## Introduction

## Personal narrative of grading experiences

During my first year teaching, I learned to cope with teaching multiple grade levels and varying class assignments to meet individual needs and interests. The smiles and 'ah-ha' moments children demonstrated were undeniably the most cherished moments that this experience brought me. All the things I had been taught in my Bachelor's Degree in Education came into play as I navigated my new position with motivation and utter joy. I had been given the amazing opportunity of working at an elementary school with Grades one, two, four and six all within the same week. Given that I taught four different grade levels out of seven, and different subjects to each of them, you can imagine what my lesson planning consisted of: a lot of trial and error, patience, lack of patience, messiness, laminating, game-making, improvising, and self-teaching of countless topics. All of that was part of the fun though. I was young, new to the profession, and full of ideas and energy with immense desire to grow and learn. What could go wrong?

As my first term was coming to an end, and administrators were giving us our deadline for report card grades, I suddenly experienced a weight so heavy that no Education program could prepare you for it. Grades. I thought about assessment during the first term, of course, but I was terrified that I did not have enough grades, enough evidence to prove each child's grade, or accurate evidence of learning. I was scared to do it wrong. By wrong, I mean not giving my students a fair grade for all the hard work and learning that had taken place within our weeks together. I did not even know all the names of the students in each of my classes yet, so how could I be in charge of something so important when I felt so unprepared? Nobody will tell you how many assignments or tests you need to have per term. Nobody will teach you how to grade
that interesting, project-based assignment that took the students all term to complete. Nobody visits your classroom to tell you that progress or product should be the main focus of your students' grades. Nobody walks around with a whistle and demands that you test children on every subject you teach. The truth is that grading is complex and dependent on a large array of factors. There is no magic answer and most individuals have different opinions about grades. Feeling desperate and terrified to carry the responsibility of each child's fragile self-esteem, motivation, parental approval/disapproval, general feelings about school, and resiliency in my shaky hands, I did what I could in the short amount of time I had. I asked teachers what they thought the best grading approach was.

I was both disappointed and relieved with what I found. I was disappointed because as a teacher, I found that we were collectively doing more harm than good with our current grading practices. I was relieved because I realized I could not possibly be approaching my report cards the "wrong" way, since I discovered that there was no one way. I was only doing the best that I knew at the time, with hopes of improving for the better. I truly believe that most teachers are doing the best they can.

I had teachers who provided me with the advice that for the first term report card, I should base my grades on what the students "earned" the previous year. Well, that's easy, I thought. I'll just copy all their grades from the previous report card. The problem with that is four months had passed since then, and children grow and develop at expeditious rates. Never mind the fact that with each new grade level comes several new, more difficult concepts to learn that most certainly do not warrant the same grade as the year before. It was not something I was about to do as I do not think that learning and knowledge are stable across years of education. I continued on my quest for an answer I could agree with.

I had teachers who taught my students previously tell me that a student whom I graded with a $70 \%$ in one subject needed to be boosted higher because "they are smarter than that." Similarly, a child whom I graded with a $90 \%$ in one subject needed to be lowered because "he struggles more than that normally." Well, that's convenient, I thought. Let's just make up grades because we feel a child deserves something different than what their work is demonstrating. However, this child did or did not meet the expectations of this particular assignment, regardless of what their conjectured general intelligence across the curriculum is. So wait a minute, does that mean teachers are supposed to make up grades based on how smart a child normally is? What does that even mean? If a child is, on average, an $80 \%$ student in math, that means they should receive $80 \%$ across all math content, every report card, every time?

I had teachers tell me that I could not give out $100 \%$ on the report cards because then the student would have nothing to work towards for the rest of the year. Well, that's helpful, I thought. It gives me one less percentage to worry about. The problem is that some children may very well understand $100 \%$ of the material for a given topic. Isn't that the point? I wondered. When I brought that up as a response, I got replies such as "Well, then you have to leave them at a $100 \%$ for the rest of the year. Parents and administrators will wonder why they have suddenly decreased on the next term report card. You will have about 5\% leeway." What this implies is that teachers not only look to previous year report cards for suggested grades for term one, they should also have the impression that learning is fixed for the duration of their teaching year. I could not understand this line of reasoning. It quite literally added to the weight I was already carrying for these report cards. This technically means that whatever grade a child gets in grade one sets the tone for the rest of their academic life in elementary school? A first grade child, at the age of six or seven years, would receive grades that would impact them for the rest of their
learning experience. Clearly, grades are not always representative of what students have learned.
One last example to add to this new teacher revelation is this: in my grade four class, there is a wonderful little girl who, despite her learning difficulties, strives to be like her peers in every way. With her adapted Individual Education Plan (IEP), she is granted access to a computer for written work instead of writing it by hand because hand-writing makes her very tired and she has difficulty with spelling. I had given the class an assignment that involved a craft and a writing piece and told them we would be hanging them outside the class on the walls. She begged me to let her write it by hand so that it would look like everyone else's on the wall. Of course, I let her and reminded her that she was more than welcome to use the computer at any point if it became too much for her. This girl tried so hard that she even went beyond the one page requirement. Two pages later, tired eyes and a beautiful craft attached, I was told by another teacher that I had to fail her because her work was not at a grade four level. I disagreed, of course, as even though her writing was amateur for her age, it was above her normal ability and I thought this should be recognized. She also completed a page more than her peers, because of her high engagement and motivation for this assignment. We do not count spelling in English, which is a big concern for her normally. Her words were legible, she used inventive spelling in an accurate way that allowed for understanding of her writing, and her ideas were clearly indicated. Based on the rubric that I created for the entire class, she would have lost most marks in the category of sentence complexity, as her sentences were simple (3-4 words in each). But she would not have failed. Well, that's devastating, I thought. Fail children without being convinced that they deserve a failing grade. In addition, I was told that in order for her to receive a modified IEP and the curriculum modifications she needs, she first needed to fail and I needed to "turn off" my emotions and get on with it. So we give children a failing grade that tells them
their progress does not matter despite their struggles, all in our efforts to help them? Once again, I did not understand. This was one of the more impactful experiences I had with grades because it was this conversation that really led me to think about what a grade actually represents, and how it is interpreted by its audience. I do not know what the "right" answer is, but I do know that someone needs to figure it out. There has to be a more effective, more accurate and more positive way to let students know what they are mastering and what they are struggling with.

To a large degree, teachers are given much freedom in the type of style they use when teaching their students. Some prefer a more traditional approach, where students sit in rows at their individual desks and learn from the teacher. Others take on a more progressive approach, where students work in groups and collaborate with the teacher during their learning. Every teacher is given the opportunity to explore and choose a style that fits them best, and that helps them be the best teachers they can be. Teachers also appear to be given much freedom in the type of assessment they use when grading their students. As seen through my interactions, teachers grade students in a variety of different ways while taking into account a variety of different factors. Throughout the year, summative and formative assessments are in the hands of the teacher. Some people would argue that this is for the better, and that report card grades based on these assessments and evaluations create a trustworthy image of what each child is learning throughout their education experiences. I am here to tell you that I disagree strongly. Based on my recent experiences, it is clear that grades do not always communicate actual learning.

In order to situate my project within the research on teacher evaluation practices, I present a literature review on studies aimed at: a) unraveling what a grade represents to teachers and students, b ) understanding teachers' grading practices and c ) examining the possible consequences of grades and student impacts.

## Literature Review

## Grading as an assessment practice

## What is a grade supposed to represent?

Brookhart (1993) explored teachers' grading practices in relation to what grades were intended to communicate, and the consequences of this communication. When a teacher is going through the process of assigning a grade, they are reflecting on the type of communication that is sent out through grades. Grades represent different levels of student knowledge and learning experiences according to research. Brookhart (2011) discusses how school administrators and teachers should start talking about grades and what they mean to each school. She identifies the struggles of this topic for teachers and administrators alike, but warns against letting the conversation get side-tracked by the overwhelming questions of rubrics versus no rubrics, percentages versus letter grades, tests versus project based learning, etc. Brookhart (2011), Guskey and Bailey (2010) and Cox and Olsen (2009) agree that the most important component of this conversation is identifying what a grade is intended to represent, and to which audience it is intended for. Until this part of the conversation has been teased apart and faculty members are on the same page, progress in the domain of grading and assessment cannot occur. There needs to be a consensus from all individuals involved in the assessment of students so that everyone can be following the same criteria.

According to several studies including, but not limited to, McMillan and Nash (2000), Cross and Frary (1999), Frary, Cross and Weber (1993), and Brookhart (1993), each teacher has their own idea of what a grade is supposed to represent and they demonstrate these differences by including varying factors in their distribution of grades. This practice is also known as "hodgepodge grading" (Brookhart, 1991), and it causes issues in validity and reliability of grades
due to the discrepancies amongst school boards and individual schools. At the root of the issue are the inconsistent ideas of what grades should represent.

## Teachers: Non-achievement and achievement factors within grades

According to these various studies, teachers were found to consider many factors when assigning final grades to students. Randall and Engelhard (2009) define classroom achievement as academic performance based on evaluations of tests, projects and assignments that are directly related to specific objectives in relation to mastery of content. Some teachers believe that the only thing that should be assessed and accounted for in a grade is a student's performance and level of proficiency in a specific skill or subject (Randall \& Engelhard, 2009). This would fall under "achievement" factors.

Some studies found that teachers were considering the quality and completion of tasks unrelated to actual achievement. Factors such as homework, punctuality, notebook organization, and participation were granted a fair weight of their students' final grade (McMillan \& Nash, 2000; Fledman, Alibrandi \& Kropf, 1998; Bursuck et al., 1996; Stiggins, Frisbie \& Griswold, 1989). This would fall under "non-achievement" factors. Still, some teachers were found to take this a step further, and believed that even prosocial or disruptive behavior should be part of a student's final grades (Cizek, Robert \& Fitzgerald, 1995; Frary et al., 1993). The study conducted by Frary et al. (1993) indicated that $31 \%$ of teachers believed in having a behavior component contribute to final grades. Teachers were also found to take a student's attitude into account, especially when it came to borderline pass/fail scenarios (Stiggins et al., 1989). Other teachers think that grades should reflect progress and growth throughout the year. Improvement and personal growth are seen as the goals with this mindset, whereas final products are deemed less significant (Tomlinson, 2001).

Despite suggested research that states students should be graded based on the achievement factors alone, teachers from various studies have perceived this recommended practice as irrelevant to their needs (Brookhart, 1991; Manke \& Loyd, 1990; Stiggins et al., 1989). Some teachers believe that a grade is multifaceted, whereby academic achievement is only one side of it and that effort, including following rules and trying hard despite adversity, is the other side (Brookhart, 1994). Based on the literature review Brookhart (1994) completed, it was found that elementary teachers were more likely to include effort and progression when assigning grades, based on observations and conversations with their students, but some high school teachers were also found to do the same (Frary et al., 1993; Stiggins et al., 1989).

According to measurement theorists, a reasonable compromise for this type of grading practice would be to offer two separate grades on report cards: one that measures solely achievement, and the other that measures effort (Brookhart, 1994). It was found that achievement grades were deemed to be more impactful than effort grades when it came to factors such as honor roll, higher education admissions, parental approval and extra curriculum activities (Brookhart, 1994). If research recommends only including achievement factors for grades (see Manke \& Loyd, 1990; Stiggins et al., 1989), and feedback from parents seems to be in favor of achievement grades, why do teachers include effort and work habits in their overall grades at all? And how do they represent them within a report card final grade? We know that report card grades are an averaged percentage based on numerous assessments. When students and parents see one percentage for each subject on their report card, they cannot possible know which part of that grade stands for achievement, and which part stands for non-achievement components.

## Validity and reliability of grades

This leads us to the question of how reliable and valid grades are in our education system.

According to Airasian (2005) and Cizek (2009), validity of grading practices refers to the extent to which grades are relevant and accurate in drawing conclusions about a student's level of proficiency. Reliability, in the same light, refers to the stability and consistency of information that depicts student knowledge (Airasian, 2005). Smith (2003) suggested teachers ask the question: do I have enough information about what my students know in this particular subject in order to make a fair conclusion? In line with this suggestion, Airasian (2005) and Cizek (2009) mention that teachers should consider many different forms of evidence when providing grades, and not rely solely on one single assessment. With this in mind, it is critical that we examine the effectiveness of our traditional percentage report cards today as percentage grades play a large part in these issues of reliability and validity.

According to Guskey (2015), assessment in percentage grades is directly linked to the quality of teaching provided to each student. When students are taught thoroughly and are offered plenty of opportunity to practice new skills while demonstrating their knowledge, tests and assessments are more likely to be easier to master. In contrast, students may be taught poorly and have less opportunity to practice and explore deeply. Therefore tests and assessments may be more difficult to master. Students who score a $90 \%$ might have had an easier time achieving this grade due to good teaching practice, compared to a student who scores $70 \%$ due to poor-quality teaching. Many factors can impact the relationship of skills mastered or level of proficiency and assessment outcomes. Teachers cannot necessarily observe or judge these factors. The point is that some of these factors are not in the student's control, thus rendering grades even less telling of achievement and mastery of skills (Guskey \& Bailey, 2001). We cannot assume a direct relationship, then, between percentage score on a test and the level of content learned because of this ambiguity, yet we do. Furthermore, we attempt at averaging multiple meaningless
percentage scores and deliver a foreign amount onto a report card, in the name of demonstrating just how much students have learned.

Percentage grades pose more issues in that the 100 point scale system is built in a way that puts almost two-thirds of its scale at a failing level (Guskey, 2013). By creating the minimum passing grade of $60 \%, 60$ of the 100 point scale is working against students. On top of this, it is very difficult, and very subjective, to place students on one of these varying levels of success or failure. Back in 1912, when percentage grades made their initial appearance in the field of educational assessment, Starch and Elliot explored the accuracy of percentages. 147 English teachers evaluated identical student papers and the results were a clear demonstration of how inaccurate percentage grades and grading strategies are amongst teachers. Percentage grades ranged from $50-97 \%$ for one paper, and $64-98 \%$ for a second paper (Starch \& Elliot, 1912). The reason for these large discrepancies has remained the same over decades, that is, hodgepodge grading (Brookhart, 1991). Teachers were found to be assessing the papers based on their own criteria for "success", such as delivering a clear message to the reader, proper punctuation, grammar and spelling, neatness of work, and writing style, all to various degrees (Starch \& Elliot, 1912). One might think that these studies were done long ago, and much has changed in education today. More recently, Brimi (2011) replicated the study done by Starch and Elliot (1912) except this time, the teachers received training in assessment to see if it would have an impact. It did not. 73 teachers participated in the study, and grades for a student's writing assignment ranged from $50 \%-96 \%$. This finding suggests that training in assessment methods is less important than a teacher's personal beliefs about assessment. Even teachers who receive training in assessment experience difficulty with reaching validity and reliability across large samples (Guskey, 2013).

It is only natural that, with 100 levels of proficiency available, it becomes increasingly difficult to pinpoint where each student best places (Guskey, 2013). Teachers have defended this point with the counterclaim that a majority of them do not even use $0 \%-60 \%$. Well, the big question then is, what is the point of this system if $60 \%$ of the levels are going to be ignored anyway? The point is, it creates this illusion of precision, when in reality it is not any more precise than a 1-4 integer scale, or a "proficient, above average, average, below average" scale (Guskey, 2013). As a matter of fact, it is more reliable to identify students on a scale from 1-4 than it is to place them on a percentage scale. The reason for this is because in order to be as precise as the percentage system intends to be, each of the 100 levels of proficiency would have to be based on specific predetermined criteria. Who decides what differentiates a $69 \%$ grade from a $73 \%$ grade? What is each percentage actually representative of?

On another note, if a student is generally an above average student, but has a bad day and fails one test, chances are he will still be considered an above average student, once all of the evidence is considered. On the contrary, when using percentages, a failed test could be detrimental to the success of a student. Due to the nature of a percentage grading system, averaging of grades is not uncommon. Rather, it is mandatory in a system like this. One atypically low score can skew a student's average grade so drastically that they may not be able to recover from it. Since grades are supposed to communicate level of achievement and mastery of content (Brookhart, 1991), our grading system should not paint our students at their worst and most atypical level of proficiency based on punitive measures such as grade averaging in a percentage scale system. It creates discrepancies in validity and reliability of students' knowledge and mastery of content in cases like these. It is a faulty system.

## Teachers' experiences and concerns about grading

## Discrepancies in grading practices

According to McMillan et al., (2002), there is much research on secondary level teachers' beliefs on grading practices and how they combine achievement and non-achievement factors as a basis for final grades. Less has been studied on elementary teachers and how they weigh these factors for report card grades. Therefore, they researched assessment practices across 900 teachers within elementary schools (grades 3-5). The famous "hodgepodge" grading phenomenon was present in their study, although they found that at the elementary school level, teachers were less likely to penalize students with disruptive behavior and were less likely to compare their grades to grades from other teachers at the same level. The most interesting finding, for the purpose of this paper, was that there was a larger variance amongst teachers working in the same school compared to between-school variance. This means that even within the same school building, teachers' opinions and beliefs of assessment varied on a large scale. Cizek, Fitzgerald and Rachor (1995a) and McMillan and Nash (2000) also found this great within-school variance from their studies. These findings suggest that teachers are in fact granted much freedom in how they choose to grade their students, and they take this opportunity to assess students based on their personal beliefs about what they think is important in school. When one considers the factors that come into effect when deciding how to grade students, one must think about teaching style, students, and curriculum mandates as well. It is no wonder then that there is such a high variability amongst teachers when it comes to grading. It can be argued that it also should not be seen as an issue in this case; teachers should be able to choose the assessment technique that best suits their individual teaching style. The issue here is that grades are being distributed inaccurately.

How teachers' emotions impact grading

According to Brackett, Floman, Ashton-James, Cherkasskiy and Salovey (2013), a teacher's day is spent experiencing a whirlwind of emotions. Moments of the day may range from stressful to extremely pleasant. There is much pressure placed on teachers' grading practices, as students' grades are used as a vehicle for future opportunities (Greene \& Foster, 2003). Since teachers experience so much pressure and so many emotions throughout their job, Brackett et al. (2013) wanted to examine how emotions impacted student grading. They compared teachers' grading in two different groups. The first group was a positive emotion condition, and the second was a negative emotion condition. The researchers elicited positive or negative emotions by having the participants describe either positive memories or negative memories right before evaluating a piece of work. They found that teachers who were experiencing positive emotions during the corrections of a narrative essay graded higher compared to the teachers who were experiencing negative emotions. Like anyone, emotions play a large part in a teacher's daily decision making. Teachers' emotional states therefore play an active role on students' academic and social outcomes (Jennings \& Greenberg, 2009). These findings add to the notion that grading is highly subjective, and cannot be taken for face value.

## Consequences of grading on students

## Unfair experiences of grading

Some students have negative feelings towards being graded and what those grades represent. Alm and Colnerud (2015) studied how teachers experienced unfair assessment when they themselves were students. 355 responses were provided by the participants, in regards to their experiences of unfair grading. Alm and Colnerud (2015) describe some of the themes that emerged from the study. One major theme was "inadequate application systems" in relation to grades (p. 138), which was described as unfair due to teachers interpreting grading systems
incorrectly. This means that teachers were following grading systems from, for example, their school boards, that they misunderstood. The bell curve grade distribution is a system that can be easily misunderstood and render unfair assessments. By misinterpreting grading systems in place, teachers were found to not give students the grades they deserved. An example of this was when a teacher recounted her student experience in which she could not receive the highest grade because the majority of students had to fit on the normal curve within the $1-5$ step scale, even though she deserved it. A similar example of inadequate application systems was when a student described how some teachers were applying grading systems based on their own personal rules. This was evident when teachers insisted that they could only increase grades throughout the year, and not decrease. Therefore, they would start students off at a lower grade to allow space for later increases, even when the students deserved a higher grade to start off (Alm \& Colnerud, 2015).

Other findings suggest that some students experienced grades that were representative of one piece of assessment that was weighted very heavily. Students claimed that the unfairness in this type of grading derives from teachers making unreasonable inferences of their knowledge based largely on one piece of data. Similarly, students also claimed grading unfair when teachers weighted particular questions on tests or assignments too heavily, and therefore created a "make it or break it" scenario where one mistake on a question would put their average down by several percentage marks (Alm \& Colnerud, 2015). Lastly, Alm and Colnerud (2015) found that students perceived grading to be unfair when they were being graded on factors unrelated to their performance. A student shared an experience where a teacher had taught her older brother before her, and since he was a more difficult student, she was penalized with lower grades than she deserved based solely on the teacher's bias against her brother. Another student felt that the
teacher was awarding high grades when they were not earned because the student participated often and was an active member of the class. Therefore, it was found that even when students were benefiting from the inaccuracy of their grades, they still considered it to be unfair to the rest of the class (Alm \& Colnerud, 2015).

All of this is to say that students do not find grading fair when it is not consistent and representative of their knowledge. As mentioned earlier, Cizek (2009), Airasian (2005) and Smith (2003) all state that teachers need to base grades on relevant and representative information in order to increase validity and reliability of grades. Therefore students who have perceived grades as being unfair have, in essence, experienced unreliable and invalid grading practices. Some students are aware of biases and know when their grades do not add up; other students take these grades for face value and start defining themselves by these inaccurate percentages. The latter is problematic for students, as self-efficacy and intrinsic motivation to learn suffer, all in the name of bad grading practice.

## Grades as student feedback

According to Brookhart, Walsh and Zientarski (2006), educational measurement has lasting impact on students and the types of learners they become. Teachers use grades as their method of feedback to students and parents. Although including non-achievement factors into grading seems well intended, and may play an important role in reinforcing specific character traits, work ethic, and the global development of a student, a grade that encompasses all of these factors is providing inaccurate feedback for a student who perceives a grade as telling of their level of knowledge (Bookhart, 1993). For example, if a student who really only academically masters $60 \%$ of the content on their tests, yet their final report card grade includes rewarded percentages for effort, participation, homework and punctuality, the student may receive an
averaged grade of $75 \%$. Therefore, students and parents are receiving feedback that the student is in fact demonstrating an average level of knowledge and skills (McMillan, Myran \& Workman, 2000). If this continues to happen over time, the child may not learn to have an accurate picture of his or her mastery of a topic. In line with this research, Pintrich (2002) discusses student metacognitive knowledge and how their understanding of the way they learn best will impact how they choose certain strategies over others, depending on the complexity of task. Therefore, students may be assuming that certain learning strategies and study strategies are effective when really they are being reinforced from "hodgepodge" grades that are not actually telling of their proficiency in a skill or topic (Brookhart, 1991; Cross \& Frary, 1999; McMillan et al., 2000). This may also result in students of the same abilities receiving different grades based on nonachievement factors, which sends messages to students about the type of learner and student they are in comparison to their ability-matched peers; this may cause confusion and lack of trust in their teachers as noted by Alm \& Colnerud (2015) and Brookhart et al. (2006).

## Self-efficacy and intrinsic motivation

Whether percentage grades, letter grades, or a combination of the many scales is what a school is using, they may be used in inconsistent ways. It is not necessarily whether one way is better than another, although they do have pros and cons as discussed in this paper; it is simply that they can be inconsistent and poorly agreed upon. Regardless of their lack of reliability and validity as mentioned above, student motivation and self-efficacy come into play in the classroom assessment environment (Brookhart et al., 2006). The use of consistent and regular assessment - interestingly found to be more harsh than a dramatic-one-time "high stakes" test due to the impact test grades have on students (Brookhart et al., 2006) - promotes the development of specific types of learning behaviors. Students enter a new classroom every year,
with a new teacher, new assessment culture, and new rules to follow. Every grade, test, oral presentation, or formal evaluation is interrelated with multiple factors (Brookhart et al., 2006), and everything a student submits for grade or feedback contributes to the type of learner they become. For example, if a student has constantly failed spelling tests, they will self-identify as poor spellers, and may be less motivated to do tasks related to spelling. Similarly, if a student has constantly been provided good grades for oral presentations, they may be more motivated to do presentations (Bookhart, et al., 2006). Motivational factors, in turn, have a strong impact on student achievement (Thomas \& Oldfather, 1997; Brookhart et al., 2006).

In direct line with this research is Deci and Ryan's (1990) self-determination theory, highlighting how grades can be related to intrinsic motivation. It is not new information that all people, adults and students included, have three basic psychological needs for: competence, autonomy, and relatedness (Deci \& Ryan, 1990). Grades are directly related to the need for competence because they provide feedback for students' levels of competence. When students receive failing grades for an assignment, they are actually receiving the message that they are not competent in this task, thus lowering their level of intrinsic motivation to do similar tasks again. This poses issues when, as we just mentioned, grades are not valid or reliable sources of information about how competent a student actually is.

Brookhart et al. (2006) similarly aimed at investigating how assessment within the class setting impacted motivation and effort for students. They found that students' perceived selfefficacy, perceived task importance, and mastery goal orientation played a large role in levels of motivation (Brookhart et al., 2006). When children are told, time after time, that they are a $60 \%$ student, a $70 \%$ student, or a $90 \%$ student in a given skill or subject, they start to believe that is what they are capable of (self-efficacy). Since grades are not always accurately representative of
student knowledge or proficiency, a student's perception of their self-efficacy is being falsely created. This can have serious repercussions on their level of motivation to learn in upcoming opportunities (Brookhart et al., 2006).

Being known as a $60 \%$ student can become so immutable that is almost seems impossible to change or overcome. According to Brookhart et al., (2006), self-efficacy is not simply a question of "how well I think I can do this task," rather, it has a direct link to how much effort students put in a task, how persistent they are in completing it, and their overall performance throughout. Furthermore, students are constantly judging their ability to complete tasks based on their previous successes and failures (Schunk, 1994). Students judge their self-efficacy by weighing out the difficulty of the task, the effort needed to complete the task, and their access to helpful resources in their environment. Once they have weighed these characteristics against their past experiences, they will either put in the effort or give up (Brookhart et al., 2006). I see this far too often as a teacher myself: students who arrive to Grade 6 with no desire to do any work, have a negative attitude about assignments, and do not bother studying for tests. I refuse to believe this is simply because of laziness or lack of respect. This has more to do with being in a system for seven years that has repetitively told them that they are unable to complete tasks accordingly, while labeling them as a percentage grade.

## Extrinsic motivation and compliance

Studies have been conducted in order to help answer the question of why teachers may be including effort and other factors unrelated to achievement in their grading practices. These nonachievement factors were found to be helpful in terms of classroom management, in that they ensure that students are behaving in a controlled fashion. In other words, they earn grades unrelated to their achievement through participating in positive ways, completing class work, etc.

These grades work as external motivation to have students comply in their classrooms, instead of students being engaged through intrinsic motivation and genuine curiosity for a subject matter (Thomas \& Oldfather, 1997; Doyle, 1986). If it is a way to help the flow of learning and control behaviors in a positive way, one may wonder what the problem is.

According to Eisner (2002) schools teach three different curricula to its people: implicit, explicit, and null. Schools are explicitly teaching the stated curriculum, such as grammar, arithmetic, fractions, spelling and reading. It is what they are implicitly teaching (indirectly), or worse, not teaching (null) that is relevant to this paper. Eisner (2002) explains how using external rewards, such as grades, in order to foster compliance from students is doing the opposite of what schools set out to achieve. One of the biggest critiques of schooling is that students learn very early on in their education that they need to produce whatever product the teacher is asking for, rather than to take initiative and be creative in their learning (Vallance, 1973). Students quickly pick up on how they need to behave and what they need to do in order to earn an A, B, or C grade depending on their teacher and classroom environment (Guskey, 2015; Thomas \& Oldfather, 1997). Grades hold much more power than the eye can see. The implicit curriculum not only teaches children that if they comply with their teacher's requests, they will be better off; it also forces students to adapt to every different teacher they have. Instead of students learning how to be effective learners in a variety of situations and learning environments based on their abilities and needs, grades teach students how to be compliant learners in which the teacher is the boss, and students follow (Vallance, 1973). Schools implicitly teach students that learning has stopped once they have earned a grade (Guskey, 2015).

The null curriculum, on the other hand, is what school is failing to teach students. An example of this is initiative, as seen by Eisner (2002). Schools are not teaching students how to
take control of their learning and how to be creative in their learning processes (Eisner, 2002; Spencer \& Juliani, 2017). By not teaching students how to learn in unique and creative ways, schools are sending the message that students should comply with class order and teacher rules. Students may be afraid to take risks in their learning because they may not fall under the predetermined expectations of the teacher, therefore risking the chance of receiving bad grades. This type of "null curriculum" is not helpful for students who we assume will eventually transfer such learning to society at large. Today, places of employment require workers who can take initiative, innovate, problem solve and think for themselves (Spencer \& Juliani, 2017). A percentage grade system does not promote these skills.

Through the use of grades - and all other external rewards, such as check marks for books read, or prizes for the most cooperative group - schools are forcing children to behave in ways that are pre-determined by the teacher, with little opportunity to develop intrinsic meaning for such tasks (Thomas \& Oldfather, 1997). Even more, when students learn to expect a reward (grades) for participating and completing a task through repeated exposure, it is only natural that they will be less likely to participate in the same or similar type of activity without the external motivation of rewards (grades) (Brookhart et al., 2006; Vallance, 1973). As a teacher, I see this all the time. Students constantly ask "does this count for a grade?" or "how much does this count for?" in order to weigh out the pros and cons of maximizing their effort. I always respond with a variation of "you should try just as hard, regardless of if it counts towards your final grade everything counts towards your learning." This idea seems foreign to students in our education system today. They are so focused on the external reward of grades that they will not participate or take work seriously if it is not worth a grade (Brookhart, 1994). Regardless of whether the "hodgepodge" grading style is good or bad, I think we can all agree that grades should not be
representative of how compliant a student is.

## Grading in Quebec

## Context: Quebec guidelines for reporting assessments

In Quebec the learning progression is based on a two year cycle. There are three cycles: cycle one encompassing grades one and two, cycle two covering grades three and four, and cycle three being grades five and six. Each of these grade levels have certain skills that should be worked on with teacher guidance, and eventually mastered independently. The document "Progression of Learning" delineates which skills need to be mastered by the end of each year or cycle. This covers all subjects including Math, Science, English, French, Social Studies, Arts Education and Personal Development. According to the Quebec Education Act, teachers are entitled "to select the means of evaluating the progress of students so as to examine and assess continually and periodically the needs and achievement of objectives of every student entrusted to his care" $(1988 / 2020)$. This means that teachers can decide how to formatively evaluate their students throughout the cycles.

In Quebec, there are three mandatory summative report cards per year that go out to parents at the end of each term (see Appendix C). Percentage grades are provided for each subject, as well as for class averages. The report card is divided by competencies per subject. For example, the math competencies are a) "to solve a situational problem" and b) "to reason using mathematical concepts and processes" all the while "communicating by using mathematical language." The two competencies are separate for the report card and require an individual grade for each. Then an averaged grade is created for their term mark. This is similar for all subjects. Since this system works in cycles, most skills are to be mastered by the end of the cycle, that is, at the end of two years. For example, in Mathematics, students in grade one need to "construct
knowledge with teacher guidance" about counting forward from a given number. This competency only needs to be mastered by the end of grade two. So it may become difficult for teachers to identify what a first grade student should know for this skill in November, for example. Having to complete report cards in November, March and June for skills that only need to be acquired at the end of the following year is a difficult task. There appears to be a disconnect between the progression of learning and reporting of competency-based knowledge on the report cards. This further demonstrates how report card grades can be ambiguous or misleading.

## Current Study

The literature review on grading practices and the factors that make up the teacher perspective and the student perspective, along with my own experiences, have led me to two beliefs. Firstly, due to the fact that teachers from grade to grade are inconsistently weighing grades and therefore grading differently, I believe that grades are arbitrary. There is no way for a student or a parent to understand the real value of the student's grades on a report card, or what they have actually learned. Secondly, there is not enough discussion about grading practices and what grades represent to all stakeholders. These conversations are vital to solving issues with reliability and validity of grades in the future. Percentage grades as they have been for over 100 years have proven to be unreliable and invalid measures of student learning. There are too many factors that remain inconsistent. Grades have a large array of consequences on students and teachers alike. The large variance amongst teachers' perspectives on "success" versus "failure" and the illusion of precision by a percentage scale has convinced me further on how skewed our system is. As a teacher, I have seen what goes on in schools today. I have witnessed firsthand how inaccurate grades are and how little they tell about a student's story. Students are more than
just an averaged percentage score on a report card, and we need to stop acting like this is the case.

## Research questions

Having situated my position and conducted a theoretical review on the topic, the purpose of this study is to explore how elementary school teachers feel about grading. Specifically, I will explore how teachers formulate grades for their students, including the factors that impact report card grades, and what teachers believe about their current grading systems, including the messages they are sending to students and parents through grades. I will also take into account teachers' perspectives, and critiques about grading and measurement practices in relation to their classroom cultures. My two main research questions are:

1. How do elementary school teachers formulate report card grades?
2. What do teachers believe about their current grading system, specifically in the Quebec context?

The teachers' responses will be used to develop our understanding of grading practices in elementary school.

## Methodology

## Design

This study was a qualitative research project revolving around interviews with teachers and a short questionnaire. Both methods were used as a form of data triangulation to enhance the credibility of the study. The questionnaire was also used as a method to prepare the participants for the topics and vocabulary that was to come in the interviews, to ensure everyone had the same information. The teachers were interviewed individually through a semi-structured interview lasting approximately 30 to 45 minutes. The interviews were recorded and transcribed
to ensure that the data were accurate and reliable. Prior to the interviews, the participants filled out a questionnaire related to how they view grading, which took 15 minutes to complete (see Appendix A).

## Participants

Ten public, elementary school teachers were interviewed. This sample was not restricted in terms of years of experience, school, language of teaching instruction, or Elementary grade level. All teachers at various levels of their career were welcome to participate to promote diverse data and be representative of the population of public schools across the city of Montreal. The only factor that was taken into account was each teacher's grading practices. It was expected that participating teachers use or have used the percentage grade method for report card grading, as the traditional percentage system is at the heart of this study. In order to recruit participants, I used word-of-mouth recruitment asking co-workers and other teachers I have worked with in the past. Once participants agreed, they were asked to sign a consent form.

## Procedures

Individual interviews were set up at the most convenient location and time for the participants. The interviews took place in person, either in the participants' homes, public coffee shops, or in their school setting as per their request. The entire interview process and questionnaire took no longer than one hour depending on each participants' willingness to speak. The questionnaire was done in person, in paper/pencil format. They completed the questionnaire before we started the interview.

Interviews were semi-structured in order to follow each participant's lines of thought and experiences. Sample interview questions to elicit discussion included:

1. What do you think about grading?
2. How do you formulate report card grades for your students?
3. What do you believe these grades communicate? To whom?
4. In your professional opinion, what does a grade represent to students?
5. What does a grade represent to you?
6. What makes a "good" student versus a "poor" student?
7. What do you recall in terms of your own experiences being graded as a student?
8. How might these recollections compare with how you approach your grading practices today?
9. What are some of the main issues/benefits you see in current grading practices?
10. What would you say the ultimate goal of education is?
11. What recommendations do you have for new teachers learning to grade students?

## Data Analysis

In order to interpret the data from the interviews, I transcribed all of the interviews on an excel sheet. I went through each interview, sentence by sentence, using an emergent coding scheme. Once I transcribed each word from the recordings, I then created a new document with the condensed version of each transcript. I shortened the sentences by taking out unnecessary words like "um," and any other words unrelated to the main point of each sentence, while keeping their statements intact. From this data, I formulated categories on the right hand side of participant quotations. For example, "time management," or "teacher methods" were categories that came up in most participant transcripts. Once each transcript was coded and set into categories, I compared the categories between each participant and then created major themes that could encompass the categories. For example, "personal grading systems" was a theme that encompassed the categories "teacher methods," "grading by subject," "quantity of assignments,"
and "evaluation." This then led me to organize my paper according to the major themes and how they fit into to my research questions. Some categories were dropped as they were unrelated to my research questions or did not yield enough information across participants. For example, "childhood memories of being graded" was a category that did not make it into the final analysis due to a lack of connection between memories of being graded as a student and how teachers choose to grade as adults.

## Validity and reliability

In addition to transcribing the interviews verbatim to provide detailed and accurate data, I used two methods of data collection: interviews and questionnaires. In order to minimize researcher bias during interviews, I used an open-ended, scripted set of questions. Inter-coder reliability conducted with a peer for one set of participant interviews was .74 , which met the acceptable ratio of agreement of .70 established by Miles and Huberman (1994, see Hays \& Singh, 2012, p. 308).

## Results and Discussion

The data for this thesis is comprised of the teachers' questionnaire responses (see Appendix A) and the teachers' individual interviews (see Appendix B for samples of coded interviews). Following demographic and general information about participants, the results and discussion will be presented in two sections: Part 1 . How elementary teachers formulate their report card grades and Part 2. What teachers believe about our grading system.

## Demographic and general information about participants

Table 1
Participant Information

| Participants (Pseudonyms) | Pierre <br> Brittany <br> Melissa <br> Anita <br> Jennifer <br> Chrystal <br> Ken <br> Leila <br> Ruby <br> Parker |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Kindergarten to grade 6 |
| Current level currently taught | $4-43$ years |
| Years of experience in teaching | French Immersion, Bilingual, Francais Plus |
| Current school program | English, Dance and Drama, Music, Physical <br> Education, French, Resource, Math, <br> Subjects taught and graded |
|  | Science, Ethics and Religion, History, Art |

There were a total of ten teachers who participated in this study. Through the use of a questionnaire, participants provided information about their current and past work experiences. All ten participants work in the Montreal area and follow the same Quebec curriculum and report card system. Similarly, they are all a part of the same school board. In Quebec, there are three French language programs offered in English schools: a French Immersion program offers French instruction in Kindergarten until grade two, and then grades three to six are approximately 50\% English and 50\% French; a Francais Plus program at a French Immersion school where students receive French instruction from Kindergarten until grade 4, and then they receive approximately 50\% French instruction and 50\% English instruction for grades five and
six; and a Bilingual school program which ensures that students receive 50\% French and 50\% English instruction from Kindergarten until grade 6. The type of school program impacts the subjects that are taught and therefore impacts the subjects that teachers are responsible for grading.

Pierre teaches grade four currently as an English Generalist. He works at a French immersion school. Pierre has 20 years of experience as a teacher.

Brittany teaches grade three as an English Generalist as well. She works at a bilingual school. Brittany has 21 years of experience as a teacher.

Melissa is currently teaching French to grade one students at a bilingual school. Melissa has 22 years of experience as a teacher.

Similarly, Anita has 21 years of teaching experience and currently teaches English in grade six at a Francais plus school.

Jennifer teaches French to Kindergarten students at a Francais plus school and has been a teacher for 17 years.

Chrystal is an English Generalist who teaches Dance and Drama to children in kindergarten through grade six. She works in a Francais plus school and has been a teacher for 16 years.

Ken is the Physical Education teacher at a Francais plus school where he teaches $\underline{\text { Kindergarten to grade six. He has been a teacher for } 16 \text { years. }}$

Leila is also an English generalist who teaches grade five and six at a Francais Plus school. She has 11 years of teaching experience.

Ruby is the Resource teacher and provides support to students with learning and behavior difficulties. She currently works at a Francais Plus elementary school and works with all grade
levels from Kindergarten to grade six. She has 43 years of experience as a teacher.
Lastly, Parker teaches Music at a Francais Plus school to all students from kindergarten to grade six. He has 4 years of teaching experience.

## Part 1. How elementary teachers formulate report card grades (RQ\#1)

This section will report on the different student factors that the interviewed teachers considered when assessing student learning and creating report card grades, and how teachers rank those factors in terms of importance. External factors that may impact the grading process, such as pressure from parents or administration, will also be discussed. Each teacher has their own philosophy when it comes to learning and grading, therefore this section will highlight the participants' personal grading systems and beliefs.

## Collecting evidence of student learning

A multiple choice questionnaire was filled out at the beginning of the interview process by each participant (see Appendix A). The first question was "In your opinion, what is the most accurate way to collect evidence of student learning?" The bar graph below provides the participant responses. It should be noted that teachers were able to choose more than one response.

## Figure 1.

The Most Accurate Way to Collect Evidence of Student Learning


Note. Participant responses to questionnaire \#1: student learning

According to Randall and Engelhard (2009), evaluations of tests, projects and assignments based on learned content are deemed "achievement" factors, whereas homework, participation, punctuality and organization are considered "non-achievement" factors. These non-achievement factors often still take weight in final grades that teachers assign (McMillan \& Nash, 2000). Based on this bar graph, it is evident that observation plays a key role in understanding and monitoring student learning throughout the year, across subjects and grade levels (8/10). Assignments were found to be the second most accurate way of collecting evidence of student learning, whereas projects and tests were found to be the third most accurate way of doing this. Two teachers included "other": one identified classroom activities/group discussions and the other identified educational games as being accurate ways to identify student learning. Both include observing students in these moments, which could possibly be labelled as "participation." Interestingly, homework was considered irrelevant by all participants when it came to collecting evidence of student learning. This is an important piece of data as we will see in the next table. The reason I chose these five factors specifically with the option of "other" was to see how much of a final grade was being accounted for by achievement factors and nonachievement factors, according to Randall and Engelhard's (2009) and McMillan and Nash's (2000) definitions. It is clear that teachers are considering a combination of both factors when collecting evidence of student learning.

## Factors that impact report card grades

## Figure 2.

Student Factors That Impact Report Card Grades


Note. Participant responses to questionnaire \#4
In response to the question "which student factors impact the way you develop report card grades?", it is evident that student factors such as achievement, effort and progress are factored in when most of these teachers formulate their report cards. Achievement was described as actual student knowledge demonstrated in a specific subject or content area (Randall and Engelhard, 2009). If the answers to the first question were true for the teachers, one can conclude that most of these teachers measure this achievement through observations. Progress and effort were also considered by many participants, which is in line with Brookhart (1994) who found that teachers believe in a grade as being multifaceted and must include both achievement alongside effort and progress.

What is interesting here is that two participants chose homework completion as a factor that contributed to the development of report card grades, yet no teachers selected homework as an accurate way to select evidence of student learning in the first question. The way students behave in class was also a factor that three teachers accounted for when formulating student report cards, although none of them included "behaviors" as evidence of student learning in the
first question. This falls in line with the study conducted by Frary et al. (1993), who found that $31 \%$ of teachers allocated some weight of the final grade to behavior. How they allocate these non-achievement factors within the single achievement grade is still unknown. Brookhart (1994) recommended including two grades on the report card, one for achievement and one for effort, although that is not a decision any teacher can make without their school boards and government changing their policies.

All in all, this demonstrates that some teachers are using report card grades to communicate homework, effort, progress and other student behaviors in their grades. There seems to be a discrepancy between what teachers believe are accurate ways of evaluating student learning, and which factors they actually account for in their report card grading. Perhaps it is safe to say then, that most teachers are not using the report card grades to communicate student achievement alone. Furthermore, there seems to be inconsistencies in how these student factors are ranked from most important to least important, as seen in the next table.

## Table 2

## Participant Responses to Questionnaire \#5: Ranking Student Factors

| By grade and subject | Student factors: 1 (most important) and 5 (least important) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jennifer-Kindergarten | 1.Progress | 2.Behavior | 3.Effort | 4.Achievement | 5. Homework |
| Melissa- Grade 1/2 | 1.Achievement | 2. Progress | 3.Effort | 4. Behavior | 5. Homework |
| Brittany - Grade 3 | 1.Progress | 2.Achievement | 3.Effort | 4.Behavior | 5.Homework |
| Pierre - Grade 4 | 1.Effort | 2. Progress | 3.Achievement | 4. Behavior | 5. Homework |
| Leila - Grade 5/6 | 1.Achievement | 2. Progress | 3.Effort | 4.Homework | 5.Behavior |
| Anita - Grade 6 | 1.Achievement | 2.Progress | 3.Effort | 4. Homework | 5. Behavior |
| Chrystal - Dance and Drama | 1.Achievement | 2.Progress | 3.Effort | 4. Behavior | 5. Homework |
| Ken - Phys. Ed | 1.Effort | 2.Progress | 3.Achievement | 4.Behavior | 5. Homework |
| Parker - Music (4-6) | 1.Homework | 2.Achievement | 3.Effort | 4.Progress | 5.Behavior |
| Parker - Music (K-3) | 1.Effort | 2.Behavior | 3.Progress | 4.Achievement | 5. Homework |
| Ruby - Resource | 1.Progress | 2.Effort | 3.Achievement | 4.Behavior | 5. Homework |

The fifth question involved teachers ordering student factors from 1 (most important) to 5 (least important) when they are developing report card grades. In this table, the majority of
teachers demonstrate some consensus amongst the rankings in categories of progress, effort, behavior and homework. Although there appears to be the most consensus amongst teachers about what is least important (homework) in formulating report card grades, what is most important seems to be inconsistent. There was less than $50 \%$ agreement on what is deemed to be the most important student factor. Progress was found, overall, to be chosen as first or second in ranking of most important for report card grading by majority of the participants (9/10).

Achievement was not as consistent across participants, as it was chosen all throughout rankings 1-4. Consequently, it can be said that although $8 / 10$ participants chose "achievement" as being a student factor that impacted the way they develop report card grades in the questionnaire (Q\#4), they all rank its importance differently. This matters greatly in terms of what report cards are communicating about student learning. One can assume that teachers may place a higher or lower weight on the factors they find more or less important. According to McMillan et al. (2002), teachers placed the greatest weight on achievement and effort, but much less weight on homework, for example. It is clear that this varies for each teacher, based on what they deem to be more or less significant.

One of the participants, Parker, chose homework as being the most important when it came to older students as they would have more homework assignments during the year. Yet for the younger students, this same participant ranked homework as the least important factor whereas "effort" was the most important. This differentiation might be deemed significant across grade levels taught, as the other two participants who did not choose "homework" as the least important factor, also taught grades five and six. It appears that the higher the grade level, the more emphasis is placed on work done at home. This may have something to do with preparing the older students for high school independence and increased responsibility.

Aside from the fact that these participants all ranked student factors quite differently which causes issues for consistency across teacher's grades, the findings that progress and effort were ranked as fairly important by all of the participants raises the question of how they include these two factors in a grade.

## Lack of standardization

Lack of standardization in grading methodologies was a theme that came up in terms of teachers having their own personal grading systems, how they weigh different academic tasks and which factors they choose to focus on when evaluating students. The first question that comes to mind is: how does this freedom impact the accuracy of what teachers communicate?

Pierre discussed how "there is absolutely no standard" for grading procedures across Quebec (P1, line 2). Similarly, Parker talks about a lack of standardization for what specialists need to teach, and how they need to assess. He adds that teachers grade based on their own interpretation and, like Pierre, that there is no standard when it comes to grading ( P 10 , line $83 \&$ 87). Parker provides an example of how easy it is for two teachers to disagree on a grade for the same child because of this lack of standardization (P10, line 88). This opinion is in line with Starch and Elliot (1912) and more recently, Brimi (2011): teachers were asked to evaluate the same paper and the percentage grades ranged from $50 \%-97 \%$. These findings, repeated a century apart, demonstrate how teachers rely heavily on their personal beliefs about what it means to be successful. Despite being trained in assessment methods in the recent study, teachers still had a huge variance in the way they graded the same paper (Brimi, 2011). These studies illustrate how a lack of standardization results in large discrepancies across teachers and schools. Brittany also states that although the report card is a standard form that all teachers use in Quebec, the methods teacher use to grade are all different (P2, lines 44, 47 \& 52). Anita and Brittany both
talk about a child at one school getting a certain grade, yet when they switch to another school, they could be getting something completely different (P2, line 45; P4, lines 43-44). Brittany believes that your child's grades should not depend on what school they attend (P2, lines 46, 47, 48).

Due to the lack of standard within grading, it is no wonder that teachers must create their own personal grading system. Table 5 below demonstrates just how common it is for teachers to do so. These findings add to the pre-existing research that reveals how teachers use their own grading systems and how this causes some confusion and inconsistencies. All of the participants chose different external factors that impact the way they assign their grades.

External factors that impact report card grades

## Figure 3.

External Factors That Impact the Development Report Card Grades


Note. Participant responses to question \#6: external factors
In the questionnaire, the sixth question spoke to any other external factors that may impact the way teachers develop their report card grades, with a choice to include "other." A majority of the participants chose "personal beliefs about learning and evaluation," when asked which external factors were considered in the development of their report card grades. This is a
large factor that differentiates what report cards mean to each teacher and impacts what each teacher is communicating through their report cards.

## Personal grading systems

Each participant discussed what their grading system looked like. Pierre explained how he uses what he calls "learner profiles" based on $10 \%$ increments that entail specific criteria for each level of learner. So he goes over rubrics with students and explains what the different levels look like and how they can achieve the grade they want (P1, line $31,32 \& 37$ ). Pierre goes on to state that even for his high achievers, he will still start them off a bit lower, and slowly bring the grade up by the end of the year if there is consistent improvement ( P 1 , line $34 \& 35$ ). Similarly for his low achievers, Pierre rarely goes below a $40 \%$ grade as he believes that as long as he is communicating a fail, he does not need to go lower than that ( P 1 , line 36 ). This notion of starting high-achievers off with a lower grade is not unknown to assessment research. Alm and Colnerud (2015) found that this is a common practice across teachers, and often rated as being "unfair" by students themselves. Some teachers would argue that showing progress throughout the year is equally as important as showing high achievement in one term.

Brittany stated that she uses a board-wide criteria scale based on "limited expectations, meets expectations or surpasses expectations" when assigning grades to her report cards (P2, lines $11 \& 14)$. Each of these categories have a range of percentages linked to them and therefore she can convert the criteria to a grade at the end of each term. She also takes into consideration how the students work in class, how they participate, and what they produce in terms of tests or work assignments (P2, lines $12 \& 13$ ). Melissa claimed to use a similar grid that helps convert the criteria to percentages. Since she teaches younger children, her method of evaluation is mostly based on observations and playing games with the students. She states that she does not
use tests in her class, rather she may give "unprepared" spelling tests based on sounds to see where the students are at ( P 3 , lines $12,14,15 \& 17$ ). Melissa does not believe that paper and pencil testing is the best way to find out what her students know.

Anita and Leila talked about their grading system as being based on a certain amount of assignments or tests assigned per term, per subject (P4, line 13; P8, line $38 \& 39$ ). But they both included information on their methods of evaluation that was unique compared to the other participants. Anita said that she offers opportunities for re-doing a test or a question on a test with a more elaborate explanation so that the students could get part marks instead of a 0 . Leila provides a no-risk pop quiz a day or two before the real test, meets with students to discuss the topics that were misunderstood, and clarifies anything that they got wrong. She believes that this encourages children to study before the real test and helps them be more prepared (P8, lines 6571). This type of philosophy allows the students to learn from their mistakes in a risk-free situation.

In kindergarten, Jennifer explained that her grading system and methods of evaluation are much different than the rest of the grade level teachers. Her grading system is actually the only one that is mandated by the Ministry. Each letter grade is associated with a set criteria: "A is exceeds expectations, B meets expectations, C with support and D not even a little bit" (P5, line 13). She states that she never gives out D's, and withholds assigning A's at the beginning of the year as she prefers to offer space for students to work towards it (P5, lines $12 \& 16$ ). She also added that if a student does get an A one term, it is not automatic that they will get an A again the following term. It really depends on how the term goes and the progress the student makes.

Chrystal's grading system is similar to Pierre, Brittany and Melissa in that she creates her own criteria and converts it to a percentage system. So she uses a 1-5 system based on specific
criteria, where 5 is $100 \%$ (P6, lines 10). Chrystal explained that she would not give $100 \%$ even if the student received a 5 on everything, but she will give high 90 s. She added that her students usually get in between $80-90 \%$ because there are always times where they are not being great audience members or not wanting to participate in every activity ( P 6 , lines $11 \& 12$ ).

Ken, a physical education teacher, started his response by clearly stating that he does not just look at his students and decide from the top of his head what grade they are going to receive which, according to him, is not uncommon for specialists to do (P7, line 1). Ken follows a similar 1-5 rating scale as Chrystal, based on the physical education competencies and subcategories: 5 being the best grade they can get, and 1 being the lowest. These have a parallel percentage range on top of the rubrics, for example a 4 or $4+$ would be $81-87 \%$ and so on (P7, lines $18 \& 19)$. So he grades the students based on the average score once he has evaluated each task in his class (P7, line 5). He explained that he has three competencies to assess: 1) to perform movement skills in different physical activity settings, 2 ) to interact with others in different physical activity settings, and 3) to adopt a healthy, active lifestyle. Ken stated that he weighs the first two heavier than the third as the third is more difficult to assess accurately ( P 7 , lines 43,44 \& 47).

If Ruby could have it her way, she would write anecdotal feedback for all of her students, keep track of samples of their work and talk to them about their thinking. That would be her ideal method of evaluation, although she acknowledges that we're stuck with percentage grades so we "have to play the game" (P9, line 54). She stated that descriptive rubrics with words on it to describe the varying levels would be the best method to come up with a percentage range.

Parker briefly explained his grading system and methods of evaluation. He stated that instead of using the $0-100$ percent range, he sticks to a $70-100 \%$ system. The reason he does this
is because he does not believe he can fail a student in music on the basis that they were "bad at music"; rather they showed up and they learned something, so $70 \%$ becomes the lowest grade (P10, lines 58-62). He added that his method of evaluation includes assignments, homework and in-class quizzes, but that these factors count for less than the student's behaviors in class (P10, lines 22-24).

It is clear here that teachers are creating their own systems and rules in regards to their grading practices. Some teachers believe that students cannot receive the highest grade at the beginning of the year as they want to leave space for improvement. Others believe in giving retests and pre-tests to help set their students up for success. Some have created their own unique grading range to exclude any failing percentages. Still, others have made room for failing grades, but have limited it to a $40 \%$ instead of a $0 \%$. A few teachers have created their own $1-5$ ranking system with their own criteria based on the subjects they teach. There are many variations amongst teachers and it has a lot to do with their teaching philosophies and values.

Communicating to parents and students about what your grading system is and what your grades represent is essential. The only way grading can be minimally meaningful is if everyone interprets the grades through the same lens and with the same information (Kenney \& Perry, 1994). In line with this idea, Pierre discussed how grading differently across teachers and schools is not necessarily the problem ( P 1 , line 71 ). Rather, the problem occurs when parents do not understand the way your grading system works. He used the analogy of speaking a different language: "I can speak Japanese to you, if you speak Japanese. Next year the teacher can talk Chinese, as long as parents learn Chinese that year" ( P 1 , line 72 ). Pierre goes on to state that it is important that teachers clearly communicate to parents what these symbols mean on the report card (P1, line 73). Jennifer discussed how parents may need reminders about what it is that the
report card focuses on in Kindergarten. She stated that some parents are focused on the academic piece, but she uses the report card to show how they behave in class so behaviours impact the marks they get (P5, lines 7 \& 8). Jennifer also explained that the criteria for each letter grade is given to the parents in advance, so they can better understand what each letter means.

## Grades having an impact on relationships

In Table 5, teachers expressed that external factors, such as possible impacts on the teacher-student relationships and parental pressure, can be taken into consideration when grading. This is particularly interesting because it is clear that grades have an indirect effect on relationships. Leila expressed concern in her interview, stating that in her experience, grade five and six students can at times be "invested emotionally" in their grades, and it can "destroy them" when getting an imperfect score (P8, lines $80 \& 84$ ). She finds that this can be problematic as some students internalize their grades as being a reflection of who they are ( P 8, line 45 ). Therefore, students start identifying themselves as good or bad students strictly based on the grades they receive, without necessarily understanding what they mean. It is obvious why this may impact the grades that teachers assign to their students, if they believe grades to be harming the student-teacher relationship.

Parent communication was mentioned by nearly every participant. Every teacher at this school board "has to meet with parents in the first term" (P1, line 16). It is then that they explain their first term report card and answer questions that parents may have. In Pierre's experience, the first question parents often ask him at parent-teacher interviews is "how is my child doing?" ( P 1 , line 11). For him, this demonstrates that report card grades "are not capturing the goal of what they're meaning to do," which is to communicate to parents about how their child is performing (P1, line 12). Students and parents should not be surprised when the report card
comes. Pierre goes on to explain that if the student is finding out information for the first time on the report card, it means that as a teacher, "you aren't talking to them enough in class" (P1, line 24). If a child is struggling, it is up to the teacher to advise parents ahead of the report card so that things can be done to help ( P 8 , line 23 ; P9 line 25 ). When this is not done, it creates parentteacher conflicts, and it puts the students at a disadvantage for catching up.

Other participants elaborated on how conflicts surrounding grades with parents are stressful and to be avoided. Based on the teachers' perspectives, grade five parents were found to care the most about report card grades as this is the report used for high school admissions (P7, line $8 ; \mathrm{P} 10$, line $29 \& 30$ ). Anita experienced a situation where a parent did not want to know how their child was doing, if that meant they were doing lower than desired. This parent refused to sign a test or assignment that was graded lower than $85 \%$ ( P 4 , line 21 ). This negatively impacted the student as he would start hiding his tests, not get them signed, and then the parent had no idea what was going on in school. More importantly, the student was not receiving any extra help at home in regards to the difficulties ( P 4 , line 22). This potential conflict can have an impact on how teachers go about assigning grades as well. More so, this type of reaction from parents renders report card communication completely useless if all parties are not willing to work together in collaboration for the student's success.

It is clear from these anecdotes that grading practices result in miscommunication and can cause conflict amongst the parent-teacher and teacher-student relationships, which makes everyone's work difficult. Both parent and student are now at more of a disadvantage when it comes to understanding how the child is doing in the school setting. Brittany stated that parents get "insulted" if their child is working below class average (P2, line 18). Leila mentioned that in her experience, parents can also perceive grades as being a direct reflection of who their children
are as students. She has heard statements such as "my kid is an $80 \%$ student, they will always be in the 80 s or better" (P8, lines $47,52,53$ ). This causes issues when students receive grades lower than what parents expect, and parents are left wondering why when they are supposed to be "an 80 student" across school years and subjects (P8, line 53).

Furthermore, Brittany and Ken shared that they sometimes have to choose their comments wisely based on which parent is receiving it in order to avoid conflict (P2, lines 3-4; P7, line 26). In contrast, Pierre would argue that we should not shy away from failing grades, that we need to "get to the truth with parents" and if "your child dropped the ball, you need to pick it up and we need to work together" ( P 1 , lines $28 \& 62$ ). According to Pierre, parent communication should be honest, genuine and on-going throughout the year, not just at meetings (P1, lines 60, 61, $62 \& 63$ ). Some teachers believe they should be $100 \%$ transparent when it comes to explaining how students are doing, whereas some teachers feel they need to tip toe around certain parents as to not create conflict. Consequently, a problem with comprehension on the part of the parents arises due to the lack of consistency. Parents can never fully know which type of teacher their child will have one year to the next. Similarly, teachers can never fully know which type of parents they will have on the receiving end of their grades and feedback. Fear of challenging the "status-quo" of a school or an individual student

Administration and previous year report cards were selected from two participants in having an impact on report card grades. One of the participants chose 'other' and stated that the students' grades in other classes may impact the way they grade students in their class. The second participant who chose 'other' wrote that discussions with their teaching partners might impact their grading processes. This provides evidence that teachers want to grade consistently with other teachers who work with their students, and that they want to assign grades that reach a
certain standard of "acceptable". This could be due to the stress teachers feel when parents or administrators question their grades, or ask for evidence that supports the grades they give. If a child performs at an $80 \%$ or $60 \%$ across all subjects, parents and administrators may be less likely to ask questions compared to a student who is an $80 \%$ student across subjects except in your class, where they are performing as a $60 \%$ student. It is not uncommon for teachers to believe that term report card grades are a "good indication of how they will end off the year" (P3, line 7). Melissa explained that a child who receives a $65 \%$ will most likely be a $65 \%$ student "all throughout their school life" (P3, line 8). If this is a predominant perception of grades, then it is no wonder why teachers are afraid to increase or decrease report card grades, or in this case, "challenge the status-quo." Therefore, teachers may consider the previous year report cards as to also not give anyone reason to question what grade they assign in the current term for the same reason. The 'status-quo' may feel like the safer bet in terms of potential issues that could arise after a report card is sent home.

Pierre shared that he has witnessed some teachers chat amongst their partners, deciding what grades to give their students just by brief conversation (P1, line 4). For Pierre, this indicates that no class work goes towards choosing a grade if this is what teachers are doing (P1, line 5). Allal (2013) touches upon the notion that teachers engage in regular activities of social moderation. This includes meetings with other teachers, where they discuss and negotiate their grades and evaluation methods, particularly when students are borderline or stuck in between two grade ranges such as B+ and A-. These meetings include conferencing about student grades while providing anecdotes to help provide a clear picture of the student's learning, and even reviewing student work together (Allal, 2013). This does not refer to a simple conversation with a co-worker across the hall, as Pierre has observed.

There appears to be many external factors that come into play when teachers are assigning a report card grade for their students. It is unlikely that these factors have anything to do with student learning in individual classrooms. These types of responses from teachers are not uncommon and can be harmful. It appears though, that personal beliefs about learning and evaluation seems to cause the biggest discrepancy. This freedom to grade not only impacts the reliability and validity of the report cards, it also has the power to confuse parents and students as they do not always understand the teacher's grading system. This is problematic for many reasons, but primarily because parents and students may not always be getting a clear picture of how well students are actually doing in school from teacher to teacher, year to year. I believe if teachers communicated their grading systems more effectively and more often to parents and administrators, there would be less confusion and conflicts that arise in regards to fluctuating grades from term to term and year to year.

## Synthesis of Part 1

To summarize the part of the study that addressed how teachers formulate their report card grades, it is clear that teachers use a combination of a variety of factors. Furthermore, they are inconsistently weighing these factors based on their own personal beliefs about grading and student learning. Despite the majority of participants claiming that observation is the most effective way of evaluating student learning, most of them are accounting for many other factors unrelated or indirectly related to student achievement. Achievement, effort and progress seem to be the three most important factors when it comes to formulating report card grades, but not the only ones. Behaviour and homework completion are also factors taken into account when assigning overall grades to students. Teacher freedom to grade how they best see fit is not the problem in this case; the problem is this freedom is not often talked about in schools. Teachers in
the same building can be doing things completely differently, therefore leaving parents and students constantly confused about what a grade means.

## Part 2. What teachers believe about our current grading system (RQ\#2)

This next section will explore how teachers' beliefs about grading in general, and identify what teachers believe to be the most effective ways to provide feedback to both students and parents alike. As Brookhart (2011), Guskey and Bailey (2010) and Cox and Olsen (2009) state, the most important component of evaluation is identifying what a grade is intended to represent, and to which audience it is intended for. This section also unpacks what teachers think report card grades are actually communicating, and to whom.

## Feelings towards grading

Elementary teachers' feelings towards grading was a prominent topic that came up throughout the interviews. The teachers discussed how they felt about report cards and grading in general and if they had a method that they preferred using. Here teachers also discussed the challenges in grading different subjects, such as Math and English, and the time it takes to grade effectively.

Brittany shared that she "hates doing report cards," and that it is "the three times a year where parents judge what goes on in the classroom" (P2, lines $2 \& 3$ ). Melissa stated that she also does not "like the format we are using," being "grades and comments," as she feels there should be an added component for behavior (P3, line $2 \& 3$ ). Anita argued that grading should be provided as letter grades, as she finds it difficult to assign a number to a student ( P 4 , line 2 ). Anita goes on to explain that she feels that letter grades are "less stressful," "less competitive," and "more fair" to her students ( P 4 , lines $4 \& 5$ ). Pierre also added to this idea of letter grades being "softer" for the children, whereas 100 distinctions seen in the percentage scale has more of
a "coldness" to it (P1, line 57 \& 58). Ken, Chrystal and Brittany all agreed that letter grades would be easier to explain as opposed to percentages (P7, line 48 ; P 6 , line 45 ; P 2 , line 8 ).

On the other hand, Ruby found that letter grades and percentage grades are just as difficult to assign to a student. She would rather write anecdotal comments for her students, as it would be easiest for her to identify the child's progress (P9, lines $2,3 \& 5$ ). Jennifer expressed that she appreciates the letter grade report cards in Kindergarten, as it is comment based, so she can really explain what her letter grades mean. That being said, she stated that Kindergarten report cards are extremely subjective and vary by teacher, even between her teaching partner and her as they plan learning activities together (P5, line 53).

Leila and Brittany said that grading is frustrating and takes a lot of time, but that it varies based on subject ( P 2 , line 15 ; P8, line 2 ). Writing assignments take longer to correct than a math test, for example, yet constructing the math test takes long as well (P8, lines $3,5 \& 6)$. So either way, teachers have to manage their time accordingly. Leila stated that she thinks despite the frustration and time management, grades are important to help get an idea of where the students are in their learning ( P 8 , lines 2-8). If she were not forced to grade for the report card, she may not know what level all the students were at (P8, line 111). Parker shared similar thoughts about time management and his workload as a music teacher. He expressed not enjoying grading because he finds it takes away time from doing other things that he considers to be more important. Since he only sees the students for half an hour to an hour a week, he finds it hard to manage creating meaningful experiences in the class while also having to evaluate them before the end of the term (P10, lines 2-6). Parker explained that if he were to spend adequate time on evaluation, he would not be able to provide the students with extra curriculars as he does now (P10, lines 43-44). Since he has to grade the whole school as opposed to one class, his grading
workload is much bigger than the average teacher.
Chrystal explained how she feels that grades are "useless" when it comes to teaching Dance and Drama. They take time to complete, and grades do not tell her how smart a student is (P6, lines $6 \& 8$ ). She went on to explain that grades can be useful for different subjects, such as math, where "you need to know the facts" (P6, line 7). Chrystal much prefers a letter grade system, or a 1-5 system for the more subjective subjects such as Dance and Drama or English (P6, line 45). Ken shared a different view on grading, as he finds it makes his job worthwhile. Since the common belief is that Phys Ed teachers are just babysitting all day and playing games in the gym, he likes grading because it makes his job "worth it" (P7, line 11-13). This is an interesting perspective that none of the other participants mentioned. The idea that teachers think the teaching profession is perceived as "babysitting" is in itself quite demoralizing. The fact that they feel their only saving grace is grading, in order to feel like they are professionals, is even worse.

It is evident then that homeroom teachers and specialists alike find a differentiation between the grading of different subjects. As seen above, some subjects are experienced as easier to grade, while others are harder to plan tests for. Subjects such as Dance and Drama, Physical Education, Music and English seem to be harder to grade as they are more subjective in nature. Whereas Math was seen to be easier to assess and grade. Most teachers agree that grading takes up a lot of time, while few argue that grading is the only way to track student progress. Teachers are not in agreement over whether percentage grades, letter grades or anecdotal feedback would be easier to assign. Two key points are the time management factor and a dislike for assigning percentage grades by the majority. Regardless of how teachers feel about grading, it is a mandatory part of the job. Let us examine if teachers believe in the effectiveness of
communicating through percentage grades.
What teachers believe about communicating student learning to students

## Figure 4.

Best Way to Help Students Understand How They Are Doing


Note. Participant responses to question \#2: student understanding
Here is a chart that represents the second question from the questionnaire: "what do you believe is the best way to help students understand how well they are doing? " Two out of ten participants chose written or verbal feedback and percentage grades, which is what our current system expects of teachers. Eight of the participants chose written or verbal feedback as being the best or one of the best ways to communicate learning to students. If the purpose of report cards is to communicate to students about how well they are doing, this provides some evidence that most teachers do not think students are accurately receiving this information with our current grading system. If this is the case, what is the purpose the purpose of report cards and who is it benefiting?

While delving into this topic throughout the interviews, most teachers stated that report card grades were, in fact, not intended for students. Rather, report cards are seen as being strictly for parents as students rarely ask about their marks (P7, line 20). Chrystal added to this, saying
that she thinks her students "don't care about their Drama marks" (P6, line 15). She included that sometimes the older students will ask if they did well, but never ask what they got as a grade (P6, line 17). Ken also stated that the students never ask him why they got a particular grade in Physical Education, which leads him to believe that they do not care (P7, lines $21 \& 23$ ). Parker echoed similar views in that "grades do not represent anything to the younger grades" as they are not able to interpret the meaning behind the grade, so the reports really are just for parents (P10, lines $28,31 \& 32$ ). Jennifer and Brittany mentioned that they think the report cards are for the parents as well, and that children do not "internalize what those numbers mean" ( P 2 , line 26). Rather, they react to the verbal feedback teachers give them in class about their work and they just want to make their teacher happy (P5, line 30; P2, line 25-26). This statement falls in line with most of the participants who chose written/verbal feedback as being effective. Ruby's thoughts were similar as she says that students have no clue what the numbers mean, and she has seen them ask parents "did I do well?" as they try to understand the meaning of their grades (P9, lines $15 \& 16$ ). This provides more evidence that students are not understanding their percentage grades and these marks are not providing adequate feedback on their learning.

In contrast, Anita stated that her grade six students often compare their grades with their peers and can be hard on themselves over perceived bad grades, which leads them to focus more on the end result rather than the process (P4, lines 23-25). Leila agreed with Anita that her grade five and six students are increasingly aware of their grades. She has observed that when they get so caught up in the grades, they are not seeing the bigger picture, which is: are they actually understanding the material or not? (P8, lines $83 \& 84$ ). Parker also briefly talked about how music quizzes stress his older students and they get fixated on how much things are worth (P10, lines 78-79). For him as a teacher, this attitude "negates the purpose of learning for the sake of
learning" (P10, line 80).
I would argue that it is not that children "don't care" about their grades, like Ken stated. Rather, they do not understand what the grades represent and they are therefore meaningless for most students. It appears most students under Grade 5 do not understand their percentage grades, whereas fifth and sixth graders start developing an understanding that percentage grades mean something important, but they do not necessarily understand what that something is. This could be due to the fact that, as the participants have stated above, report card information is not provided to students in a way that they can derive meaning even though, from the time students are in Kindergarten, they receive these report cards three times a year, every year. Fast forward to grade five, when all of a sudden students start to figure out that report card grades are extremely value-laden, whether it be because parents start paying more attention due to high school admissions like Ken stated, or they just become more aware with maturity. What matters here is that students are still not receiving adequate feedback about their learning. The teachers clearly believe students will receive this best through verbal/written feedback, which is not being given in a report card. Furthermore, the grades they are receiving are often causing pressure and stress in regards to high school admissions, parental approval and peer competition. All of which are negative consequences that shift their attention away from the main purpose of school: learning.

## What teachers believe about communicating student learning to parents

Seeing as how grades are mostly intended for parents then, as agreed upon by the participants, this section explores further how teachers perceive parental understanding.

## Figure 5.

Best Way to Help Parents understand How Their Child is Doing


Note. Participant responses to question \#3: parental understanding
For the question regarding parents, "what do you believe is the best way to help parents understand how well their child is doing?", teachers' responses were similar to that of the "student understanding" perspective. This table shows that nine participants believe that feedback (written/verbal comments), in some capacity, is the most effective way of showing parents how their child is doing in school. Three teachers think that parents are more likely to understand feedback paired with percentage grades, as recommended in our current system. It is quite evident that feedback seems to hold higher value in communicating student achievement to parents as well. None of the teachers chose letter grades alone to be effective in communicating, yet five of them verbally stated that letter grades would in fact be easier to explain, less competitive, and "softer" to digest (P4, lines $4 \& 5$; P1, line $57 \& 58$; P7, line 48; P6, line 45; P2, line 8 ). This is interesting because it provides some evidence that even the teachers who prefer letter grades still find them to be insufficient without the verbal/written feedback to back it up.

Many teachers agreed that anecdotal feedback and a chat with parents would be more helpful for parents to understand where their child is at and how they are progressing as opposed to a grade ( P 1 , line $14 ; \mathrm{P} 3$, line $19 ; \mathrm{P} 8$, line 64 ). Others stated that the comments are necessary to
explain their marks, otherwise parents would be confused about what it meant (P3, lines 53 \& 54; P5, line 11). It is evident then that teachers do not think a percentage grade is sufficient information to inform parents of student learning. In line with this, Ken shared insight into the parent perspective on understanding report card grades. Ken stated that how as a father, despite being a teacher himself, he does not understand his children's report cards because "things are weighted so differently" (P7, line 56). He would be studying with his children for a test, only to find out later, if he asked the teacher, how much it was worth. He explained how the report card grade is made up of various academic tasks that are weighed differently and averaged, so it is difficult to really know what each grade means (P7, lines 57-58).

In conclusion, these teachers believe that verbal or written feedback is the most useful form of feedback that should be given to both students and parents in order to best communicate information about student learning. This is interesting because a) it is not the mandated format of feedback that teachers have to provide in Quebec, and b) the teachers also expressed that they often tip-toe around the comments they make in order to not upset parents. Teachers in Quebec are expected to provide one percentage grade at the end of each term, for each subject, with a prewritten comment selection to choose from. Personalized general comments are recommended, but not mandatory for each school. By the time teachers finish calculating and inputting all the percentage grades for each subject and each student, there is hardly any time for writing up genuine, individual feedback that now explains the grade. The participants all mention that with how long grading currently takes, there cannot possibly be time to communicate what teachers want to communicate, the way they want to communicate it. Therefore, what teachers think is the best way of communicating student learning to both students and parents alike is not being done due to the anticipation of negative parental reactions, the mandated percentage grades, and the
lack of time. It makes me wonder then, what is the purpose of report card grades, and whom is it serving? If report card grades are meant to inform parents about student learning, why is meaningful written feedback not mandatory? It appears that the parents and students are not being served well by report cards - even though we have been using this system for decades.

## What teachers think report cards actually represent

Despite not being able to communicate learning the way they think is best, teachers also have varying opinions on what report cards, as they are, actually represent. When asked in the interview "what does a report card grade represent to you?", the results were all unique. Pierre and Leila claimed that the goal of the report card grades should be to inform parents of their child's strengths and weaknesses so that parents can provide support at home ( P 1 , line 23; P8, line 44). Pierre explained that if a student is having difficulty with something, he will write a comment specific to the topic and makes sure that the grade is lowered so that the two are parallel in communicating this message for the parents ( P 1 , line 27). For these two teachers, report card grades across subjects represent the areas a student thrives in and where they struggle. More information would be needed in order to get a clearer picture of what exactly these comments look like in relation to a grade. If a child struggles with basic addition, for example, but masters all other units in math - let us say on shapes and place value within the same term - does the report card grade and comment magnify the struggle or the successes? Surely it cannot demonstrate both of these in one grade, even with a relevant comment to explain. Similarly, if a child performs poorly on tasks related to basic addition, but does so because he is disruptive and is constantly sent out of the classroom during instruction time, will the comment and averaged grade explain that? Allal (2013) found that in cases where student performance was not consistent across the term and teachers had to choose between two final
grades, more information was needed to judge the student's understanding properly. Some of this information was gathered through examining student struggles in comparison to their daily tasks, student discussions and levels of participation. Other information was collected through extra conversations with the students, their parents, and even other colleagues (Allal, 2013).

Pierre also stated that each child's final grade and the distance from the class average is significant as it is telling of where they fall in comparison to their peers $(\mathrm{P} 1$, lines $41,45,46)$. Anita shared similar beliefs and stated that the report card tells all stakeholders if the student is at grade level, what they are really good at and what they need more help with ( P 4 , line 16 ). Chrystal also claimed to believe that report card percentages compare students to their peers and inform parents if they are on the right or wrong track (P6, lines 13, $35 \& 36$ ). Brittany disagreed with the former opinion as she does not believe the class average is very telling of anything because she does not think we should be comparing students to each other. Rather, teachers and parents should be looking at where each child was before, and how they have progressed so far ( P 2 , lines 19, 21). She elaborated on how she cannot even compare her own two children, as they are completely different learners and students, never mind comparing them to an entire class (P2, line 20). So for Brittany, the report card is a benchmark for where they started off in relation to the progression of learning, and where they need to reach by the end of the year based on their grade level competencies (P2, lines $28 \& 29$ ).

Chrystal suggested that the percentage does not really matter in the end, because if two students got different passing grades, the difference could be due to various factors, e.g., how well they perform on tests, if they mishandled one assignment, or how they do not learn well in the way that their teacher is teaching. She explained that "many factors come into play that we can't see in a grade," and so grades do not communicate how "smart" students are (P6, lines 8,
$38 \& 40$ ). Jennifer explained that she thinks report cards mean different things for different grade levels. Her report cards should be perceived as a baseline of where they started off, and where they will end up (P5, lines $28 \& 32$ ). Due to the descriptive nature of her Kindergarten report card format, she emphasized on the report card comments reflecting student behaviors, kindness and respect ( P 5 , line 18). This means that her report cards are not only communicating student progress, but also how kind, well behaved, and respectful her students are throughout the term. I think it is important to note here that this discrepancy from younger grades to older grades needs to be communicated to parents clearly.

Ken stated that he sees the report card grades as representative of effort. As mentioned prior, Ken does not give high grades to the experienced athletes in his class just because they are athletic. He wants the report card grade to communicate how much the students are trying and participating, even when the sports/movements are hard for them (P7, lines 27-31). Likewise for Parker, who emphasized on student engagement and how they participate in class with the tasks and materials. For him, the grades reflect their level of effort, perseverance and the ability to keep up with the lessons ( P 10 , lines $51,54,55$ ). As mentioned above, Leila expressed how report cards inform her of how easy or hard certain subjects are for each student. Contrary to Jennifer and Brittany, it does not tell Leila how much they have progressed from one assignment to another. Whether a student is really good in a subject or improved in that particular subject, the grade will not be telling of this (P8, lines 55, 56, 57).

Guskey and Bailey (2010) and Cox and Olsen (2009) emphasize how important it is for the whole school faculty to be on the same page about what report card grades are representing. As found by Brookhart (1991), when grades are representing varying factors that are unknown to the recipients, it results in "hodgepodge grading" which has a detrimental impact on the validity
and reliability of grades. There is evidence from this study that teachers are participating in this type of practice as report card grades represent something different to each participant. When asked what report card grades signified to them, the teachers spoke about a wide variety of representations: progress, prosocial behaviors, effort, participation, student engagement, perseverance, a distance from the class average, student strengths and weaknesses, how easy or difficult subjects are, and individual benchmarks for the year. The shock of this conclusion is threefold. First, some of these teachers work in the same school, and all work within the same school board, yet they still have extremely different views on this topic. Second, and as a consequence of the first point, parents and students are only going to know what their teacher is trying to represent with their grade under the condition that teachers are being direct and purposeful in their communication to families. Third, we know that most teachers are not being direct and purposeful in their communication because a) they are not communicating in a way that they think students and parents understand best (written/verbal feedback), b) they are tiptoeing around the messages they really want to convey as to not upset parents, administrators, and students, and c) they do not have the necessary time to be purposeful in their feedback.

If this does not yet convince you of how erroneous report card grades are, let us go a bit further. Not one teacher stated that report card grades were representative of how much the students have actually learned, in other words, "student achievement," one of the main factors report cards are supposedly intended to communicate, as stated by Guskey (2015), O’Connor (2009) and Brookhart (1991). Not only does the research elaborate on this particular point but it was also agreed upon by all of these same participants, who stated that they consider "student achievement" as being very important when developing their report card grades. This sets up a quandary: teachers are focusing on student achievement when assigning report card grades, yet
they do not think report card grades are representative of student achievement.

## What issues/benefits can be found in the current system

This brings us to our next topic, which is teachers' thoughts on the issues and benefits of our current percentage grading system in Quebec. The ability to understand report card comments and percentages was seen as an issue in our current system. It was mentioned above that parents and students do not understand report card grades as they are, due to the varying factors that teachers consider when formulating their grades, and due to inconsistency in the intended purpose of the grades, as perceived by the teachers. Additionally, a few of the teachers have identified the percentage scale as being problematic for other reasons.

One major issue with percentage scales is that parents, teachers and students alike do not understand the difference between varying percentages. There is no clear description for each percentage in the $100 \%$ scale. Brittany stated that "parents should know what a 60 means, an 80 , a 90 " but then later asked, "what's the difference between an 80 and 83 ?" (P2, line 9 \& 17). She came back to this idea later on in the interview and stated that there is a "minimal difference between 82 and $85^{\prime \prime}$ and it is hard to make a case for the 3 percent difference (P2, line 61). Like Brittany, Chrystal also asked the question "what's the difference between 84 and 89 ?" She claimed that "nobody knows" (P6, lines 5, 45, 47). Guskey (2013) explains that the $100 \%$ scale creates an illusion of precision, yet in reality, it could not be further from precise. As seen in this study, teachers do not know what each individual percent represents as there is no standard criteria for each percent in this scale. It is clear that if teachers do not know the difference for each percentage in the $100 \%$ scale, parents certainly cannot know either. This provides some evidence that a range of percentages may be easier to explain, as each individual percentage lacks specific criteria. Some teachers did identify a range of A-D or 1-5 as being helpful for them
when it comes to assigning grades for this purpose.
Ruby also expressed her own confusion with report card grades when she asked, the student gets " $75 \%$ of what?" She raised another important question about how a grade can be reflective of "the curriculum, the goals and the competencies" all in one (P9, lines $50 \& 51$ ). In other words, how can one percentage grade encompass all of the curriculum being taught, the individual and grade level goals for each child in each subject, and all of the targeted competencies? It appears that the notion of averaging several grades into one report card grade seems inaccurate and inexplicable to Ruby. Parker stated that because grading is not standardized at all, a lot is up to interpretation for the teacher. Despite knowing this, teachers still need to come up with a grade somehow (P10, line 87, 90, 91). Jennifer reiterated several times that grading is extremely subjective, and a huge disadvantage to our reporting system is that we do not have standard grading grids for everyone to use. Due to this, one teacher's $75 \%$ grade will not be the same worth as someone else's (P5, lines 65-67). Anita also echoed this when she said that "an 80 at our school can be a very high grade at another school," concluding that grades across teachers and schools can be completely different despite the student doing the same quality of work ( P 4 , line 43 ). The point that grades do not necessarily communicate what teachers want to communicate is clear based on the teachers' responses. Leila explained that report cards do not always show progress and hard work because although the student might be making progress, the work is also getting harder throughout the year. This, she added, is why the comments are so important, as she wants students to realize what they understand, what they have progressed in, and what they still struggle with ( P 8 , lines 94-98).

Melissa explained that the way the grade levels are divided by cycles in Quebec is a disadvantage to students because the ones who struggle usually only receive the help they need
at the end of their cycle (P3, lines 50-52). Melissa elaborated on how this is a waste of time for the student who should be receiving help right away. Although this is not directly related to percentage grade disadvantages, it may be an important factor when some teachers formulate their grades. Ruby stated some concerns for the younger children who are not developmentally ready for their grade level. She thinks it is ridiculous that teachers still have to grade them knowing that all kids are at different levels (P9, lines $44 \& 45$ ). Ruby explained that the goal of "life-long learning" at her school board is heading in the right direction, where students are encouraged to engage in meaningful learning experiences that can later be transferred to real situations in the world. That being said, this type of philosophy, as good as it appears on paper, does not translate itself well to percentage grading. Ruby expressed wonder at how teachers can effectively assess students' ability to apply meaningful learning and skills in all areas of their lives through the use of inconsequential grading methods (P9, lines $73 \& 74$ ). Morrison (2003) supports Ruby's statement, and adds that students are often discouraged from becoming these lifelong learners that we hope for. By grading students, we are forcing their focus on performance and competition amongst peers. Lifelong learning should instead focus learning for the sake of learning (Morrison, 2003). Thus encouraging life-long learner mindsets and assigning grades cannot function in parallel to one another.

## Benefits of report cards

The participants did not only mention disadvantages to the report card system, they also elaborated on some key benefits. Parker believes that the benefits of the percentage scale is that if you are a high achiever, and you do really well, percentages let you know how much of the requirements and expectations you have met (P10, line 82). Similarly, Ruby stated that the percentage grades set the high achievers apart from the rest ( P 9 , line 43 ). On the other hand, low
achievers are also set apart from the rest - which can be extremely damaging to a child's selfesteem and self-confidence in school, which we know are key predictors of later success. According to Klapp (2015), there were significant differences between low-achieving students who were graded, and low-achieving students who were not graded, in relation to their later academic success. The students who were graded continued to perform at a lesser extent compared to the ungraded low-achievers (Klapp, 2015). Therefore the idea that grades are good for the high-achieving students puts many other students at a disadvantage and we must not assume that grades are benefiting all students equally.

Pierre, Anita and Chrystal claimed that comparing student grades to the class average is a benefit as it is indicative of whether students are on the right track in their grade level. I would argue that this is putting a lot of responsibility on the students to be the ones to set the bar to success in their grade level. With this line of reasoning, each grade level's grade expectations would change every year depending on the group of students - instead of the curriculum, tasks and goals for each child. For example, one year, the third grade students could perform significantly low as a group due to a large variety of student factors. The class average could be $65 \%$ which would make $65 \%$ the standard or "acceptable" grade to which all parents hold the students against. The following year, the third grade students could perform significantly higher, let us say $90 \%$, thus setting the standard much higher for grade level success. Does that mean that the child who receives a $65 \%$ in the first year, knows more than the child who receives $65 \%$ the second year? Does it mean that the child who receives $65 \%$ with a class average of $65 \%$ is at grade level, whereas the child who receives $65 \%$ with a class average of $90 \%$ is not at grade level? This puts to question what being at "grade level" even means in a world of percentage grades. If $100 \%$ mastery is the target level of success, why do we care about class averages and
peer comparisons? I believe the more important factor to consider to know where students are "supposed to be" in their grade level would be the "Progression of Learning" provided by the Quebec Education Plan, and it has nothing to do with percentages or averages. We should be focusing on how far each student is from complete mastery ( $100 \%$ ), instead of focusing on how far they are from the majority of students (average). Similarly, the class average does not tell anyone how much of the curriculum was understood and which parts need more work and practice. Again, comparing a student to the average is not very significant if the purpose is to assess student knowledge.

In addition, studies have shown that creating this type of competitive classroom culture, where parents and students compare themselves to peers, has its disadvantages. Morrison (2003) emphasizes how focusing on this type of "performance orientation," that amplifies how well a student learns a topic in comparison to their peers, deters students from attaining the goal of learning while forcing them to focus on earning a good grade instead. In line with this notion of "performance orientation," Leila added in that report card grades can be compared to money as payment for work in the real world. It can give students something to work towards and force them to organize themselves to reach the goal they want, which she sees as positive ( P 8 , lines 105, 106, 108). However, this is precisely what Morrison (2003) argues against. When students invest their attention towards 'earning a grade', as compared to money, they lose focus of the learning orientation. The learning orientation directs students to make sense of their learning and the content being taught in order to feed their natural curiosity and excitement towards understanding the world. This orientation enables them to think critically and problem-solve, all the while not fearing failure. The minute we include grades as a reward for performance, we shift their attention away from this spontaneous, genuine curiosity and discourage their level of risk
taking as a consequence (Morrison, 2003).
Unlike the majority of participants, Leila argued that one of the benefits of report cards are that the grades are easy to back up, through calculating the average of all the different assignments (P8, lines 91, 93). Jennifer stated that a benefit of the reporting system is how it helps build a connection between home and school life. Despite grades not meaning anything to kindergarten students, it opens the door for parent and teacher interactions (P5, lines $30 \& 50$ ). These outlier comments demonstrate further the subjectivity of the grading experience for teachers.

There are several disadvantages and benefits to our current report card grading system as identified by the participants. Given the potential harm of grades, some of which are linked directly with benefits (e.g., differentiating students), an important question is: "are report card grades worth the damage they instill?" Based on teacher perceptions of the goals of education, we see more clearly how grades have little to do with educational goals.

## Goals of education today

Parker stated that he is not really sure what the goals are in education as so much is left up to each person's own interpretation. That being said, he elaborates on his personal goal of education, and that is to develop lifelong learning around music. He speaks to the importance of empowering students to be resourceful when trying to figure out or create music. One of his other goals is to teach the students how to play music without his help, so that in the future, they can be independent in their musical endeavours (P10, lines 92, 97-101). Pierre also said that he believes the goal of education should be to inspire life-long learning, stating that inspiring students is a major concern in his classroom throughout the year, although he acknowledged that this does not happen in every classroom (P1, lines 75-77). Ruby's thoughts echoed Parker's and

Pierre's when she stated that the ultimate goal of education, which she relates to the Ministry of Education curriculum, is to have students learn the skills for life-long learning. She explained that this means learning skills that can be used across many situations and content areas (P9, line $73 \& 74$ ). She, similar to Pierre, recognized that this does not occur with every single teacher as it is a more progressive view on education. Ken stated that the goal of Physical Education is to find ways to reach all students and motivate them to be active participants in their physical health and education (P7, lines 49-51).

Jennifer argued that teachers do not spend enough time teaching their students life skills (P5, lines $55 \& 61$ ); instead of focusing on these important skills, teachers are too busy focusing on the competencies and making sure all the material is covered, and all the while students are becoming "puppets" (P5, lines $61 \& 62$ ). Brittany added that the schools do not teach students the necessary life skills or foster efficient work habits for future high school life (P2, line 59). Jennifer claimed that she does include teaching her students the basic skills needed to learn such as listening, following rules, sitting still and being kind (P5, line 60). She hopes to help them become "good people" and to use their skills across different classrooms or settings (P5, lines 56 \& 57).

Anita explained that the goal of education is in fact to prepare students for the workforce and the "real world" (P4, lines 45-46). Similar to this, Chrystal stated that she believes the goal is to help develop well-rounded students who grow enough confidence and can pursue and succeed in whatever career their choose (P6, line 41). Along these lines, Leila believes that the goal is to develop caring individuals who have the ability to think for themselves so that when career doors open, they can reach their potential ( P 8 , lines 101-104).

Melissa claimed that the goals of education are to just move students through seven years of their school life. She stated that some students get the help they need, while others are just going from "one door to the next" (P3, lines $55 \& 56$ ). Similarly, Brittany shared that the goal is for students to finish their seven years of elementary and be ready and successful for high school, yet she does not believe the system does a good job at this. Since Brittany's school does not believe in homework, she expressed that students are underprepared for what high school is like: managing one's time, completing assignments, and being independent learners outside of the classroom (P2, lines $57 \& 58$ ).

Teachers have so many different views on what the goal of education is today. I think grading is a problem that can only be looked at more closely once the education system is redefined, repurposed and realigned. How can we grade students based on inconsistent and unclear notions of what it means to be an educated person in our society? This goes beyond teachers' personal teaching philosophies; rather, it is about society. What does this society believe the purpose of our education system is? Is it to help young people become productive adult citizens, as suggested by Anita and Chrystal? Is it to create lifelong learners who can think critically and problem solve in novel situations, as suggested by Parker, Pierre, Ruby and Ken? Or is it to help move children along to high school as suggested by Melissa and Brittany? Until we decide and agree, together, what the purpose of educating children is, we cannot move away from the interconnected conundrums that make up the broken system.

If these variations of the goal of education are meaningful or reflective of the greater teacher perceptions, percentage grades hinder each and every one. The focus on percentage grades pushes students away from the life-long learning mindset that so many teachers claimed to be the goal of education (Morrison, 2003). Life-long learning promotes the desire to learn for
the joyful sake of learning, and aims to fulfill a child's genuine curiosity. Percentage grades suppress this genuine desire and curiosity by having students instead focus on their performance, avoid risk-taking behaviors and compare themselves to their peers. In terms of "preparing students for real life," I think it goes without saying that in real life, we rarely receive 'grades' for our work. Instead, employers normally have conversations with their employees where they discuss strengths and weaknesses and they provide constructive criticism (hopefully). I would also argue that learning in elementary school should not be compared to working in the workforce as the two are completely different skills. Lastly, if the goal of education is strictly to help students prepare for high school, percentage grades are a hindrance here too. As stated by Klapp (2015), low grades in earlier years was a predictor of later academic struggles, compared to students who were not labelled with low grades. This by itself shows some evidence that grades can be more damaging than helpful in preparation for high school, and can in fact set them up for failure.

## Synthesis of Part 2

In conclusion to the second research question (what teachers believe about our grading system), it goes without saying that teachers have many negative feelings towards the grading process. The main opinions against report cards are that they promote potential conflicts with parents, they take a great deal of extra time in order to provide accurate feedback, and teachers have difficulty differentiating between the various percentage grades. The majority of the participants believe verbal or written feedback to be the most efficient form of feedback for parents and students to understand what occurs in the classroom. This is even more important for grade five and six students, as there seems to be a clear shift in the valuation of grades at this stage. It is evident that teachers are not communicating in clear or meaningful ways with the sole
use of report card percentages. It is no wonder why students and parents are not understanding what the percentage grades represent. We have no clear descriptions for each percentage grade, and grades represent something different to each teacher. Not only do they represent something different to everyone, none of the participants claimed that grades represent student achievement. According to Guskey (2015) and Brookhart (1991), student achievement should be the main factor that teachers are trying to communicate through their grades. However, this has been shown to be much more challenging than assumed.

## Implications and Conclusions

What can we learn from teachers' experiences of grading practices in elementary school? The purpose of this study was twofold: first, to find out how elementary teachers formulate their report cards and, second, to explore what Quebec teachers believe about our current grading system. It is clear that grading is a complex part of both teaching and learning that teachers, students and parents need to navigate. This study explored how the lack of direction, communication and standardization within grading policies and methodologies forces teachers to develop their own grading systems which vary greatly.

According to measurement theoriests such as Brookhart (1991), the purpose of grading is to communicate to parents and students about student learning. As per the findings of this study, it is clear that percentage grades are in fact not communicating to all parties effectively. More so, it is evident that teachers are including more than just student achievement in their grades; rather, they are including many factors such as effort, progress and behaviors. This makes it nearly impossible for parents to dissect and understand what each grade represents. As important as parent-teacher communication is, there is a risk of conflicts arising due to the lack of understanding on the part of the parents. As Pierre explained, no matter what grade teachers
choose and how much time they put into finding the perfect number, there will always be a range of parental understanding and disappointment ( P 1 , lines 78-80). I think with more regular communication, more meaningful feedback about student learning, and through moving away from labelling students with percentages, these conflicts could be lessened. There needs to be a new system in place that allows for teachers to communicate grades the way they feel is most effective, that is, through verbal and written feedback. This would also benefit the students who internalize their grades, and focus solely on the end results instead of the process of learning. Grades were found to distract them from the "learning orientation" and force them to focus on the "performance orientation" which has many negative consequences (Morrison, 2003).

My personal and professional take-away from this study is that the issue of grading is inextricably linked to a deeper systemic issue of understanding the goals of education. In addition, I believe that with clear goals for each child, anecdotal records, regular conferences and purposeful feedback, all parties have the possibility to understand the mind of the learner in more depth. We should only compare students to their previous selves - and no other standard should be considered. We should focus our attention on how much of the curriculum is being mastered by our students, not necessarily how well they are learning it compared to their peers. Before this can even be a possibility for many teachers, we need to restructure our school policies so that teachers can be given the time and resources needed to engage in these meaningful interactions. Teachers need to be responsible for fewer students, as in, smaller class sizes. Teachers need extra support for students who are struggling to meet the expectations of their curriculum. A teacher's freedom to evaluate based on what they believe is important is not the problem; rather, it is percentage grades as the main form of communication and misinterpretation from parents and
students that is problematic. I want to reiterate that the teachers I have interviewed and worked with in the past are not at fault for the issues that I identify in this paper.

This qualitative study enables others to see deeper into the teacher perspective in regards to report card grading. The results suggest strongly that many factors come into play when developing report card grades. Indeed, too many factors - that address both achievement and non-achievement elements - bring to question the validity and reliability of report card grades in elementary school. It becomes increasingly difficult for parents and students to make meaning from report cards, which renders these documents ineffective at communicating student achievement.

## Limitations of Study and Implications for Further Research

Due to the qualitative nature of this study and the small sample size, generalizations cannot be made about teachers' perspectives on report card grading to the entire teacher population. That being said, this small sample size was also an advantage as it allowed for deeper conversations and consequently, richer data that may not have been gathered on a larger scale. Furthermore, as all participants worked for the same school board, it was beyond the scope of this study to compare perspectives and methodologies across different school boards. All participants used the same report card format, which is mandatory across Quebec, therefore this study sheds light on the education system within Quebec and should be read through this lens. This sample included a large variety of teachers with respect to grade level, subjects taught and years of experience. This could be considered a limitation as perhaps comparing and contrasting teachers who teach the same subjects and grade levels would offer different information.

Additionally, only the teacher perspective was analyzed, and not that of parents or students, which may offer different information. Another limitation is that of the questionnaire aspect in
the methodology of the study. It was meant to prepare the participants with the topics and vocabulary for the upcoming interview and was used as a form of triangulation. This could have created a bias and impacted the way they responded to the interview questions. A final limitation is that I, the researcher, came to this topic through a very personal lens as an elementary teacher seeking to find answers. Although this can be seen as an advantage to some, it could have led to biases in the data analysis and interview questions. Despite these limitations, the results of this study are still valid in answering the two research questions based on teachers' personal experiences with grading.

Future research could focus on varying formats of report cards across school boards and look into how other educational systems evaluate students. Studies could also be done throughout different provinces in Canada to explore how teachers feel about grading across the country. Further research is needed to establish how report card grades are formulated for students with special needs and specific adaptations, and to explore how this impacts teacher, parent and student experiences. Future research could also aim at exploring how subject specific teachers feel about grading their subject to a particular age group; for example, grade six teachers who teach and evaluate math, strictly. It would be interesting to have employees of the school boards and Ministry of Education as part of a future sample to discover their perspectives on grading. On a completely different note, future studies should take into account the teachers who do not use grades in their classrooms at all, and to examine what alternatives are used instead. Lastly, with Covid-19 forcing schools to shut down for four months in March 2020, and resuming with online learning in many areas around the world, it would be worthwhile to explore how teachers plan on evaluating students if this would become the new norm. Future research is
needed to establish how parents and students feel about report cards and what they think it tells them about student learning.
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## Appendix A

## Questionnaire

## Participant information

Name:
Age:
Gender:
Current grade level:
Level of education:
Years of experience as a teacher:
Grades taught:

## Questionnaire

1) In your opinion, what is the most accurate way to collect evidence of student learning?
a) tests
b) assignments
c) projects
d) homework
e) observation
f) other:
2) What do you believe is the best way to help students understand how well they are doing?
a) percentage grade
b) feedback (written/verbal)
c) percentage grade and feedback (written/verbal)
d) letter grade
e) other: $\qquad$
3) What do you believe is the best way to help parents understand how well their child is doing?
a) percentage grade
b) feedback (written/verbal)
c) percentage grade and feedback (written/verbal)
d) letter grade
e) other:
4) Which factors do you take into account when grading a student? Circle all that apply.
a) Achievement (assessment grades)
b) Behavior
c) Homework completion
d) Effort
e) Progress
f) Other:
5) Place these student factors in order from 1 (most important) to 5 (least important) in your perspective as their teacher.
__ Progress
Achievement
Effort
Behavior
_ Homework
6) Which other external factors impact the way you develop report card grades? Circle all that apply.
a) previous year report card grades
b) administration
c) parents
d) personal beliefs about learning and evaluation
e) teacher-student relationships
f) other:

## Appendix B

## Sample Coding of Individual Interviews

## Table P1: Pierre's Interview

| 1 | Pierre's Transcript (P1) | Themes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Everybody does it differently. There is absolutely no standard. | Lack of standard |
| 3 | But I am telling you, there is no standard. | Lack of standard |
| 4 | that sounds good, let's give them that grade | Value of grade |
| 5 | nothing done in class is meaningful towards grade | Value of grade |
| 6 | Grades have no relevance to work done for many teachers | Value of grade |
| 7 | teachers use grades to communicate a message they can back up for how they feel | Grades to communicate how they feel |
| 8 | be able to professionally rationalize and support why you gave that evaluation. | Support evaluation |
| 9 | doesn't mean you need set amount of evaluations | Evaluation methods |
| 10 | We should be using anecdotal feedback | Anecdotal feedback |
| 11 | parents first question is always 'how is my child doing?' | Parental feedback |
| 12 | Grades not capturing the goal of communicating to parents | Grades not communicating to parents |
| 13 | The parents are not getting the message if they ask 'how is my child doing' | Grades not communicating to parents |
| 14 | Anecdotal commentary and a talk with parents is far more useful | Anecdotal feedback |
| 15 | Talk to parents face to face instead of wasting time writing comments | Parent communication |
| 16 | Every school at this board has to meet with parents first term | Parent communication |
| 17 | student's place with respect to the average, that's meaningful | Class average |
| 18 | Look at the comments | R.C comments |
| 19 | Our comments are not written in a human, easy to read manner. Parents don't understand what we write | R.C comments |
| 20 | comments are written in professional lingo, talk in nuance. The parents don't get it. | R.C comments |
| 21 | We need to communicate with parents. We need to let them know. | Parent communication |
| 22 | as a dad, I wouldn't want to wait and know at the end of the year that my kid sucks at what he is doing. No one likes surprises | Ongoing communication |
| 23 | goal of grades should be communication to parents about how they can help at home. That's it. | Goal of grades |
| 24 | If it's a communication with the student, you aren't talking to your students enough in class | R.C not for students |
| 25 | A student and you should know where they are great, where they are awesome and where they need to work on. | Student feedback |
| 26 | R.C shouldn't offer anything knew to students, it is purely for the parents | R.C for parents |
| 27 | If student needs to work harder, I will put that grade lower and write comment that matches that need, for the parents | R.C for parents |
| 28 | I have no problem with low grades. If your child dropped the ball, you need to pick it up and we need to work together | Collaborate with parents and student |
| 29 | Some admin shy away from failing. Some say there is no reason to ever fail. | Personal grading system |
| 30 | Some h.s programs only available for kids failing elementary | Educ system |
| 31 | place all my students in 'learner profiles' | Personal grading system |
| 32 | so based on 70, 80, $90 \%$ learner profiles, I look at each student and see how high/low they are in that range | Personal grading system |
| 33 | Can't give someone $100 \%$ first term and communicate improvement as it is the highest grade | Personal grading system |
| 34 | Even the high students, I don't give them their highest possible achieved score | Personal grading system |
| 35 | start with low 90s, second term go to mid 90s, and third term if there is still improvement, high 90s | Personal grading system |
| 36 | I rarely go below $40 \%$. As long as I note a fail, that's all I need to do | Personal grading system |
| 37 | talk about what it means to be excellent, good, have rubrics. We talk it out. | Understanding grading system |
| 38 | Or I show them samples of work | Understanding grading system |
| 39 | Grading stresses teachers out, because dealing with parents is stressful | Parent-teacher stress |
| 40 | Grades represent reward/punishment from parents. Emotional disappointment from teachers | Impacts of grades |
| 41 | R.C grades represents distance from the average | Class average |
| 42 | I've had colleagues who gives students 90 s because she loves them | Value of grade |
| 43 | colleagues who don't go higher because of last vears report cards | Grades as stable |


| 44 | giving more importance to last years teacher |
| :---: | :---: |
| 45 | Distance from average is always relevant, regardless of how you grade |
| 46 | distance from average is the telling part |
| 47 | I'm communicating learning profiles and distance from average |
| 48 | They don't, globablly. It's not for how I'm doing report cards. |
| 49 | R.C grades generally communicate more about the teacher than the child (generally) |
| 50 | student who enjoys learning, is cognizant, moves themselves forward |
| 51 | poor student is opposite of that |
| 52 | we were using letter grades. So I got it in my head that an A is in the 90s, B are 80s, and so on. |
| 53 | I had letter grades as a child |
| 54 | My grandmother was a teacher, she would blast parents. The language was harsh. |
| 55 | I had a teacher write to me "peter should go to clown school" |
| 56 | letter grades more meaningful than numbers |
| 57 | softness to 5 letter grades |
| 58 | coldness to have 100 distinctions (percentages) |
| 59 | letter grades are more digestible |
| 60 | updating parents all throughout the year. Sending them pictures, explaining what we do in class |
| 61 | "you're child is nuts", I always do it with a smile. I can get away with saying things that other teachers can't |
| 62 | we have to get to the truth with parents. |
| 63 | human interactions with parents - away from professionalism so much |
| 64 | we have to humanize the experience more. Stay away from 'teacheries', it doesn't help the parents. |
| 65 | there is an openness, freedom for each school and teacher to decide how they want to grade |
| 66 | we have to allow the freedom to convey the message we want to convey |
| 67 | issue is that we grade differently, and we confuse parents because there is no standard |
| 68 | as much as we give that sheet to parents, nobody looks at it when we do report cards. |
| 69 | The only official representation we give parents, but it's meaningless to teachers |
| 70 | we're not aligned, and we are not communicating an alignment |
| 71 | having freedom to mark as we want is not the problem. Parents need to understand our language |
| 72 | I can speak Japenese to you, if you speak Japanese. Next year the teacher can talk Chinese, as long as parents learn Chinese that year. |
| 73 | how these symbols are used, needs to be communicated to parents |
| 74 | Send out article about what grades mean for me |
| 75 | To inspire the love of life long learning |
| 76 | education SHOULD be to inspire the love of life long learning.. But not everyone does this |
| 77 | A big part of what I do is inspiration. |
| 78 | Don't put much stock into the numbers first term |
| 79 | know that the numbers are meaningless. Breathe. It can be a very stressful time. |
| 80 | no matter what grade you give, someone will be upset, understanding, confused |
| 81 | be able to tell parents how their child is doing, if your grade matches how you would answer that question, then that's the grade you put. |
| 82 | explain your grade with confidence |
| 83 | tell them you hate this system, and that you think this convo is more meaningful |
| 84 | this number kind of reflected the message I wanted to share with you |
| 85 | this is what I meant by putting this number. |
|  | talk about vour number with confidence |

Teacher worth
Class average
Class average
Class average
R.C don't reflect

Grades communicate about teacher
Student behaviors
Student behaviors
Personal grading system
Old system
Old system
Memory of comments
Preference to letter grades
Letter grades are softer
Percentage is cold
Preference to letter grades
Ongoing communication
Open, genuine communication
Honest communication
Genuine communication
Genuine communication
Freedom of evaluation
Freedom to communicate learning
Grades not standard/confuse parents
Grades not standard
Miscommunication with parents
Grades not standard
Explicit explanation of grading system
Explicit explanation of grading system
Explicit explanation of grading system
Explicit explanation of grading system
Life long learning
Life long learning
Inspiration
Investment in grading
Meaning of grades
R.C for parents

Personal grading system
Advice
Honest communication
Link grade to student performance
Explicit explanation of grading system advice

Table P2: Brittany's Interview

| 1 | Brittany's Transcript (P2) | Themes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | I hate doing report cards. 3 times a year parents judge what goes on in the classroom | R.C for parents |
| 3 | Teachers think they have to write comments to justify their marks | Justify grades |
| 4 | Tip toe around what we say based on which parent it is for | Avoid conflict with parents |
| 5 | Say things more gently to judgemental, confrontational parents | Avoid conflict with parents |
| 6 | Conversations with parents that happen before the report card | Parent communication |
| 7 | No surprises on report card, but parents put value on that number | Grade value |
| 8 | Letters are better | Preference for letter grades |
| 9 | What's the difference between an 80 and 83? | Differentiate between percentages |
| 10 | Teachers don't even know what the difference is | Differentiate between percentages |
| 11 | Limited expectations, meets or surpasses. Then you know where they stand. | Letter grade criteria |
| 12 | I use how the work in class, how they participate | Student behavior |
| 13 | Based on what they know and what I see them producing in class, whether it be tests or work | Student knowledge and work production |
| 14 | I base grades on meets expectations, surpasses, how well they know content | Grading system |
| 15 | Math is easier to grade than the languages | Preference for grading subjects |
| 16 | R.C done because ministry tells us to. Not sure if parents understand what is on them | R.C for the ministry |
| 17 | Parents should know what a 60 means, an 80 , a 90 . They compare the averages | Grade value/ Class averages |
| 18 | Parents 'insulted' if child works below average | Parent conflict |
| 19 | which means nothing, you can't compare kids | Comparing students |
| 20 | can't compare my two kids, different students with different work habits | Comparing students |
| 21 | Benchmark should be where their child was before, not the class average | Student progress |
| 22 | Parents don't communicate what's in that R.C to students | R.C not for students |
| 23 | kids don't know how they should move forward for next term | Students don't receive feedback |
| 24 | students not curious about marks, don't know the difference between 80 and 90 | Lack of understanding grades |
| 25 | If I take a minute to explain what their mark means and how much they understand, they get it | Student verbal feedback |
| 26 | They don't internalize what those numbers mean, they just want to make me happy right? | Lack of understanding grades |
| 27 | They don't know what the number means. I don't think parents communicate to them. | Lack of understanding grades |
| 28 | R.C tells me where they are in progression of learning based on gr level | Progression of learning (QEP) |
| 29 | Benchmark of where they are, where they need to be by the end | Progression of learning (QEP) |
| 30 | the main difference between good student/poor student: Effort. | Student characteristics |
| 31 | Student who tries her best, wants to learn, participates, | Student characteristics |
| 32 | These behaviors not reflected in her gade. But she is a good student | Inaccuracy of grades |
| 33 | doesn't make him a better student because he is smarter "academic wise" | Achievement doesn't make a good student |
| 34 | willingness to learn, put in the work and time compared to the kid who comes in and does nothing | Student behavior |
| 35 | R.C does not reflect effort | R.C does not reflect effort |
| 36 | R.C different back then - letter grades and small space for minimal comments | Old system |
| 37 | Comments important because parents wanted us to respect teachers and try out best | Old system |
| 38 | Parents knew what an $A / B / C$ meant | Old system/Understanding grades |
| 39 | R.C have to be made more clear now than before | Comparison between R.C |
| 40 | We do more communicating with parents now, but they still don't understand our grades | Parent communication |
| 41 | minimal communication back then, and parents knew what grades meant | Old system/Understanding grades |
| 42 | Value and importance of education has changed | View on educ. Change/ Old system |
| 43 | Not sure if parents have the same respect for teachers and education today | Parental view on educ changes |
| 44 | The way each teacher reports across province isn't standard | Lack of standardization |
| 45 | could get X\% at one school, but lower at another school. | Inconsistent meaning of grades |
| 46 | marks shouldn't change so much from one building to the next | Incosistent meaning of grades |
| 47 | The way teachers grade and report grades is not the same | Inconsistent meaning of grades |
| 48 | Grading should be completely objective and not subjective | Objective grading |
| 49 | What benefit are we doing the child if he gets different grades in two classes teaching the same thing? | Inconsistent meaning of grades |
| 50 | All using the QEP, should be objective across the province | Inconsistent meaning of grades |
| 51 | Effort is not your skill, it's your will. Can't mark your will | Effort cannot be graded |
| 52 | Physical report card is standard and same anywhere you go across QC | Consistent report card |
| 53 | We have room for comments, all using percentages. There aren't many benefits | Consistent report card |
| 54 | to be able to come out of 7 years ready for high school and successful | Prepare students for next step |
| 55 | taught on the continuum, communicate about what was done year before and after | Prepare students for next step |
| 56 | expectations aren't the same, communication isn't what it should be | Inconsistency in education |
| 57 | reality is, you have projects and assignments in high school. | School philosophies |
| 58 | students are shocked in high school that they have homework now | School pihloosphies |
| 59 | haven't taught them work habits and life skills | Importane of life skills |
| 60 | base grades on criteria more than the number. | personal grading system |
| 61 | Minimal difference between 82 and 85 , hard to distinguish | Differentiate between percentages |
| 62 | Find out how everyone else grades in your school | Consistency within school |

## Table P3: Melissa’s Interview <br> Melissa's Transelin $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ )

1 don't like the format we are using.
Grades and comments, missing a part that should be behavior
Effort is the most important
we have to write a novel for each kid to address behavior in comments
when I was a kid and got a 67 , parents knew what that meant
letter grades for behavior, percentage for academics
Space to address behavior and learning separately
entitled as a society, don't genuinely want to know how kid behaves
10 Behavior doesn't affect their grades now, can't show it on report card anywhere.
11 if behavior affected their grades, maybe some parents would address issues more. Admin rarely follows
12 grading is hard because based on observations and games, hard to show parents
13 grading older kids, you have more tangible items to show parents (tests, assingments)
I Don't do tests in grade 1
unprepared dictees, based on what we are doing in class (sounds, syllables)
tells me who is struggling, but I don't base my evaluation on that.
17 Grid that helps convert to percentages
18 MELS wide grid
19 Slightly different versions
20 Parents get more out of conversation with teacher than report card
21 Parents don't discuss R.C with kids
22 Parents rarely ask about french marks
23 I don't think parents care about the french in grade 1
24 Parents start to care in older grades, for high school entry
25 Older kids realize what a 60 vs 80 means
26 Where students are now, and where they progressed from
27 good indication of how he will end the year
28 a 65 kid is a 65 kid all throughout their school life
29 effort and work habits make a good student
30 Which we can't include in report cards
31 have to write comments to demonstrate whole child, to compensate for poor R.C of QC
32 What makes a good student is work habits and effort, eventually it will kick in
33 Good grades don't mean well-rounded student
34 No room in R.C to communicate what teachers want to communicate.
35 We had tests back then. We were accountable. You fail, you fail. You don't study, you fail. You don't do your hc Differentiating between past education and current 36 We had standardized tests at the end of the year that counted for something, I don't know how much.
37 We had test that were serious, they were important. That, I think, could determine whether you passed or fail Differentiating between past education and current 38 And then when you did fail, you failed.
39 In many occasions, not all, you had to repeat because you didn't attain the objectives. Differentiating between past education and current
40 We still see this in the French sectors, not on the English side. I don't believe in systematically repeating a ki French vs Eng
41 I don't think that should be done systematically but in certain cases I think it's what's best for the kid. Teacher philosophy
42 work habits no longer written in R.C
43 Learned early on that determination was important
44 I don't like how R.C are divided
45 Teachers misuse competencies on R.C
46 R.C are misleading because teachers change the competencies
47 In past, it was clear: writing, comprehension, reading, and speaking. Now they are mixed together
48 We simplify for parents as oral, reading and writing. But that's not it.
49 I like that we have room for comments
50 issue of cycles, kids who need help are encouraged to wait until end of cycle
51 have to tell parents to wait until end of cycle, and waste year to react to learning issues.
52 We have to wait until the next year, to see if it's serious. A whole year of no help and resources
54 Forces us to write hand written comments, on our own time
55 goal to get them through 7 years of education
56 Get them from one door to the next, try to help some of the kids
57 I don't know how much kids are actually learning
58 Academics are pushed aside a bit. Emphasis on self-esteem, emotional well being
59 Talk to other teachers while you evaluate and do report cards
60 It's not because you tweak your evaluation to meet needs of students that you are not really evaluating.

Old system
Themes
Feelings towards grades
Grading system
Effort over ability
Frustrated by comments
Meaning of grades
Meaning of grades
Meaning of grades
Parents view on behaviors
Report card exclude behaviors
Behaviors and grades
Grades for parents
Grades for parents
Evaluation
Evaluation
Evaluation
Grading system
Consistency
Consistency
Parent communication
Grades not for students
Parents prioritize subjects
Parents prioritize subjects
Parents prioritize subjects
Grades for students as they get older
Grades as indicators of progress
grades as stable over time
grades as stable over time
Student behaviours
Report cards exclude behaviors
Whole child vision
Work habits and effort
Achievement vs. behavio
Importance of behaviors to teachers

Behaviors on r.c
Student determination
Grading system
Personal grading systems
Personal grading systems
Differentiating between past education and current
Grades for parents
Comments on report cards
Wasting time for struggling learners
Wasting time for struggling learners
Wasting time for struggling learners
Parent communication
Frustrated by comments
Goal of educ
Goal of educ
Student's learning
Emphasis on emotional instead of academics
Mentor teachers
Personal grading systems

Table P4: Anita's Interview

| 1 | Anita's Transcipt (P4) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | grading should be letter grades, difficult to assign number to kids |

3 letter grades are less competitive, and more fair to students
4 letter grades easier and less stressful
5 Big issue is class average stated on report card
6 class average is a big thing for parents
Back up mark
assignments and tests for evidence to back up mark
Notify parents if there's risk of child failing
10 a lot of pressure on kids and teachers
11 emails if parents don't agree with the mark on a test
12 reporting accurately vs giving child another chance to succeed
13 assignments per term
14 parents put pressure on school board and government to implement marks
15 Less stress for teachers and kids
16 communicates if kids are at grade level, and what their weaknesses and strengths are
17 math situational problem requires different level of prompting so struggling students can get it
18 difficult to give general explanations for tests because not all kids will get it
19 easier to give students a range than a number out of 100 . more forgiving.
20 Parent reaction big impact on how child perceives their grades
21 A parent refuses to sign a test with lower than $85 \%$ on it.
22 Kid hides low tests, becomes issue of miscommunication between parents
23 students compare their marks with others.
24 kids beat themselves up over grades.
25 students focused on the end result, not the process
26 if you had to work really hard to get 75 , we are more proud of you than if you got 90 cause it was easy for yo Effort over ability
27 effort pays off
28 grade represents stress and work.
29 students write their report card based on behaviors
30 Work habit, effort, organizaitonal skills, asking questions
31 skills that require maturity.
32 report cards do not necessarily demonstrate 'good' vs 'bad' student
33 parents ask 'who are you to tell me my child isn't putting effort? How do you know?"
34 maybe he is working his hardest but it is really hard for him.
35 if teacher liked you, it mattered for fairness.
36 Well I had these terrible grade 6 teachers and maybe that's the reason I'm a grade 6 teacher.
37 But I had these terrible, terrible teachers. They told my parents lies
Themes
preference towards letter grades preference towards letter grades preference towards letter grades Class average
Grades for parents
Grades for pares grades
back up grades
parent communication
feelings towards grades
Grades for parents
Reporting in the moment vs retake
Evaluation
Grades for parents
Letter grades less stressful
Communicate strengths/weaknesses
Teaching students where they are
Student abilities
preference towards letter grades
Grades for parents and students
Grades for parents
Parent communication
Grades are comparative to others
Impacts of grades
Product vs proress
Effort
Feelings towards grades
Grading behaviors
Student behaviors
Student behaviors
inaccuracy for reporting
Effort immeasurable
med
39 She didn't even have us as students yet. It's because I had an older sister, who could be trouble sometime t
41 find something nice to say about this kid, don't want to be that teacher.
42 Flexibility is good and bad at same time
43 an 80 at our school can be a very high grade at another school
44 easier for a kid switching to a different school
45 prepare kids for the real world.
46 prepare you as a person in the workforce
47 learn lessons the easy way, in safe environment
48 be careful, refer to report card previous year
49 I wanna be ready for parents to receive a drop in grades
50 Compare my grade to what they got last year, I check myself.
51 instead of giving a 0 , rexplain and give part marks
52 communicate extra opportunity for part marks on test for parents
53 willing to put in the work and try, I will meet you half way.
54 grades are the most terrifying, stressful part of my job.
55 must be my fault when kids don't do well
Effort immeasurable
Fairness based on teacher feelings towards you
Negative memories of school
negative memories of school
negative memories of school
Impacts of past experiences
Teacher Freedom
Grades vary by school
Grades vary by school
Prepare students
Prepare students
Prepare students
prepare students
Past report cards
Grades for parents
Past report cards
Opportunities for success
parent communucation
Reward effort
Feelings towards grades
Parental conflicts
56 Yes. I applied for a sabbatical. Because, well it had nothing to do with marks but a parent did not like to hı Parental conflicts
57 what I had to say about their kid bothering other kids. Parental conflicts
58 the mom told me that I was only a teacher for opportunities to bully small kids.
59 be gentle with yourself
60 don't shy away from giving another opportunity. Provide proof of first test and second.
61 provide enough opportunity
Parental conflicts
self-care

62 communicating on the test is huge
provide opportunities
provide opportunities parent communication


Table P5: Jennifer's Interview

| 1 | Jennifer's Transcript (P5) | Themes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | subjective report cards, based on observation and behaviors. | Subjective report cards |
| 3 | questionnaire for parents, gives us a bseline and accounts for progress from beginning of year | Progress |
| 4 | Parents worried about french and academics | Report cards for parents |
| 5 | I focus on behaviors and getting them ready for school | Grading Behaviours |
| 6 | we explain that evaluations are based on behaviors. We want them to love learning. | Grading Behaviours |
| 7 | Some parents can be very focused on academics, and excuse the bad behavior | Grades for parents |
| 8 | But behaviors impact the marks | Grading Behaviours |
| 9 | Grades give parents view of what's going on in class, but it's subjective | Grades for parents |
| 10 | grading not based on a baseline from year to year, it's their opinion | Teacher's opinion |
| 11 | Report cards are comment based, so can explain to parents very well | Report cards for parents |
| 12 | Don't give D's out | Personal grading system |
| 13 | A exceeds expectations, $B$ meets, $C$ with support, and $D$ not even a bit | Grading system |
| 14 | that's the criteria on the Kindergarten report card | Grading system |
| 15 | we give parents a copy of criteria at meet the teacher | Parent communication |
| 16 | I don't really give A at the beginning, don't feel like it gives opportunity to work towards | Personal grading system |
| 17 | high expectations for certain things | Personal grading system |
| 18 | Marks reflect being kind and respectful to others/adults | Grading behaviours |
| 19 | collect student work to follow progress | Student progress |
| 20 | By end of term, I should see progress | Student progress |
| 21 | If I see progress, they will get a B. Some kids exceed expectations, they get A | Grading progress |
| 22 | Might not get A next term | Grades fluctuate |
| 23 | Grade where they are currently | Grading student presently |
| 24 | I do notice if term 1 was really good, and then suddently something is not right | Student consistency |
| 25 | Whether they are not colouring/cutting properly anymore, or not caring. Motivation is huge | Student motivation |
| 26 | Effort is a huge part of my mark. I expect them to try their best. | Grading Behaviours |
| 27 | Report card directed solely at parents. I don't feel that parents explain r.c to their kids | Grades for parents |
| 28 | R.C means different things for different levels | Meaning of report cards |
| 29 | Primarily for the parents | Grades for parents |
| 30 | I don't think grades mean anything to K. The children react to what I'm doing in class. When I am proud, they | Grades not for students |
| 31 | if they haven't tried their best, I will make them redo task | Feedback |
| 32 | Grades as a baseline of where they are going. Good idea of where they started and where they will go. | Grades as a base |
| 33 | Good student someone who is motivated, follows directions, really tries | Student behaviors |
| 34 | don't expect them to be perfect, but if it is child's best work, important | Student's best work |
| 35 | Yes, it's their behaviour. Their willingness to listen to a grownup's instructions whether they want to or not. | Student behaviours |
| 36 | If somebody tells you clean up and you don't, well no, you're not listening. | Student behaviours |
| 37 | Letter grades as reflective for struggling students who need support | Report card accuracy |
| 38 | Easy to back up grade, makes it clear. | Back up grades |
| 39 | Parents can look through kid's work to see where I've gone with it. | Parent communication |
| 40 | My mom went by "did you do your best?" and she knew if I did or did not | Parental support |
| 41 | I got a D on one test that counted for the report card | Impact of bad grades |
| 42 | Mom was livid at teacher for not telling us it was counted for report card | Lack of parent communication |
| 43 | then I got an A the following term | Impact of good grades |
| 44 | Mom wanted me to do my best. I was a perfectionist. Under A wasn't good enough. | Internal pressure on self |
| 45 | I worked hard for my marks. | Working for grades |
| 46 | Grades reflected my hard work | Accuracy of grades |
| 47 | Kids need to be self-motivated, otherwise what's the point | Student self motivation |
| 48 | Success based on their own expectations | Student expectations |
| 49 | Kids understand what their best is | Student self reflection |
| 50 | Benefits of report card: connects home and school | Home and school connection |
| 51 | Grades are subjective, parents except kids to stay within percentage range | Grades for parents |
| 52 | have to reexplain your objectives/expectations. | Parent communication |
| 53 | me and my partner, same assignments/tasks, yet grade differently | Varied grading methods |
| 54 | Grades as personal opinions | Varied grading methods |
| 55 | Goal of education is not to prepare them for the real world | Preparation for real world |
| 56 | in my classroom, to become good people. | Students to become good people |
| 57 | To be ready for a different classroom/setting. Need to know how to follow rules | Adaptable students |
| 58 | In this class, I'm the boss. We have to work together. | Accountability |
| 59 | these ideas follow them to grade 1 | Accountability |
| 60 | sitting still, skill needed to listen and learn to read. Kindness to others. | Student behaviors |
| 61 | We don't focus on (life skills) enough. We shove competencies down, like who cares? | Importance of life skills |
| 62 | Every kid deserce to have life skills to live and be apart of society. But we make them puppets! | Importance of life skills |
| 63 | Love what you do, clear goals in mind - easier to put that into grade. | Personal grading system |
| 64 | If you know what goals you're trying to meet, finding percentage grade is easier | Personal grading system |
| 65 | Subjective, your version of 75 is not the same as someone else | Grades subjective |
| 66 | our system doesn't provide accurate grid to follow | Inconsistent grading |
| 67 | No baseline amongst teachers evaluating | Inconsistent grading |
| 68 | What does it matter what I give them if there's no baseline? | Grading lacks value |

Table P6: Chrystal's Interview

| 1 | Chrystal's Transcript (P6) | Themes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | grades are useless, because they have to show effort, and participation is what really counts for my subjects. | feelings towards grades |
| 3 | you can't fail a kid for not liking dance or ability | grades can't be based on ability |
| 4 | decent grades based on participation, effort, being a proper audience member | grades on behaviors |
| 5 | parents questioning how their kids didn't get $100 \%$ cause it's an easy class | Grades for parents |
| 6 | don't like grading, takes up time | Time management |
| 7 | Some subjects, you need to know the facts. | Subject variations |
| 8 | Grades don't tell me how smart the kid is | What grades communicate |
| 9 | It's just a mark that I follow a rubric on. | Rubrics |
| 10 | so I knock it down to 1-5. 5 is 100\%. I break it down based on different criteria. | Personal grading system |
| 11 | don't necessarily give $100 \%$ even if they get 5 on everything, give high 90s | Personal grading system |
| 12 | usually kids get mid 80s, cause they don't always want to participate, and sometimes talk during audience watching | Personal grading system |
| 13 | how well you are doing against other children based on class average | Grades against class average |
| 14 | grades for parents | Grades for parents |
| 15 | kids don't care about their drama marks. | Grades not for students |
| 16 | older kids want to know if they did well, not what they got. | Feedback over grade |
| 17 | they don't ask me what number they got | Feedback over grade |
| 18 | I don't tell them I gave them a 4, or 4+. I give them feedback | Feedback over grade |
| 19 | Sometimes they don't see what I am marking | Grades not for students |
| 20 | The parents don't see this (rubrics). It's basically for me. | Rubrics for teacher |
| 21 | To students grade represents how they're doing. It tells them that they are doing well. | Grades as indicators of how well they do |
| 22 | For teacher it represents how well they are doing. | Grades as indicators of how well they do |
| 23 | strong student shows effort, try to figure it out, asking questions, focus on their work. Weak student doesn't care. | Student behaviors |
| 24 | Kids who try so hard (strong student in her opinion), probably getting a 70. | Effort and low grades |
| 25 | I had a lot of $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ s and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ s. | Memory of grades |
| 26 | Highschool math was miserable, $59.5 \%$ was a victory cause they would bump it to a $60 \%$ | Memory of grades |
| 27 | I hate math, but l am a better math teacher because of it. | Impacts of grades |
| 28 | I can help the kids who feel like they don't understand math. I know how they feel. |  |
| 29 | If I were to teach gr 5 or 6 math, I would break out in hives. |  |
| 30 | I put in effort, I just didn't get it. | effort and low grades |
| 31 | It was only about tests back then. We didn't do projects. No one said "Wow look at the effort!" | memory of grades |
| 32 | All very different from now. | memory of grades |
| 33 | Nature of subjects I teach | Subject variations |
| 34 | if they put together a really good story, but it's full of mistakes, oh well! We will work on spelling tomorrow. | Content over mistakes |
| 35 | percentage to see how you compare to everyone else | Grades as comparison to others |
| 36 | class average let's you know if you are on the right track | Grades vs. class average |
| 37 | class average let's you know if you are on the wrong track | Grades vs. class average |
| 38 | it doesn't matter if you got a 92 and I got an 80 . Maybe I messed up one test, maybe I'm good at taking tests | Comparing grades |
| 39 | We had a terrible history teacher, and without my friend teaching me the stories, I probably would have gotten 70 on those tests. | External factors related to grades |
| 40 | Yeah. Exactly. Many factors come into play that we can't see in a grade. | Factors in grades |
| 41 | to develop well-rounded individuals who are confident in the job they choose | Confident well-rounded individuals |
| 42 | Look at the big picture |  |
| 43 | use tests as a guideline, but also let kids tell you where they get it from. | Students explain thinking |
| 44 | Let them try to evolve in their own way so that you can see their thinking | Students explain thinking |
| 45 | I would rather just teach and have fun, see what happens | Feelings towards grades |
| 46 | prefer ABCD over percentages, that's how I use my 1-5 now. | Personal grading system |
| 47 | my 5 correlates to 95-100. I preferred that when I was younger. You knew that you were in the 905. | Feelings towards grades |
| 48 | What's the difference between an 84 and 89? Nobody cares. Nobody knows. | Confusion about percentages |

Table P7: Ken's Interview

| 1 | Ken's Transcript (P7) | Themes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Unlike other phys.ed teachers, I don't just look at students and say "you get an A" | Evaluation |
| 3 | I have certain rubrics that I follow, for MELS and competencies | Evaluation |
| 4 | Competencies and subcategories to evaluate | evaluation |
| 5 | Averaging scores and determine what they get | Evaluation |
| 6 | Parents only care if child is below average | Parents perspective |
| 7 | Parents don't care about specialists marks | Parents perspective |
| 8 | Parents of gr 5 students focus most on the marks | Parents perspective |
| 9 | Parent's view of their kids being athletes because they play sports | Parent perspective |
| 10 | Back up grades to explain why | Back up grades |
| 11 | Grading makes your job worth it | Feelings towards grading |
| 12 | Instead of just babysitting, people think you're in the gym playing games | Feelings towards grading |
| 13 | you feel more worth it | Feelings towards grading |
| 14 | There's 3 competencies. I focus on the first one for term 1 | Evaluation |
| 15 | Competencies two and three | Evaluation |
| 16 | Within those competencies, there's subcategories to evaluate | Evaluation |
| 17 | Competencies into a rubric, I take that. I base my marks on that. | Evaluation |
| 18 | $1-5.5$ being the best, and 1 being the lowest. | Personal grading system |
| 19 | there's a percentage on top. Let's say between $81-87 \%$ is a 4 or 4+ | Personal grading system |
| 20 | Grades for parents. Students have never asked me about their marks. | Grades for parents |
| 21 | They've never questioned me after report cards, "why did I get this?" | Grades for parents |
| 22 | if the child is doing fine, parents won't question you | Grades for parents |
| 23 | Students don't care. Which is unfortunate | Grades for parents |
| 24 | Parents don't care for comments unless their child is doing poorly | Report card comments |
| 25 | Write out own report card comments for some kids instead of drop down menu | Report card comments |
| 26 | Parents analyze comments and question why you said them | Report card comments |
| 27 | I see it as effort. If someone is a great big athlete, I don't see him as going to get an 80 or 90 just be | Effort |
| 28 | It's more effort, and even if they can't do it, are they trying? | Effort |
| 29 | Someone who isn't great in sports but is trying, can get the same grade as someone else | Effort |
| 30 | If you're just sitting there and not interested, it's about sportsmanship | Effort |
| 31 | Somebody that listens, follows the rules | Student behavior |
| 32 | They know my expectations | Teacher expectations |
| 33 | They know what I expect from them. | Student behavior |
| 34 | Report cards do not mirror good student vs poors student | Inaccuracy of report cards |
| 35 | No, prior years do not impact grading | Prior years do not impact grading |
| 36 | I don't look at previous year report card | Prior years do not impact grading |
| 37 | I don't even want to have that thought. as soon as year is over, destroy old marks | Prior years do not impact grading |
| 38 | No issues from parents from one year's grades to another | Prior years do not impact grading |
| 39 | I was a typical student, supportive parents. Don't remember my marks | No significant experiences in past |
| 40 | Study hard, get 80s. That's as high as I would go. | No significant experiences in past |
| 41 | I was just an average kid. Not above, not below. | Average kid |
| 42 | No, when I was graded it was ABCD | No significant experiences in past |
| 43 | Issue with third competency, adopting a healthy life style | Issue with competencies |
| 44 | Hard to grade a healthly life style | Hard to grade |
| 45 | Not going to ask a student 'did you take a shower today?' | Hard to grade |
| 46 | Following safety rules, tie their shoelaces, hard to grade | Hard to grade |
| 47 | A lot of weight from the other two competencies, cause it's hard | Personal grading system |
| 48 | ABCD would be easier to explain yourself - I like percentage grades for my kids | ABCD would be easier to explain yourself |
| 49 | Goal of phys.ed would be for everyone to participate | Participation |
| 50 | Motivate students to participate | Participation |
| 51 | everyone wants to do something, just have to encourage them | Participation |
| 52 | Back up everything you do. | Back up grades |
| 53 | without rubric, I would have been in trouble | Back up grades |
| 54 | be able to open up binder and show proof of why child got X\% | Back up grades |
| 55 | 1 know based upon their homework how they are already (my kids) | Homework |
| 56 | I know they have this mark, but things are weighted so differently. | Weighted grades |
| 57 | there's 10\% of this, $10 \%$ of that | Weighted grades |
| 58 | you're studying for a test and you find out it's only worth 8-9\% | Weighted grades |
| 59 | happy if my child tried hard, I know their limits. | Effort |
| 60 | for my daughter if she gets lower than 80, l'll be like "okay, what's going on?" | Knowing type of learner |
| 61 | My son, he's an average just like I was | Knowing type of learner |
| 62 | Grades take a long time, especially with comments. | Time management |
| 63 | they think cause it's phys.ed "give them whatever" | Grading by subject |
| 64 | you really need to back it up | Back up grades |
| 65 | kind of makes your job feel worth it | Feelings towards grading |

Table P8: Leila's Interview


| 67 | Sol don't mark it, we correct it as a class and I sit down with them one on one. | Teacher methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 | . I read through them all and I make a list of who didn't get what concepts, and sol make a small group of who needs this concept reviewed et | Teacher methods |
| 69 | So that way, two days later, it's fresh in their mind, most kids do well. | Teacher methods |
| 70 | Because it's short term memory, which is what you need for math tests, and it just helps everyone out. | Teacher methods |
| 71 | Because the day before the test I actually sat with them on the thing they needed. | Teacher methods |
| 72 | We did it in physics in grade 11 too, there was a pretest and a test. Because it gets them working. | Teacher methods |
| 73 | You haven't studied, you just got a zero! And it just forces them right before the test. | Teacher methods |
| 74 | I try and avoid that at all costs because in terms of work for me, it's huge and it's not fair right? | Teacher methods |
| 75 | If you would start retesting all the kids, everyone would do better on a second test. | Teacher methods |
| 76 | So no, I don't really do that. That's why I try to get my kids to pass on the first round. | Teacher methods |
| 77 | . So essentially a lot of kids might fail that, and there's no mark and it's a qualitative assessment. I see who knows what and I actually talk to tt | Teacher methods |
| 78 | The reason I think kids are affected by grades was because I was affected by grades | Memory of grades |
| 79 | Internalized how well I was doing based on grades | Memory of grades |
| 80 | kids are invested emotionally in their grades, I don't want to destroy them. | Emotionally invested in grades |
| 81 | some kids don't care about grades, but I wasn't one of them. | Memory of grades |
| 82 | I was one of those kids who would ask why did I get the 98? | Misunderstanding grades |
| 83 | I wasn't seeing the bigger picture | Process over product |
| 84 | the big picture is, do you understand or not? | Process over product |
| 85 | 80 doesn't matter. The idea is, you're getting it. | Understanding content |
| 86 | I didn't get that until maybe CEGEP |  |
| 87 | I got an 80 on something and got in trouble because I said something the teacher overheard |  |
| 88 | Fair, I worked really hard but I missed a point |  |
| 89 | she couldn't give me the higher mark, but I couldn't believe it. | Impacts of grades on students |
| 90 | this was grade 10, still basing myself on if I don't get a good mark it's because the value of a mark... | Impacts of grades on students |
| 91 | Benefits of percentages, calculating grades is easy to back up. You got this on your test, that on your test. | Back up grades |
| 92 | in elementary / put columns of marks like participation I count a bit, especially oral | Back up grades |
| 93 | the benefit is it's easy to back up |  |
| 94 | disadvantage is it doesn't always show what we're trying to show | inaccurate communication of information |
| 95 | doesn't show who's worked or progressed a lot and was struggling | Shows product versus progress |
| 96 | the mark might actually stay the same because even though they are getting better, the work got harder. | inaccurate communication of information |
| 97 | that's why comments are important | Comments |
| 98 | kid's need to realize what they do and do not understand | Student metacognition |
| 99 | more self-evaluation, self-reflection. Math journal of "what do I know" | Student metacognition |
| 100 | it's actually going to help them remember because they're doing it. | Student explaining knowledge to others |
| 101 | to allow students to achieve their potential and opening gates for them | Student achieve full potential |
| 102 | open gates to different careers | Education as gateway to career |
| 103 | develop a human being who is caring and able to think for themselves |  |
| 104 | we need caring people who know how to think | Emotional and intellectual students |
| 105 | Report cards give students something to achieve and work towards | Report card as goal |
| 106 | Kids organize themselves to reach goal | Report card as motivation |
| 107 | Ethics for caring people, which we grade! |  |
| 108 | Report card is like real world in that instead of money, you get grades | Report card as reward for work |
| 109 | Kids who want $100 \%$ miss the big picture | Students focused on getting 100\% |
| 110 | ABCD system could be shattering for kids, I think I grew up with letter grades | ABCD system can always be damaging |
| 111 | Most frustrating part of our job. if we weren't forced to do it, I wouldn't force myself to know how well they are doing | Feelings towards grades |
| 112 | Create a system that works for you and you're able to justify to yourself | Personal grading system |
| 113 | Plan school goals backwards | Assignment organization |
| 114 | End of term goals. | Assignment organization |
| 115 | I map out what I wanna do in each term. |  |
| 116 | Grading is one of the most stressful parts of the job | Feelings towards grades |
| 117 | A big part if parents. Some are very concerned about grades | Grades for parents |
| 118 | Dealing with parents who are upset about grades, detracts from us teaching and planning | Grades for parents |

Table P9: Ruby's interview

| Ruby's Transcript (P9) | Codes |
| :---: | :---: |
| difficult to give grades, percentage or letter grades | Hard to grade |
| easier to write anecdotal | Anecdotal |
| where the child has come from to where they are progress | Student progression |
| Easier to give a grade to high achievers | Easier to grade high achievers |
| Samples of their work, talk to them about their work and how they came up with their answers | Evaluation |
| We usually had some type of rubric | Evaluation |
| Report cards communicate to parents, that's what they want. | Grades for parents |
| The higher the grade, better for the parent. | Grades for parents |
| report cards do not tell parents what children know | Inaccuracy of report cards |
| I think anecdotal and I think you can use a rubric if the school insists on some type of grade leve | Evaluation |
| If you have a rubric with a little bit more leeway, and a little bit more words attached to it, ther | Evaluation |
| Students looking at a higher grade, the better | Satisfaction with high grades |
| students don't always know what grades mean | Lack of meaning in grades |
| You'll hear them say to their mom 'did I do well?' Or, my mom said I didn't do very well, and the | Lack of meaning in grades |
| Grading is hard | Feelings towards grading |
| How well, how far they've come. | Progress |
| I think an ideal student is someone who is independent, comfortable making mistakes, comforta | Student behavior |
| Ideal student probably going to get good grades | Student behavior leads to high grades |
| Average students are doing well too |  |
| Parents want high grades | Grades for parents |
| Teachers pat themselves on back for high grades | High grades for teachers |
| Average students are doing well too |  |
| low marks = parents knowledge, that's fair | Parent communication |
| I was an average student. Vs, VGs = Good, Very good were my life. |  |
| excellent, very good, food, fair and unsatisfactory | Memory of evaluation |
| I have no idea how I got those grades | Lack of meaning in grades |
| I read, I did spelling, I did whatever | Memory of evaluation |
| I was a very average student | Memory of evaluation |
| Grades not a big deal at home | Memory of evaluation |
| Figure out how to get a better grade based on teachers | Grading systems |
| I used to wonder how the smart kids got such high grades | Lack of meaning in grades |
| how do kids get 95\%', it was beyond my understanding | Lack of meaning in grades |
| Feel for kids who don't get high grades | Impact of grades |
| They think they are not as good as everyone else | Impact of grades |
| they don't reflect 'I've done really well', 'I've moved forward'. We don't instill that in kids. | impact of grades |
| we talk about it as adults, but kids don't get it | Lack of meaning in grades |
| Need to start talking to kids about reflecting on grades | Student reflection |
| we have a lot of lip service for kids "oh you're doing really well," but they might not be | Unclear feedback to students |
| That's right. It's the same as "oh, try and be good today." It's hard. | Unclear feedback to students |
| We do say it all the time. "Bad boy!" poor kid, what does that mean? Like google the defi | Unclear feedback to students |
| Identifies smart kids who are going to do well anywhere | Grades identify high achievers |
| In the younger grades, it's absolutely ridiculous since the developmental part is huge. | Grades developmentally inapprop |
| Variety of developmental readiness, still have to give a grade | Grades developmentally inappropriat |
| it doesn't make sense | Confused by grading young children |
| New teachers to find a grading range that they are comfortable with | Personal grading system |

New teachers to find a grading range that they are comfortable with Personal grading system
Future: younger grades will be done with percentage grades
Full inclusion makes percentages tough
you get $75 \%$ of what? We all talk about it but it's so out there.
Percentages not useful for inclusive education

How is one test a reflection of the curriculum, the goals, the competency, the MEQ?
Lack of meaning in grades
Who are your students
Have a range for grades
Personal grading system
If you're stuck with them, you have to play the game
in your head you can have between 75-85 are kids who can write clearly, get thoughts on paper Personal grading system

| 56 | then you're doing them justice by giving them what they need. reflects sort of what they are able to do | Personal grading system |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 |  | Personal grading system |
| 58 | One class versus another class in same school can vary in terms of difficulty. | Inconsistent grading systems |
| 59 | standardized IQ tests | IQ tests |
| 60 | This is where most of them are on a range. We don't do that when we are throwing percentage IQ tests vs report cards |  |
| 61 | we've gone from A's where there was a range to now they are right back to the olden days of $p$ Changes in system |  |
| 62 |  |  |
| 63 | more of a range, you could tell a parent what a B was. | $A B C D$ evaluation accurate |
| 64 | It was more anecdotal, you were just throwing a letter on it. Which I'm more comfortable with ABCD evaluatio |  |
| 65 | Parents still want grade to match ABCD range | Grades for parents |
| 66 | Yes. It's also where people come from right. How they are wired. | People's experiences |
| 67 | Like the very rigid structured people, they want that. Other people are a little bit loose. | People's experiences |
| 68 | Grades conflict with who you are. | Inner conflict with grading |
| 69 | difficult to give grades in grade 5 | Hard to grade |
| 70 | how to decide what is more valuable to grade: way they expressed info vs content | Hard to grade |
| 71 | The correct answer can be given in many ways | Hard to grade |
| 72 | difficult to give grades | Hard to grade |
| 73 | Skills for life long learning |  |
| 74 | Using skills here, there, everywhere. But how do you grade that? | How to grade efficiently |
| 75 | Disconnect at MEQ level | Disconnect between ministry and percentages |
| 76 | Skills being passed on cross curricular - but still need an individual grade. | Disconnect between ministry and percentages |
| 77 | Life long learning positive thing - even for children with special needs. | Lifelong learning |
| 78 | Difficult to implement lifelong learning with budget cuts, teacher variations and philosophies | Lifelong learning difficult to implement |
| 79 | Old school teacher mentality |  |
| 80 | UDL classrooms, Observation | Teacher philosophies |
| 81 | Teacher philosphies should not impact knowledge learned - same results at end of year exam | Teacher philosophies |
| 82 | Knowledge learned in progressive ways should not impact test taking | Flexible learning styles |
| 83 | Observe and listen to kids, how did you get to that answer? Explain learning | Evaluation |
| 84 | If their explanations make sense, YAY. |  |
| 85 | Parents still want to see grade | Grades for parents |
| 86 | We haven't educated parents enough, that this is okay too. | Educate parents |
| 87 | Anecdotal/observations: child as a whole being. | The whole child |
| 88 | give me one piece of writing, I can tell a kid where they are at. | Evaluation |
| 89 | Hard to give mark with clear conscious | Inner conflict with grading |
| 90 | CEGEPS, they don't have the UDL system there right. | Higher education |
| 91 | They claim in the workforce, these skills are needed. | Higher education |
| 92 | A lot of emphasis on grades | Emphasis on grades |
| 93 | Parents judge themselves on child's grades | Impact of grades on parents |
| 94 | Lack of education on our system | Educate parents |
| 95 | When you explain to parents what anecdotal is, they "what'd he get?" | Grades for parents |
| 96 | Looking at the next step | Impact of grades: high school |
| 97 | Highschool based on marks | Impacts of grades: high school |
| 98 | if you wanna get into Loyola, need good marks | Impacts of grades: high school |
| 99 | Methods of tracking achievement: Anecdotal vs giving work that is too hard and give poor gradi Evaluation |  |
| 100 | Oral and communication, but with heavy content in second language. |  |
| 101 | Flexibility, boundairies, good pedagogy |  |
| 102 | Life long learning positive - but not alongside percentage grades | Disconnect between ministry and percentages |
| 103 | Not as much. Anecdotal is more efficient than grades. | Anecdotal more efficient than grades |
| 104 | Parents just wanna see good marks. They compare to their friends, and don't think they are goc Impact of grades on parents |  |
| 105 | Parents judge themselves on child's grades | Impact of grades on parents |

Table P10: Parker's Interview

| 1 | Parker's Transcript (P10) | Themes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | I do not like grading | Feelings towards grading |
| 3 | I think about the experience of the child in music class | Student experience |
| 4 | As a specialist, seeing students half an hour a week | Limited time with students |
| 5 | hard to create experience for those students to appreciate music while also evaluating them | Time management |
| 6 | you have three and a half hours of teaching each class before report cards are due | Limited time with students |
| 7 | kids I see on Mondays, I see them 7 times within a term | Limited time with students |
| 8 | With an assembly, 6 times. So it's really hard. |  |
| 9 | 3 and a half hours before you have to give grades | Limited time with students |
| 10 | Which is weighted the same as any other subject that they've seen like 10 times as much. | Specialist class weighted same as homeroom class |
| 11 | I take the pressure off myself to be like, okay, grades are not a big part of my teaching | Teacher beliefs about grading |
| 12 | I base [my grades] on effort and participation | Student effort and participation |
| 13 | cause kids come from different backgrounds in music | Different skill level |
| 14 | some have a musical background where parents appreciate music, listen to music, grow up in a mus | Different skill level |
| 15 | others have no grouding for music |  |
| 16 | Recognize that they all come from different backgrounds in music | Student performance |
| 17 | regardless of how much knowledge they have, it's really to see the next step in their learning. | Student knowledge |
| 18 | So teaching them an instrument, songs, how to create, to see if they are engaging in it. | Level of engagement |
| 19 | Don't put students at disadvantage/advantage based on prior experience | Different skill level |
| 20 | to see if they are engaging in the material | Level of engagement. |
| 21 | grades are intuitive, based off their participation from class to class | Student participation |
| 22 | I still give assignments, homework at a minimun, and these in class quizzes | Evaluation methods |
| 23 | sometimes projects for older grades, so they can show me what they have learned | Evaluation methods |
| 24 | Quizzes/projects at a minimum compared to class effort and participation | Evaluation methods |
| 25 | Grades for the parents | Grades for the parents |
| 26 | that's my philosophy: I don't feel that the grade will change the experience for the student in class. | Student experience |
| 27 | regardless if they go home with 60 or 100 , for me it's like, what did you get out of music class? | Student experience |
| 28 | I feel like grades really are... at an elementary school level, they really are for the parents. | Grades for parents |
| 29 | in 5 and 6 it's more for highschool, so I try to give more projects and assignments | Evaluation methods |
| 30 | so [grades] based off something more concrete, but for younger grades, you know.. |  |
| 31 | Grades do not represent anything to younger grades. Especially if they can't count to 100 . | Lack of meaning in grades |
| 32 | Unable to interpret meaning | Lack of meaning in grades |
| 33 | [grades on R.C] for me, a large part is student effort | Student effort |
| 34 | seeing the kids engage with it. | Level of engagement |
| 35 | I said "learn your own song", we lost time and all students were still working. | Level of engagement |
| 36 | they were engaged, looking up chords, learning the chords. | Level of engagement |
| 37 | I asked them "fast forward 5-10 years from now, you think you're going to think about your grades?" | Process vs. product |
| 38 | or are you gonna know how to find a song on the internet? | Independent learners |
| 39 | When I think long-term, I don't remember any grades I ever had in highschool/elementary | Long-term importance of grades |
| 40 | For me it's always been about the experience. | Student experience |
| 41 | that's why I try to focus my teaching around the experience for the child. | Student experience |
| 42 | that's why my philosophy is to give kids extra curriculars, to focus on all those things | Student experience |
| 43 | I know if I had to give 'concrete' grades, I would't have time for extra curriculars, which are importan | Time management: Maximizing meaningful experiences |
| 44 | because I value something like CBC music challenhge/doing shows, I spend more time on that than | Time management: Maximizing meaningful experiences |
| 45 | so when it comes to R.C, it's the season where I can't be doing other things | Time management: Maximizing meaningful experiences |
| 46 | Music comes easily for some | Student ability |
| 47 | they learn the recorder, ukulele, so teaching them the chords and how to strum | Student ability |
| 48 | so for some, it comes like that. |  |
| 49 | so you're doing great, even though it came easy, they still tried. | Student effort |
| 50 | I don't put them at a disadvantage, not going to give a lower grade because it was easy | Grading based on ability |
| 51 | put in the effort, you learned what I feel is adequate for grade level, you get a high grade | Grade level knowledge |
| 52 | whereas other students need to put in more effort, but sometimes they give up if they find is comes | Student perseverance |
| 53 | Because they give up, they get a lower grade | Student perseverance |
| 54 | Reward students for effort to learn, follow and keep up | Student effort |
| 55 | so effort mixed with skill | Student effort and skill (ability) |
| 56 | [Report cards do not accurately represent ideal student vs weak student] cause if it were, I'd have 11 | Inaccuracy of report cards |
| 57 | cause they way I do it, there isn't a fluctuation from 0 to 100 | Personal grading system |
| 58 | normally you can have 100 and the lowest is 0 |  |
| 59 | but my range is purposely almost between 70-100 | Personal grading system |
| 60 | so if you have a 75, you're really playing with like 5/30 | Personal grading system |
| 61 | but it's political. Cause you can't say 'you're kid is bad at music' | Student ability |
| 62 | They have some grounding, they showed up. | Student ability |


| 63 | grades were not a big deal at the elementary school level |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64 | Being devastated getting a 4 (lowest), our system was 1-4 | Memory of grades |
| 65 | personally remember wanting 1 s , and 2 s were ok. But 3-4 were a bad sign | Memory of grades |
| 66 | [I recognized this] but Not when I was young in K to 4 . | Memory of grades |
| 67 | but grades 5-6 I was like "OH!!!, a 4 is bad." | Understanding grading system |
| 68 | Like that's the bottom quarter! You're not hitting anything. | Understanding grading system |
| 69 | High school, I can't remember all the grades there. | Memory of grades |
| 70 | Well, CEGEP I remember. I can tell you every grade. | Memory of grades |
| 71 | High school level, [adequate/fair grading system] | System of grading |
| 72 | more organized and structured | System of grading |
| 73 | each quiz was worth $10 \%$ and you're gonna have 10 quizzes, or test is worth $25 \%$, so you can track it. | System of grading |
| 74 | Control over your grades, and you know how to study for it, and what you need to get on the next thi | System of grading |
| 75 | you know how to control your grades, study for them, made calculations in your head of what to in | st more time in. |
| 76 | like a quiz woth $5 \%$ and an exam worth $50 \%$, should I spend my next 10 hours on my quiz or exam? | System of grading |
| 77 | So it's fair when students are empowered to know where grades are coming from | System of grading |
| 78 | but setting up a system adds extra pressure on elementary students | Student stress |
| 79 | Quiz results in panic, freaking out, 'how much is it worth?' | Student stress |
| 80 | Negates the purpose of learning for the sake of learning, so I try to stay away | Teacher philosophy |
| 81 | at the same time, quizzes just need to be done. | Evaluation methods |
| 82 | benefits of percentages: you know if you get 100, you met all the requirements and expectations of | Mastery of content |
| 83 | Lack of standardization for what should be taught for specialists | Teacher interpretation |
| 84 | one school does violins, others do band, others do no instruments, etc. it's up to the teacher to se | Teacher philosophy |
| 85 | there are some overall things to follow | Teacher interpretation |
| 86 | but a lot of stuff is left up to interpretation on teacher's behalf. | Teacher interpretation |
| 87 | so when it comes to grading, it's not standardized in any way. | Lack of standardization |
| 88 | if I give a 75 , someone might say hey take it easy on them, I would have given them a 90 or somethi | Lack of standardization |
| 89 | it's just tough |  |
| 90 | a lot of it is left in my hands for interpretation | Teacher interpretation |
| 91 | I don't like that it's always interpretation, but you need to come up with something somehow. | Lack of standardization |
| 92 | my philosophy of education: I wanna create lifelong learners around music | Teacher philosophy |
| 93 | Today I wanted to show kids how to find chords and learn how to play chords to any song | Student experiences |
| 94 | so empower them. |  |
| 95 | I remember my experience, learned three chords the whole year. | Teacher memory of experiences |
| 96 | but I've already taught them 8 chords, goal to learn 16. | Independent learners |
| 97 | teach them how to atleast find it or create it. |  |
| 98 | Teach them how to be resourceful | Resourceful students |
| 99 | philosophy: make them resourceful, empowered, because they will be gone next year (grade 6) | Teacher philosophy |
| 100 | so do I wanna spend more time creating a number that is pleasing to parents, | Teacher philosophy |
| 101 | or do I say regardless of grade, they now know how to play music without me. |  |
| 102 | I asked them today, "will you need me to know how to play a song? | Independent learners |
| 103 | half said yes, half said no |  |
| 104 | half of them saying nowe don't need you, was the best answer I heard. | Independent learners |
| 105 | same when teaching phys. Ed, is it about the grade or exposing them to activities | Student experience |
| 106 | so that they say "I wanna continue doing a physically active lifestyle", or "I remember learning ultim | ate frisbee with Mr. H, it was so much fun" |
| 107 | just exposing them to sports, different games, individual or team sports | Student experience |
| 108 | at end of the day for me, it's not about grades as much as it being like, "AH! Here is that thing" | Student experience |
| 109 | obviously you can incorporate it, but just spending more time and giving them opportunities. | Time management: Maximizing meaningful experiences |
| 110 | if they received bad grades, it would negatively impact the way they engage with music. | Impacts of grades |
| 111 | often hear people say they hate math, or aren't good at math. | Impact of grades |
| 112 | Impacts them as adults, I think the same would be true for music. | Impact of grades |
| 113 | unclear as to what overall public system goals are. So much interpretation | Up to our interpretation |
| 114 | Teachers have loose guidelines | Loose guidelines |
| 115 | Good system for those motivated to be good teachers | Good system for those who want to be good teachers |
| 116 | Professional development hardly mandatory |  |
| 117 | if u wanna do a grading system properly, you should have started a week ago | Time management |
| 118 | hit the ground running! | Time management |
| 119 | especially since you have 250 students to grade, you literally have $1000 \%$ bigger workload | Work load |
| 120 | if you want a true grade that reflects student's learning and ability, get ready to work 10 times hard | Work load |
| 121 | suggest that you find some quizzes/projects that you feel will accurately reflect the students learni | Personal grading system |

## Appendix C

Sample Quebec Report Card


